House Restores Assassinations Panel for 2 Months

hashinglus Por Staff Writer

531

store its investigation into the assautwo menths' probation Martia Luther King Jr but put it on The House voted last night to re-

work out a palatable budget and to adopt rules that will safeguard the rights of witnesses and others who on Assassmations until March 31 to 164, gives the H. use Select Committee might become targets of the inquiry The resuscitation, approved 237 to

Under the resolution adopted last might, the commutee will have to con mitte's new chairman, said he hoped nicimum of investigative work" will who was immediately named the combe possible in the next few weeks. to hold a meeting today in an effort to Rep. Henry B. Gonzalez (D-Tex.),

rusa of criticisms over its proposed budget and investigating techniques (copublicens, led by Kep. James H. Quillen (R. Tenn.), favored killing the tine its spending to \$34,000 a month-the amount it incurred in December before it went out of business under a

investigation by more than 2 to 1. but the temporary revival was fashioned as a compromise measure and had the support of the House Democratic majority veted for it by pearly 3 to 1.

Leading off the opposition, Quillen leading off the opposition, Quillen vited a report yesterday morning by United Press International that a Justice Department study undertaken had concluded that James has year had concluded that James has year flay wer King a fone assassin in the 1938 Memphis killing.

The 1948 Memphis killing.

The 1958 Afemphis killing under the PBI had conducted a long under

cause of the change in administracover compaign to discredit King, has any yet to be made public, ostensibly be-

fluencing the liouse decision. tions and because the Justice Department did not want to appear to be in-

Speaker Thomas F. (171) O'Nell table, committee for the Justice Department reporters that he regarded the thomas I will a well as of the assassinations comof the legk as "very unfortunate"... mittee

Justice Department sources discharacters proposability and nomeral. The leak of the finding about Ray immediately touched off suspicions

claimed responsibility and pointed out study to support that classified copies of the report, would be "trewhich was complicted Jain. 10, had this apparently been sent to Senate Juliciany Commit—which the idoutee Chairman James O. Eastland (D. aid he saw in the Chairman James E. Eastland (D. aid he saw in the figure of the committee of the same of the committee of the commi

The sources also said that the report, while concluding that the FBI conducted an adequately professional had shortcomings. makes plain that the bureau's work investigation of the King marder, "whe prenounced shift lay in the fact

the report.

Rep. Yvonne, Burke (D.Calif.) pro-tested sharply that the Justice Depart-ment yesterday would not supply ter.

study to support his contention that it would be "irresponsible to re-launch this apparently erratic investigation" which the House initiated last fall least did he saw no need "for snother run aid he saw no need "for snother run around the mulberry bush" of either

"It's not going to make anyone happy," said one source familiar with

and House Judiciary Committee Chair. The final vote showed considerable man Peter W. Rodino (D.N.J.). Perosion from the 280-to-53 tally creat. The sources also said that the reing the committee ast September. port, while concluding that the FBI Rept. Richardson Preyer (D.N.C.) chairman of the subcommittee investi-gaung the Kennedy assassination, said he felt one of the main reasons for

that the past few months have been

thind us and here somes the lassassinagnosts of the past." tions) committee raising all the old 9

Preyer manifialized, however, that the investigation should be undertaken because "nothing is finally settled until it's settled right."

Cony to per cent of the American people believe the Warren Commission report. Theyer and "We are not cut to tear the motion apart. We are trying to restore its credibility."

satured congressional critics that the committee will delve into questions raised about Sprague's backeround and judgment as a "first order of business." 'Acknowledging that many of the complaints about the investigation have centered on chief committee counsel Richard A. Sprague, Preyer,

tee was adopted after the Flouse repected an amendment by Rop. DelClawson (R-Callf) to delece hroad
anguage establishing a legislative
purpose for the investigation and anto secure sworn statements from farflung witnesses. deny committee lawyers the authority other by Rep. Trent Lott (R-Miss.) to The resolution reviving the commit-

tec as not entitled to an additional 30 staif members that it might have claimed. It already has a staff of 73 with a monthly payroll of \$123,000 many have agreed to take a voluntary pay out until the House acts on a clarifying amendment offered by flouse Administration Committee Chairman Frank Thompson Ir. (D. N.J.) to make clear that the commit-The House, however, approved a

The Washington West

or of transition of a public mood 5 that has been saleing over the order marks be. A

AGE

ASSASSINATION PANEL WANTS 3 TO TESTIFY

O'Neill Says Move Is Seen as Way to Shore Up Dr. King Inquiry-Witnesses Are Not Identified

By DAVID BURNHAM

WASHINGTON, March 3-Thomas P. O'Neill Jr, the Speaker of the House, said today that members of the troubled Astoday that members of the troubled As-sassinations Committee hoped that testi-mony from three witnesses might per-suade the House to let the committee continue its investigation into the death of the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Mr. O'Neill mentioned the witnesses, and the possibility of a public hearing at which they would restify after meeting for atmost an hour with the Democratic members of the Assasanatons Commit. for amous an nour with the Democratic members of the Assassnations Cognit-tee. The panel was established last Sep-tember to look into the remaining ques-tions surrounding the deaths of Dr. King and President Kennedy.

and research tennedy.

The committee has been wracked by dissension for the last three weeks Its committee hims.

Chairman, Representative Henry B. Gonzalez, Democrat of Texas, submitted his Mr. dissension for the last three weeks. Its committee start thrugs they can be committee start thrugs they can be committee. Its committee start thrugs they can be committee, and they can be said. Mr. Anderson also said he thought it was "absolutely essential" to publicly disother committee members of trying to cust the allegations made by Mr. Gonzalez against Mr. Sprague before the committee attempted to seek a permanent

their committee members or trying to tusurp his power.

The subject of the dispute has been Richard A. Sprague, the committee's chief counsel and director, who Mr. Gonzalez accused of being an "unscrupulous individual, and unconscienable scoundrel."

accused of being an "unscrupulous individual, and unconscienable scoundrel."

Mr. Gonzalez has been ill at his home in Texas for the last 10 days, apparently refusing to take any oalls except from his staff. Mr. O'Neill, a Massachussets Democrat, has refused to accept Mr. Gonzalez's resignation until he has talked with him. He has said that the resignation would have to be approved by the full House of Representatives.

Representative Jim Wright, the majority for information. I am suggesting that we discuss the matter, fully before any steps are taken by me or anyone size. Thank stone in the subcommittee investi.

gating the Kennedy assassination, said that the full committee would meet on Monday in an attemptitio accept rules and a proposed budget and to confider whether to hold public hearings on the progress and substance of both the Kennedy and King investigations.

The idea of hearings was acknowledged Include or hourings was acknowledged by several committee imembers as an at-tempt to redured the attention of both the Congress and the public to the sub-stance of the investigations, rather than the battle between Mr. Gonzalez and Mr. Sprague

Mombers of the committee refused to say who the three witnesses might be However, Representative Christophir Dodd, Democrat of Connecticut, said in response to questions that they would not include James Earl Ray, the man who pleaded guilty of killing Dr. King and who has indicated that he would like to teatify.

Whether the committee could stage hearings before losing its mandate, which expires on March 31, was questioned by Representative John B. Anderson, Republican of Illinois, and a member of the committee.

"A lawyer doesn't put witnesses on the stand bufore they have been thoroughly interrogated in private," he said, adding that because of various restrictions such private questioning has not yet occurred "I'm a little bit mystified as to who

these witnesses are and as to what

authorization.

In another development, a spokesman for the Federal Bureau of Investigation

Sprague: Staff Has 'Conspiracy' Leads

The chief counsel of the House Assassinations Committee said yesterday he has gathered uncorroborated "information" suggesting a conspiracy in the murders of both President Kennedy and the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.

Struggling to keep their investigation alive, committee members convened yesterday in secret session for a briefing from chief counsel Richard. A. Sprague and his aides on the progress of the inquiry so far.

In a short statement before the press and public were excluded, Sprague told the committee that the information compiled to date was a blend of reports from "witnesses who have advised us directly that they have relevant information" and hearsay about "witnesses whom we have been told have information."

As a result, he added in straightface understatement, "We have been unable to do what I would consider a thorough check of the information we have been advised of."

That said, the former Philadelphia prosecutor continued, "The sum total of the information would be in the nature of evidence indicating that others may have had knowledge of or participated in arranging the assassinations of Dr. King and President Kennedy."

of Dr. Aing and Frendent Aennedy.
Sprague did not elaborate, but it seemed likely that much of the information originated with the many books and articles written in recent years in attempts to debunk the official findings that there was no credible evidence of a conspiracy in either murder. The committee's 73-memberstaff has been bogged down by controversy in recent weeks, cut off from classified FBI files and unable to travel or even make cong-distance phone calls.

Trying to regain its momentum fol-

The Warren Commission, which investigated the 1963 Kennedy assessination with the resources of the FBI and other government agencies, concluded that Lee Harvey Oswald acting alone, killed the President Sintlarly, the FBi concluded that James Earl Ray, now serving a 99-year prison term, was King's lone assassin, although a Justice Department task force recently conceded that the sources of Ray's money "atul remain a mystery today"

After Sprague's brief public presentation, the committee voted 8 to 2 to go into secret assistin Dissenting were Reps Charles Thone (R.Neo.) and Harold E. Ford (D-Tenn.).

Sprague mentioned no names, not even those of Oswald or Ray, but it seemed clear that the "others" he had in mind were in addition to those two men. He also told the committee that there was some information indicating that some undentified individuals.

knew in advance that the Kennedy assassination "was about to occur."

Most of yesterday's session, however, was spent on the King murder? At a lunch hour briefing. Strikes told reporters that the committee was moving with deliberate caution, but he said there was "a very good possibility that some witnesses" may be called to a public hearing before March 31 in an effort to demonstrate the need for continuing the inquiry.

The new chairman would not discuss the witnesses who might testify, but other sources said two under conaderation are Ray's brother, Jerry, and former Memphis police detective Edward E. Redditt, one of two black plainfolthesmen assigned to keep King under surveillence before the 1988 murder.

HEWS SERVICE

Date	1	Apr
Item No	4	
Ref. No.	3	

DISTRIBUTION II

2123222

#30-633ASSINATIONS-LETTER: 000

DALLAS (AP) - THE JALLAS BUREAU OF THE FET SAID FRIDAY THAT A LETTER SUPPOSEDLY WRITTEN BY LEE MARVEY DEWALD TO A "MER. HUNT" IS UNCER INVESTIGATION BUT THE BUREAU CARROT SAY WHO WAS THE INTENDED RECIPIENT.

STATEMENTS BY A JUTCH JOURNALIST IN WASHINGTON QUOTED GEORGE DE Morrenschildt, a Dallas college patressga who committed spicioe this WEEK: AS SPYING HE WAS THE MIDDLEMAN BETWEEN (SWALD AND THE LATE Dateas mictionaine citama r. o. Mont.

Published Reports have said the Letter was intended for to L. Houts BUT DUNT FRMILT SPOKESMEN HAVE DEMIED CONSISTENTLY THAT THE CONSERVATIVE MILLONAIRE EVER KNEW ÜSWALD.

DEMALDI ACCORDING TO THE MARKEN COMMISSIONI FIRED THE SHOTS THAT RILLED PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY IN ORLLAS ON NOV. 22: 1963. R SPOKESKAN FOR THE FOL SAID THE AGENCY HAS AN ""OPEN HIND" ! ABOUT WHETHER THE LETTER WAS REALLY INTENDED FOR FUNT.

HE SAID THE LETTER CAME TO THE FOR "RECENTLY."

Another FBI source said it was **Assumes** The Detter was addressed TO A. L. HUNT FREEAUSE OF THE WAY IT CAME TO US: BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN SOME OTHER HUNT. 33

THE SOURCE DECLINED TO SAY WHO THENED THE LETTER OVER TO THE FOLL FIBUT IT CAME TO US IN A ROUNDABOUT WAY. 65

A BUNT FAMILY SPOKESMAN SAID "FIT IS HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL TO ASSUME WITH ABSOLUTELY NO SUPPORTIVE EVICENCE THAT THE TR. HUNT IN THE ALLEGED LETTER REFERS TO THE LATE H. L. FUNT. SUCH UNSUSTANTIATED SLURS AGAINST A MAN WHO IS DECEASED AND CAN NO LONGER DEFEND HIMSELF ARE SHAMEFUL. !!

As FOR DE MOHRENSCHILDT! AN FEI SPOKESMAN SAID THE BISHOP COLLEGE PROFESSOR WAS WELL KNOWN TO AUTHORITIES DURING THE INVESTIGATION THAT FOLLOWED THE ASSASSINATION.

 DE MOHRENSCHILDTI NHO WAS BORN" IN THE SOVIET UNION; KNEW THE USAALOS BEFORE THE ASSASSINATION AND HE AND HIS WIFE SAID THEY BEFRIENDED THE COUPLE BECAUSE ÜSHALD'S WIFE! MARINA! WAS RUSSIAN. 1344pES 04-01

James R. Dickenson

Can assassination questions be allayed?

Like the Lincoln assassination, the assassinations of John F. Kennedy and Marun Luther King Jr. promise to be with us forever. The continuation of the House of Representatives investigating committee assures that, but if there were no committee something else would keep the controverșies alive.

The committee's image has been tarnished by the Keystone Kops quarrel over its original chief counsel but even if it had gotten off to the most harmonious cossible start its chances of establishing the truth of the tragedies wouldn't be any greater.

For the hard reality is that most of us have more chance at sainthood than of ever getting an account of the shootings that will patisfy most of us as defini-

The "leads" that leaked out of the committee recently are more convincing as attempted justification for its existence than as hard évidence

One is from a woman who worked for Jack Ruby, who killed Lee Harvey Oswald, Kennedy's assassin (in the nature of the confroversy this flat statement will be challenged by many) who says that Ruby intreduced her to Oswald in his nightclub and said Oswald was associated with the CIA. There is a nurse at the hospital where Kennedy died who contends that there were lour or five bullet fragments, too many to be consistent with the Warren Commission's singlebullet theory, removed from John Connally's wound.

There are reports of 'crucial' photographs, reportedly confiscated by the FBI, of the grassy knell next to the Texas Book Depository where Oswald fired his shots. Skeptics of the Warren Commission report contend witnesses heard shots there and that there are photographs of pulls of smoke and gunmen on the knoll.

There are allegations that billionaire H.L. Hunt and other Texas oilmen hired Oswald to kill Kennedy.

The problem is that there are so many ambiguous crucial facts that can be interpreted differently depending on the opinion each individual brings to them.

There is a large body of literature, for instance, on whether or not a single bullet could have passed through Kennedy's body and inflicted the wounds h and Connally suffered. Once you've read it all,

The basic disagreement between antithetical views. One is held by those who can't believe that anything so monstrous could be the result of caprice in the form of one disturbed indi-vidual. Some find this so disturbing as to be unacceptable.

Many intellectuals, who

almost by definition seek an orderly structure of things, are in this group. So are those most suspicious of the "establishment," particu-"establishment," particularly the CIA and FBI, and believe there is a giant conspiracy on high to eliminate leaders such as King and the Kennedys.

There is some justification for this. John Kennedy's assassination was the opening of a Pandora's Box of more than 10 years of bad times. Subsequent revelations indicated that the FBI and CIA indeed were not above some criminal prac-

"Human ambition, venality, greed, crime -; all these we live with and can handle," Garry Wills wrote in his book on Jack Ruby. 'No matter how criminal such acts are at least conventional in their sequence of cause and effect . . . There is his much, at least, of reason and light in the darkness of the darkest

Better that than the vision of total night of superhuman or subhuman forces, revealing themselves, at however, you don't feet any last, as anti-human, erasing closer to the truth than all man's pretension, all when you started.

On the other hand there are those who are skeptical that a conspiracy of such magnitude could be out together and kept secret for so long and/or don't want to believe that powerful members of their government and society could be in-volved in such a matter.

These people hold that if

two persons know about something, given the blabby nature of mankind, the chances of its remaining secret are minimal and that if three know it's sure to be

The conspiracy theories seem to run in cycles. The stories that right-wing Texas oil millionaires were behind the Kennedy assussingtion is the latest. A year and a half ago the leading theory was that the mob was the likely perpetrator since it had both the resources, including ties with the CIA, and the motive because of the loss of gambling casinos and heroin connections in Havana and the hope that a different administration might be more aggressive in ousting Cas-

The reports that Oswald had CIA and FBI ties are as old as the crime itself. He had an address book with the telephone and license place numbers of an FBI agent, and one Dalles police investigator said he would be "disappointed" if the FBI hadn't tered to recruit Oswald as an informant. Sarah Jane Moore, who tried to shoot Gerald Ford, had been an FBI informant.

The House established its committee arguing correctly that the controversy will continue, as has that over Lincola's assassination, until the truth is established. Its hope that it or anything eise can establish that truth is a true monument to American optimism.

N PAGE Legle March 1977

Subpoena Reported For Gang Figure

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

The House Assassinations Committer has secretly subpoened time for the Santo Trafficante Jr. for its first hearing today into the murder of president John F. Rennedy, according to informed sources

Once the overlord of syndicate gambling in Cuba. Trafficante is the only surviving member of a gangland trio that, was secretly enlisted by the Contral Intelligence Agency in 1960 in efforts to kill Cubar. Premier Fidel Castro.

The ot, er two men, described as Mafia members, were Sam (Momo) Giancana, who was shot to death in nis suburbyn Chicago home two years fixed; and his longtime heutenant. Johnly Rosselli, whose decomposed book was found last summer in an oil doffin floating in the backwaters of Mami's Biscayne Bay.

Trafficante is expected to protest compulsory appearance, and has importedly hired Boston lawyer F. Lee. Biley to represent him.

"You can't blame him when you rehember what happened to the other wo," one source said

Giancana, 65, was murdered as he prepared a midnight snack of sausages and spinach on June 19, 1975, shortly after his release from a hospital and on the heels of preliminary efforts by the Senate Intelligence Committee to contact him in its investigation of CTA assassination plots.

Rosselli, who had been involved in several abortive plots against Castro, testified before the Senate committee five days after Giancana was killed. Thirteen months later, on July 28, 1975, he disappeared from his Plantation. Fla., home. His hacked-up budy was found 10 days later in a 55-gailon oil drum weighted with heavy chains!

Both slayings remain unsolved. But long before his murder. Rosselli had been privately claiming to his attorney that Castro had become aware of the CIA sponsored plots against him and "thereafter employed teams of individuals who were dispatched to the United States for the purpose of assassinating Mr. Kennedy."

Initially introduced to his CIA contacts in late 1960 as "Joe," Trafficante was described as someone who would serve as a courier to Cuba and make arrangements there in the plot to kill Castro. Trafficante had lived in Cuba, and he still had a number of associates there even after Castro seized their gambling enterprises in Havana.

Although he did not testify during the Senate Intelligence Committees 18 month investigation of CIA misdeeds, Trafficante was questioned se; cretiy Tast; fall by ; Senate committee investigators in connection with Ros-

Trafficante reportedly said he dined with Rosselli at a Fort Lauderdale restaurant less than two weeks before Rosselli's disappear once. He was said to have described this and other get togethers as "friendly social events."

togethers as "friendly social events with no business."

As long ago as March, 1967 Rosselli had expressed his belief in a Cuban connection to the Kennedy assessination to the FBI through his Washington lawyer, Edward P. Moryan, Morgan, in turn, told the FBI that several of his clients who "were on the fringe of the widderworld" informed him that Castro had learned of the plotting against him.

The House Assasmations Committee had been talking of staging a hearing on the assassination of the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. in hopes of keeping that investigation alive at least past March 31, when its current charter expires. The decision to hold a hearing on the JFK assassination apparently reflects new hope within the committee for continuing that inquiry as well.

Committee lawyers have been in contact with an attorney for James Earl Ray, serving a Dycar prison term for King's 1988 murder but Ray is not expected to make any public appearances soon beyond a nationally televised interview last night on the Columbia Broadeasting System's "Who's Who" (WTOP-TV).

Interviewed by correspondent Dan Rather, Ray denied, as he has, before, firing the snot that killed King, and insisted that he was not even in the rooming house across the street from King's motel at the time of the murder.

Asked why he was femalning silent if someone else did it, rather than telling all. Ray serd he feared that what ever he said might be turned against him. "I think a lot of people have a sort of a Pollyanna view of the legal system," he said.

THE NEW YORK SINES, 3

Underworld Figure Refuses to Talk Before House Assasination Panel

WASHINGTON Reach of (UP) - christ-Trufficialts Fr., shou the swellost of sysdicate temptime below you reside a losstempt destine below you to below the swer any questions from a House Unimitize shout the ambeinedict of Freedent Section of puts to kill Preside Feder Castro of Subs.

hir. Trafficants in the baly survivi among three inderworld figures deecribed in earlied Confinentianal testimony as being enlisted by the Central Intelligence Agency if 1946 to kill the Central Premier. The two ethics were killed and their nurrieurs reliable and their survivers reliable and their survivers reliable and their survivers.

Asked if he had been threshold concerning his appearance, Mr. Trafficints refused to region disting constitutions

Trefuse to above as my constitution at rights, the gray-haired Tumpa Prades responded to 15 guistions from the first year handless the Stone Assessments. Committee, which, is its vastigating in Seather of President Kennedy and the Residents

Dr. clarun Live song Jr. Curis Stoke Finelly, Representative Curis Stoke Democrat of Object charges of the Committee, reminded Br. Tutticate Check was vulnerable by committee Committee Curis Committee Commi

Mr. Trafficially Schi plantana and ion Rossett Mile best mentioned the revious tastimost in Serving best mounted by the SLA in the 1800's to

inities is flying he find out if there was sany connection between the Castro plot and the Kannedy essentiantion.

Mr. Giancana was shot in his suburban Chicago pome in 1875 shortly after Secate investigators tried to question him about the past. Etc. Royaldi was found dead in an off stress in Sincayae Bay man Misself in 1875 about Matthying better in Sente committee.

Questions asked by committee counsel primary A. Sprague, that Mr. Frafficant ratued to mraver included the bellowing:

"Ellis" he ever been connected with the G.T.A. or any other Pyderal againty? "

cana?

4Did he know Robert Mayhin, a fermer agent of the Rederis Bureau of Investigation associate of Howard R. Hughes who make Lostified that he had

Cabtry's Goldin's GDId he have Mivance indifferentiate the President Kentnety would be hardestent ed? Did he advise other pages of the

recognition the manner process of the last of the last



Sweets Trafficants & redding to Spiner before Red, Spines priced-

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER



AN-ASSASSINATE-FINDINGS 3-25 MASHINGTON (CUSI) -- HERE ARE THE HAJEF FIRDINGS OF THE HOUSE ASSASSINATION COMMITTEE'S FIRST REPORT THIS WERE ON ITS INVESTIGATION OF THE KILLINGS OF JOHN F. KENNEDY AND MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

___THE_COMMITTEE HAS "UNCORPOSE FATED INFORMATION" THAT LEE HAPPEY OSHALD, KENNEDY'S RILLER, AND JACK RUSY, OSHALD'S KILLER, KNEW EACH OTHER BEFORE THE JFK KILLING. THE WARPEN COMMISSION CONCLUDED THEY DID NOT KNOW EACH OTHER.

-- IT HAS "SEVERAL NEW LEADS" THAT OSMALD WAS "ASSOCIATED WITH CIR-SUPPORTED ANTI-CASTRO GROUPS AND MHICH SUBGEST THAT HIS REPORTED PRO-CASTRO ACTIVITIES AND ACSSIBLY HIS ALLEGED TRIP TO MEXICO CITY MRY HAVE BEEN DELIBERATELY DESIGNED TO MASK THOSE ASSOCIATIONS." -- "DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE FEE, SOME OF WHICH ARE IN

THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, INDICATE THAT THE BUFFAY DESIROYED RELEVANT EVIDENCE AND ROPFFERED MISUSACING STATEMENTS TO THE MARREN COMMISSION.

-- TIME ROST IMPORTANT CURPENT DEVELOPMENT IN THE PING -INVESTIGATION IS THE WILLINGNESS OF JAMES EAPLIFEY ... TO THE COMMITTEE, " CONCERNING HIS CONVICTION ON CHARGES OF FILLING BING.

HA B NOU-BECERSED INFOFMANT OF THE SOLTHERN FOLICE REPORTED HE OVERHEARD MKEYBERS OF TWO ORDANICATIONS REPORTED HE OWER-ERRO THE PENEL SHID THE COMMITTEE IS INTERESTED THE NEED TO MEDITARING THE FRANCUSHID THE COMMITTEE IS INTERESTED. THE INVESTIGATING THIS FAD STHER COMMITTEES FED LERGS."

-- THE FRANCUSHID IT WANTS MORE INFORMATION ON HIS REM GOT BETWEEN \$7,000 END FEREST FOR HIS IRING ABROAD IN THE THE MINTHS RETER THE

king killing dwo the time he kas arrasasyres

-- IT WILL INVESTIGATE RUBLISHED PERGETS A MEMBER OF FASTS FAMILY MAY HAVE INFORMATION REGET FARTS CLAIR HE HAD AN ABSCICATE IN MEMARIS AT THE TIME OF THE KING KILLING.

-- EXTENSIVE BRILISTIC TESTS WILL BE MADELOF G-E RIFLE THAT FAM IS said to Have USED to Kill Kimb.

MPI 03-28 19:59 PES

Assassinations Probe Nearing Showdown; Counsel Under Fire

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

The House Rules Committee cleared the way yesterday for a show down over the House assassinations inquiry amid a audien outburst of demands for the ouster of chief counsel Richard A. Sprague.

"I think this resolution is in serious trouble on the House floor," Rep. Morgan Murphy (D-III) warned members of the Assassinations Committee at a Rearing before the Rules Committee.

Although Speaker Thomas P. (Tip) O'Neill has promised "wholehearted support" for keeping the investigations alive, Murphy said advocates of the inquiry were seriously underestimater, "the feelings of the members of the House" about Sprague.

"I think you ought to get your own man in there," Murphy warned the newly installed Assassinations Committee cheirman, Louis Stokes (D-Ohio). "What he can do to Henry, he can do to you."

Rep. Henry B. Gonzalez (D.Tex.), the former chairman whom Murphy was talking about, underscored the mood in a bitter speech on the House floor about how he unsuccessfully tried to fire Sprague last month. He said he was deserted by House Democratic leaders and committee colleagues, and blamed it at least partly on racial discrimination.

"I think I have been treated shabbily." Gonzalez declared. "I think that if my name were Jones or O'Brien or Rosenthal or George Washington Carver or Martin Luther King, I would not have been treated so shabbily."

The Texas Democrat held the floor for more than an bour with a chapterand-verse recitation of his efforts to restore order to the committee's finances.

The day after he ordered Sprague's dismissal. Gonzalez said, Majority Leader Jim Wright (Tex.) assured him, "Well, fella, we're with you. You're right."

"I don't know how anybody could interpret that," Gonzalez said. "I took it as support." But it soon disappeared when the rest of the committee remained solidly behind Sprague. Gonzalez quit the chairmanship and the committee on March 8.

With heavy sarcasm, Gonzalez at various points imitated the voices of Reps. Shiriey Chisholm (D.-N.Y.) and Richardson Preyer (D.-N.C.) speaking at a Rules Committee hearing in January, described Rep. Richard Bolling (D.-Mo.) as "the great rajah of the Rules Committee," and called Del. Walter E. Fauntroy (D.-D.C.) the vizier of Washington."

The Rules Committee voted 9 to 4 to set up a floor vote Wednesday on continuing the Investigations into the murders of President Kennedy and Martin Luther King Jr. for the remainder of the 95th Congress. Two other Rules Committee members, Murphy and Rep. John Young (D-Tex.), voted "present"

Appointed chairman March 8, 1 Stokes said he inherited a committee staff that was marking time with "almost no stationery, almost no supplies" and was "unable to travel" or even make a long-distance phone call. He atrongly urged keeping the investications going past their current March 31 expiration date and submitted a 14-page report indicating some of the "leads" the committee is pursuing or the station of the stations.

The information, however, smacked of twice-told tales. In one passage reminiscent of former New Orleans District Attorney Jim Gartison's celebrated investigation, the committee said it is "actively pursuing several new leads which indicate that Lee Harvey Oswald was associated with CIA-supported anti-Castro groups".

Raising the persistent specter of a "false Oswald," the committee said those same "leads" also "suggest" that Oswald's "reported pro-Castro activities" and his "alleged" trip to Mexico City might have been deliberately designed to mask his CIA-supported anti-Castro associations.

Stokes insisted at yesterday's hearing that the inquiry was running smoothly now with Gonzalez gone. The new chairman maintained that Sprague had satisfactorily countered all the charges against him at a secret committee meeting recently.

Murphy noted that Gonzalez was not present when the questioning of Sprague was conducted. "We're taking Sprague's word over Gonzalez' word, as I understand it," Murphy eaid. Citing Gonzalez' speech on the House floor earlier in the afternoon, Murphy said he was especially concerned about a charge that Sprague had for bidden committee staffers to furnish payroll information to committee members.

Stokes said that Sprague "has offered a number of times to step aside if it would be of assistance to the committee," but the committee remains solidly behind him.

"I think you ought to accept that offer," Murphy said,

Rep. Joe Moakley (D-Mass.) said he has been supporting the investigation for months, but he agreed that the issue in the minds of nost House members is "not whether the assassinations committee ought to be reconstituted. It's whether Mr. Sprague should be retained as chief counsel."

Rep Boiling who will serve as floor manager for the Wednesday resolution to keep the committee alive, told a reporter that he thinks the odds still favor its passage, but added: "It's awfully close. It'll probably change three times before Wednesday."

lary C(Prorv

The Pior Thar Ousted Chairman Gonzalez

We may or may not find out whether Lee Harvey Oswald and James Earl Ray acted alone. The Storm-tossed House Select Committee on Assassinations promises it has leads which could prove they did not.

The only thing that the committee has produced so far is evidence that its erstwhile chairman, Henry Gonzalez of Texas, was the victim of a

Gonzalez, a large, swarthy, assertive man wearing a rust-color cordu-roy suit, took the floor to describe the conspiracy. He was forced off the committee after he tried to fire its high-powered chief counsel, Richard

Sprague. During his speech, Gonzalez reinforced the impression long since formed of him — that he is not what you would call a judicious man He also demonstrated that he is no diplomat. He mimicked and mocked his colleagues, Rep. Shirley Chisholm of New York and D.C. Delegate Walter

Fauntroy. He charged House Speaker Thomas P. O'Neill Jr., who was in his small, uncomfortable audience, with failing him in his had a undence. with failing him in his hour of need, when Gonzales retreated to Texas to lick his wounds - and a high fever

"I never even got a get-well card." Gonzalez cried.

NOR WAS HE ABLE to reach hit leader, although O'Neill had taken his side and had instructed Majority Leader Jim Wright of Texas to be the r mediator.

Gonzalez at length resigned from the committee. Members were shocked. It wasn't exactly as if the Senate Watergate Committee had chosen Sam Dash over Sam Ervin. but it was, for many, a horrendous

precedent. A delegation of members went to the speaker during this period when the committee shuddered and cracked under the Sprague-Gonzules hostilities, and persuaded him of its right to life. Richardson Preyer of North Carolina found O'Neill particu-larly susceptible to the suggestion that the committee had reason to be-lieve that Lee Harvey Oswald, the Kennedy killer, and Jack Ruby had known each other.

They further pointed out that to percent of the American people, according to the Gallup Poll, were appropried by the findings of the Warren Commission. O'Neill is hung to "credibility" of government this seed on — it was the reason he published on hard for the new code of pushed so hard for the new code of ethics that the House reluctantly ac-

POLITICALLY, he did not want committee members, and especially the vociferous chief counsel, running around the country crying that they had been deprived of funds on the

brink of breakthrough.
With his bleering, the Assassinations Committee was "reconstituted." The budget was slashed. The reprehensible electronic devices announced by the chief counsel were banished. The committee regrouped under the leadership of Rep. Louis Stokes, D.Ohio.

Preyer, the nearest thing to an elder statesman that the House can boast, thinks that both the moneysavers and the civil liberturians have been assuaged, and that the inquiry can now go forward along orderly and productive lines.

Still, questions remain, questions beyond the assassinations them-selves, that is Martin Luther King Sr. said Congress did not want to know who killed his son, and that the investigation dould be "a waste of

investigation mouth be a waste of taxpa, ers money.

The blaster have never been satisfied that J. Edgar Hoover, whose hatred of Martin Luther King Jr. has been amply documented, did everything he could to probe out the mysterious background of James Earl ly, who, aithough apparently uniless, took frequent foreign trips. with some sophisticated juggling of essports.

AT THE RULES Committee, where Stokes went to plend for a repribve for the panel, the questions were not about the assassinations. They were about the thief counsel. Richard Sprague.

Sprague is obviously a tiger in the gadden of the House.

Why was Sprague retained, Stokes Why was Sprague retained, Stokes was repeatedly asked by hostile members? Because, said Stokes, using the word "dedication" a little excessively, Gonzalez' charges against him had been disproved.
And, besides, if Sprague were fired, half or even more of the staff would clear out, too, despite their indescribable "dedication". They would decame in protest of the uniust

indescribable "dedication" They swould decamp in protest of the unjust and unfair accusations which Gonzalez had brought against Sprague.

he was sure he could tame the tear. They weren't so sure. It seeded as if Sprague had barrianded himself into the Capitol and was holding the committee hostage.

Gonzalez had unwittingly done as much as he could to preserve the committee. While members don't like to see a colleague passed over for an overbearing staff member, they also don't admire Gonzalez, who made the House a laughingstock by his inability to housebreak his tigercounsel.

The House Select Committee on Assassinations, inept and imperiled as it is, represents all the same — as Norman Mailer, ar unexpected ally, said last week — the only game in town: The last chance to find out if Oswald and Ray acted alone when they fired those shots from which the country has just begun to recover.





Ilgure in JFK Assassination Probe in Florida, an Apparent Suicide

PALM BEACH, Fig. March 29 (AP) the dimes: apportedly sought by the later. Assarsinations Committee in the surgicular of President Kennsylva assarsination was found dead to be a perfectly as a police and appeared to be a perfectly as a perfectly a g gast

wictim, George de Mohrenschildt fiblias, Tex., a geologist who taught yach at Blahop College, reportedly as been an acquaintance of Lee Harvey world and his wife Marina. Pack Beach County Sheriff's Li. Richeld fibers said there was "no reason widged anything other than suicide.

investigation is continuing. Bu:

The Second ruling will be made

to purpose Wednesday.

was found by his daughter Alexands
33, in the guest room of a palatial and

33. in the guest room of a palatia (a. 1948), and arived there from where they again had arived there from where they again withing ralatives. Sheets said the "At the time of the shooting, as was alone in the house except for the maids who said they did not hear the shot." Sheets said. No suitede main was found.

Willem Oltmans, a Dutch journalist who has been interviewed by baseless that the committee investigations have

ination committee investigation he said publicly that the Russian is Mohrmschildt told him in the wide who have the felt some responsibilities.

Kennedy's slayings
An essaidisation penel source
to investigatore best gety
februad de Montpolitical y a

CIA Weighed sing JFK's Assassin

WASHINGTON — (AP) — Contrary to swem testimony, the CIA once considered using presidential assassin Tre Harvey Oswald as a source of intelligence information about the Soviet Union percentage to a tending the conference. ion, according to a newly released CIA document.

In sworn testimony before the Warren Commission, former CIA Director Richard Belms said the exency never had "or even contemplated" any contacts with Oswald.

The newly released cocument, written by an unidentified CIA officer three days after President John F. Kennedy Das Filled in Dallas on Nov. 22, 1963, says that "we showed intelligence interest" in Oswald and "Goscosed... the laying on of interviews."

The unidentified officer added that "I

do not know what action developed thereafter."

The memo was among hundreds of puges of documents from the CIA's file to Lee Harvey Oswald. The material was released to The Associated Press. Thursday under the Freedom of Infermatica Act.

A second document reveals that for-

the A second occurrent reverse that for-ther CIA Director Alten Dilles, while serving as a member of the War or Commission, p. rately removed CIA Officials on the best way to answer ques-tions from the commission about allegations that Oswaid was a CIA agent.
Dulles "thought language which

made it clear that Lee Harvey Oswald

was never an employe to apent of CIA would suffice," an unidentified CIA officer write Bob's in April 1954.

"I agree with him that a carefully pheased denial of the charges of involvement with Oswald permed noted appropriate," the undertified officer ad hid.

When he appropriate the commission in May 1964, Helms, the object of the agency's clandinative services, testined under each that "There's no motion at an the Central Intelligence search." at in the Central Intelligence Agency, either in the records or in the mond of any of the individuals that there will give Gottack had or even contemplated with Oswald.

The Nov. 25, 1503, meet a exprises that the agency's interest to Crounid as a potential intelligence source was obe to his "to assorb the his "to assorb the his "to assorb the his "to the his bad different in Egs."

to which he had defected in 1928. "We were particularly indicated in the defected Owneld implies passed on the Mind factory in which he had been upon the control of the co (deleted) that might help develop (de-leted) personally dessers," the fine of States

The allegations that Oswald was CIA agent were first made by bis mily er, Margornie, and attorney Mark have Author document released by the agency shows that the CD, behaved on hall-patrons were "elements of a world wate, Soviet spoisored, propaganda, and mis-information records." intermation program,

Critics of Warren Re Objects of CIA Campaign

The Central IntoLigence Agency directed its offices around the world in 1957 "to employ propaganda assets" to counter doubts raised by critics of the Warren Commission's investigation into the assassination of Presiduel Kennedy.

The propaganda campaign was to be waged in part by posting unclassified information about the assassibation to CIA "assets" who could use the material in writing "book reviews and fea-ture articles" that would "snewer and refute the attacks of the critics," according to a newly released CIA doc

The document said the aim was "to provide material for countering and discrediting the claims of the comparacy theorists, so as to intuint the cirentation of such claims in other coun-

The document was among some 8.0 pages of material released yesterday by the CIA under the Freedom of Information Act.

The Comments show that the CIA examined copies of atmost all books about the November, 1962, assassination, including one by then Congressional Gerald R. Ford, A. ClA officer called Ford's book "a re-harh of the Oswald case" and criticized its "loose"

Ford was a trember of the Warren Couraission which renegoded that Lee Harvey Oswald was saidy responsible for the assessination. Ford quoted ex-tensively from secret sessions of the commission in his book, "Portrait of in Assassin," which agreed with the commission's finding.

The 1957 dispotch to "chiefs, certain

stations and bases" says that the rash

of books and articles criticizing the Warren Commission's finding "is a matter of concern to the U.S. government, including our organization." "Efforts to impuga [the] reclinde and wisdom [of commission members

and staff) tend to cast doubt on the whole leadership of American societhe memo said.

ty," the memo said.
"Moreover, there seems to be an increasing tendency to hint that President Johnsen, himself, as the one person who might be said to have bene-fited, was in some way responsible for the assessination

Unnuendo of such persons ess affects not only the individual con-cerned, but also the whole reputation of the American government."

In using prepaganda assets to re-fute these charges, the dispatch said, "our play should point out as applicable, that the critics are (1) wedded to theories adopted before the evidence was in, (2) politically interested, (3) for nancially interested, (4) hasty and in-accurate in their research, or (5) infatuated with their eva theories."

To "

HOUSE VOTES TO KEE ASSASSINATION PARE

After Sprague Quits as Staff Chief, inquiry is Extended Breedings.

By RICHARD L, MADDEN Secula: to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 30-The House of Representatives voted today to keep . its Select Committee on Assassinations, in existence through next year. The vote was taken after the committee's chief counsel, Richard A. Sprague, resigned.

The vote, which was 230 to 181, assures that the embattled committee, which was established lust September, will be able to pursue its investigations of the assassinations of President Kennedy and the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. throughout the term of the current 95ti. Con-

The 12-member committee, which received an interim extension by the House last Feb. 2, would have gone out of business tomorrow if the House had not preserved it.

In Florida, sources familiar with the apparent, suitide of George de Mohrenschildt, whose tesumony the committee had been seeking, said today that he might once have been a Central Intelligence Agency operative and a confidant of Lee Parvey Oswald. [Page A14.]

Mombers of the committee and other representatives acknowledged that the

Continued on Page Al3, Col. 1 . . .

resignation of Mr. Sprague, which was announced this morning before the House convened, had made it easier to secure enough votes to rec natitute the commit-

Resignation Meant 40 Votes'

"With Sprague resigning, they claim it means 40 more vot." the Speaker of the House, Thou 2. O'Neill Jr., Democrat of Massaclusetts, said before

The former chairman of the committee, Representative Henry B. Gonzalez, Demo-crat of Texas, tried to dismiss Mr. Sprague as chief counsel and staff director last month on the ground of alleged

to committee backets for prague, and Mr. Gonzalez resigned Me t sartier this month.

In the last two days, Mr. Gonzalez had made speeches on the House floor accusing Mr. Sprague of, among other things, interfering with his work as chairman, insubordination and creating disharmony.

Last night several members of the com-mittee gathered in the office of Represen-tative Leuis Stokes, Democrat of Ohio, who is the new committee chairman, and concluded, according to one participant, that they were about 25 votes short of the majority recent to reconstitute the committee

Tacts of Life Discussed

A number of representatives had told committee members that the continued the committee would lead them to vote against conthruing the committee.

The committee members discussed what Edgar, Demo-Representative Robert W. grat of Pennsylvania, called

r period. The committee later trimmed hat sudget sequest to \$2.8 million for he Mrst year, with the expectation that in clinifer amount would be sought next

Members of the committee said that Mr. Sprague had resigned voluntarily and that the committee met this morning to

accept his resignation "with regret."

The committee members unanimously praised Mr. Sprague for his work and made public transcripts of a closed meeting they held earlier this month with Mr. Spingue. The representatives said that the transcripts refuted all the criticism that had been made against Mr. Sprague. by Mr. Gonzalez and in news accounts

Pickie Calls Inquiry 'Sick Cat'

Walter E Fauntroy, Democrat, delegate from the District of Columbia, said that allegations against Mr. Sprague had "absolutely no bears for fact" and added "abstract the counsel's resignation to allow the in-Vestigations to continue "in my judgment ments the Congressional Meda! of Honor."

of Jife" with Mr. Sprague, who then went to an antercom and dictated a letter to the committee. The letter said:

"I am hereby submitting my resignation

affective immediately, as chief sourced and staff diffictor of the House Select Committee on Assassinations.

"I do so with the hope that the Con gress can now proceed with the challenge of seeing that these investigations are pursued promptly.

Mr. Sprague, 51 years old, who had nined a reputation as an aggressive contents in Pennsylvania before joining the committee late last year, left town the resigning according to a committee

Today's events marked another turning policies to the brief, turbulent history of the committee, which was created last year with only 65 dissenting votes.

Before the public dispute arose between Mr. Gonzalez and Mr. Sprague, the chief counsel had angered some representatives by requesting a budget of \$13 million to conduct the investigations over a two-

Representative Samuel L. Republican of Ohio, said that other memers of the House had told committee ers: "If you get rid of that Sprague, with you. That's what it's all

In the firm hours of debate later on a Rouse floor, several representatives criticized the committee's work. Representative J. J. Pickle, Democrat of Texas, called the investigations "a sick cat."

But supporters of the committee con-tended that the investigators should be

kended that the investigators should be allowed to try to resolve unanswered questions about the Kennedy and King assassinations. Committee members also geontended that they were pursuing "new Beads," but did not give details.

The House, however, rejected, 226 to 185, an effort to get it to go into a rure secret session to hear evidence the committee has gathered. Also, House voted, 223 to 195, to dalete the committee's request for authority to intervene in tawauits or to seek court actions to receive information. reck information.

マックチロ

Was He Responsible for Oswald's Act?

dt-JFK Enigma

By Jeremiah O'Leary Weshington Star Staff Writer

When George de Mohrenschild: disappeared in Brussels last March 7, he could have been tabbed either as just another fruitcake or someone out to make a buck on his acquaintance with Lee and Marina Oswald.

Or, just possibly, he could have been the man responsible for Os-wald's behavior when President John F. Kennedy was shot to death in 1963 as he reportedly acknowledged in the manuscript of a book he had written.

But today, this much is certain about the 65-year-old Russian born emigre: He is now in a Palm Beach, Fla., morgue, dead of an apparently self-inflicted chotgun wound.

He was of sufficient interest to the House Committee on Assassinations that one of its investigators was in Palm Reach on Tuesday seeking to interview him when the ostensible suicide occurred.

• He is once again of considerable in-terest to the FBI, which devoted a major portion of Book 9 of the Warren Commission Report to de Mohrenschildt.

If de Moh. enschildt had a motive for committing suicide in his daughter's house, it is not known. Non is it known why he was negotiating with Dutch publishers to sell his book about the Kennedy case when he disappeared in the Belgian capital shortly after meeting a Soviet diplo-

He has been categorized as either an opportunist or as a man with a history of mental illness who was subject to wild changes of mood. But he cannot be ignored so long as the House investigative panel and the FBI are genuinely interested in find-ing out what role, if any, de Mohren-schildt played in the killing of President Kennedy in a Dallas motorcade on Nov. 22, 1963.

THAS LONG BEEN known that

de Mohrenschildt, as part of the Dallas Russian-speaking community, was close to Lee Harvey Oswald and his Russian wife, Marina. The FBI says it checked him out every way from Sunday in the days and weeks after the presidential assassination. He was a witness before the Warren Commission, and what he said then can be found in 118 pages of that bulky report.

What is not known is what his motive was for writing the manuscript of a book entitled "I'm a Patsy, I'm a Patsy" and claiming that it is the and claiming that it is the story of how he was responsible for Oswald's assassination of Kennedy.

ble to a Dutch journalist named Wil-lem Oltmans, who was interviewed by The Washington Star on March 18. Oltmans, who had been cultivating de Mohrenschildt as a news source and a friend for the past 10 years, is the man who told the House Assassinations Committee earlier this month about de Mohrenschilde's decision to tell his version of the Kennedy mur-

It was this appearance Cefore committee staffers by Oitmans on March II that prompted the panel to send investigator Gaetan Fonzi te Palm Beach last Monday to try to question de Mohrenschildt. According to Oltmans, this is the erratic a'ory of the White Russian coilege professor's last weeks of life:

Oltmans had known de Mohrenschildt for a decade in periodic visits to Dallas. He knew de Mohrenschildt to be an emigre Russian count, a petroleum engineer, a teacher of French at Bishop College and as a close friend of the Oswalds. Oltmans fell into the habit of visiting George and Jeanne de Mohrenschildt two or three times a year.

IN JANUARY 1976, de Mohrenschildt wrote Oltmans that he was preparing a book and sent several pages to the Dutch reporter for consideration. By June the book was completed, but Jeanne de Mohrenschildt told Oltmans she was upset when she read it. She told her husband he would go to jail if it was published because it was about the assassination of Kennedy and mentioned names of CIA and FBI agents throughout...

Last November, Oltmans went to Dallas and called de Mohrenschildt, only to be told by his wife that he had been in a hospital for several months. Oltmans said he calted de Mohren-schildt's lawyer, Pat S. Russell, and was told de Mohrenschildt was in a mental hospital suffering from a persecution complex and undergoing heavy shock treatments.

According to Oltmans, the manuscript of the book is in custody of Russell. The Dallas attorney could not be reached last night for comment, but Oltmans said he was told Russell has the book in his office files. He reportedly has not read the

manuscript.
Oltmans went back to Dallas on Feb. 23, 1977, and had lunch with de Mohrenschildt, who was now back at work. The Journalist said this ex-change took place in the Bishop Col-

lege library: De Mohrenschildt: "How would it: hit the media if I came out and said I The mysterious recent history of felt responsible for Oswald's behave did? Shot or not shot, he was involved in the shooting. You have links here to the shooting of the president of the United States.

DE MOHREN! CHILDT: "I realize that and I don't want to incriminate myself directly, But I am convinced that what Oswald finally set up we completely agreed upon.

Oltmans: "But you were in Haiti on the day of the assassination." De Mohrenschildt: "Yes" Oltmans said de Mohrenschildt

"was a guy very cleverly saying 'I knew exactly what Ocwald was going to do and I have no of of it." to do and I have proof of it.

Oltmans said he asked de Mohrenschildt if he was ready to make a statement. He said the professor replied, "Yes but never in America. I am being followed. I find my house all the time searched. So I am scared to death. I first must get out of the country.

Oltmans said he quickly called his Dutch television superior and was told to bring de Mohrenschildt to Holland. As Oltmans tells it, de Mohrenschildt had several changes of mind but finally said he was ready to go. Oltmans said they drove to Houston, left de Mohrenschildt's car there with a man named David Russell and eventually arrived in Amsterdam on March 3. There they began a round of talks with Dutch television and publishing executives, meanwhile checking with attorney Russell in Dallas to be sure the manuscript was still in his office.

continued

ON MARCH 5, OLTMANS and de Mohrenschildt drove to Brussets to meet a friend of the Dutch reporter. a Soviet diplomat he identified only as "Kuznetzov." The three men chatted at the newstand of the Hotel Metropole and de Mohrenschildt said he would go for a walk before lunch. "He never returned from that walk,"

on March 7, back in Holland, de Mohrenschildt's disappearance was reported to the U.S. Embassy in the Hague. Oltmans said the official receiving the report was Jacob Gilles-pie, a press officer at the embassy. There is no record that the missing report was relayed to the State De-

partment in Washington.
Oltmans said he wasn't worried. He got a call from a man in Brussels who identified himself as "Genta," and he said de Mohrenschildt had departed for the United States. But Oltmans said de Mohrenschildt leit behind all his belongings except his briefcase - his pipe, his luggage and his dirty raincoat. De Mohrenschildt took Oltmans' clean new raincoat in stead. Oltmans said he put all of de Mohrenschildt's possessions in an Amsterdam bank and sent the receipt to attorney Russell in Dallas.

...

police official said authorities there were also holding "certain personal papers" belonging to de Mohrenschildt, United Press International reported. The official declined to say if those papers were related to the know-hat." Kennedy assassination.

In early March, Oitmans came to Washington, called the committee about the whole affair and told essentially this story to deputy counsel Robert Tanenbaum and three other investigators. Ultmans' view of de Mohrenschildt's role in the assassination, if there is one, is that de Mohrenschildt didn't figure in the slaying but "that together they cooked it

De Mohrenschildt, according to Oltmans, could have been kidnaped, or have had another "crazy attack" or have gone to the Soviet Union. None of those things happened, but Oltmans did have a clue to the way de Mohrenschildt died even before the man shot himself.

Oltmans said "He told me in Europe: "Look, I'll be discharged at Bishop College in June. I'm 65. My wife ran away. (They reportedly are now divorced.) I'm at the end of the line.' And one day he came into my

Yesterday in Palm Springs, Fla., a room and said, 'Let's face it. I only made up the story (about Oswald) because everybody makes a million dollars off the Kennedy assassina tion, and I haven't made anything. So now it's my time. So now you and I

> THE FBI SAYS IT does not know what to make of de Mohrenschildt's what to make of de Monrenst Hidts strange journey or of his apparent suicide. The Warren Commission concluded that he did not have any connection with Kennedy's death even though he knew the Oswalds well and probably helped them with financial and family problems. Even so, the House committee wanted to question de Mohrenschildt, and Fonzi

> Just missed him.
>
> He went to the house where de Mohrenschildt was staying at noon Tuesday and was told to return that evening because the man was not home. In the afternoon, de Mohrenschildt apparently put a shotgun in his mouth and pulled the trigger.

> No note was found. If there is an answer to George de Mohrenschildt's troubled life, it may lie in the book he has written and that lawyer Russell purportedly has filed away in Dallas: "I'm a Patsy, I'm a Patsy."

Palm Beach, Fla. (Combined Dispatches)—At man who appar. Sustness However, the War en'lly committed suicide when he learned that testimony was wanted sind also took off the reconcerning President Kennady's president Kennady Ke

concerning President Kennedy's assassination was once a CIA operative, and claimed to be a confident of Lee Harvey Oswald sources said yes-

terday.

The body of the man George de.

Mohrenschildt, 65, az Russian born
French teacher, was found Tuesday afternoof in a study at the waterfront mansion of Mrs. Charles Tilten 3d. He had been a guest of Mrs. Tilton, as was him 33 year-old daughter, who found the body after returning from a shopping

assassin, according to Warren Commission findings 158.

A source who had investigatee the assassination of Kennedy for three years told United Press International that de Mohrenschildt had served as a CIA agent in Hait in 1963, the year of Kennedy's death Kennedy's death. ...

nnedy's death. A Property of the Mohrenschildt, who held degrees Trip.

De Mohrenschildt appriently committed suicide, using a shotgun, after geology and engineering, had denied in lengthy testimony before the Warren Committee, was seeking him to testify before the panel about his connections with Oswald, who was Kennedy's sole

Dustriess However, the Warren Commission also took off the record testimony from de Mohrenschildt that has never been made publicate where the same processes of the Palm Beach County sheriffs office withheld an official ruling an de Mohrenschildt's death, pending results of an autopsy. But ill-Richard Sheets said there was "no reason to suspect anything other than suicide."

In Washington, Rep. Richardson Preyer (DNC), a committee member who was headed the Kennedy assassination, influiry, said yesterday that de Momenschildt, a professor of French at Bishop College in Dallar, was considered "a crucial witness" in the committee's investigation, Preyer fold report ters that the committee would make an effort to subpena any papers in de Mohrenschildtypers.

ere that the committee would make an effort to subpena any papers in de Mohrenschildt's Beach mansion where he died.

Ed. But a few hours after Preser's statement, Rep. Eldon Rudd' (R.Ariz.), in a House speech, attacked de Mohren achildt's credibility, noting that the Russian emigre had spent almost two months in the newbirtie wine of E. months in the psychiatric wing of Park-land Memorial Hospital in Dallas last winter, and had talked about his in volvement with Oswald, to a Dutch re-porter after leaving the hospital.

Preyer, a former-judge, said that he was aware of de Mohrenschildts 'history of mental illness, but that nevertheless the professor had made some direct contact with Oswald before the assassimation. The committee learned of de Mohrenschildts' supposedly new information after a story appeared recently in the Dallas Morning News 150.

In 964, the Warren R.Commission investigated de Mohrenschildt, a supposed descendant of Russian nobility, because he had known Oswald in Dallas. But the commission concluded that de Mohrenschildt had known nothing about the No v. 2, 29103, lay jing of Preyer, a former-judge, said that he

that de Mohrenschildt had known nothing about the No v. 2, 29103, lay ling of Kennedy 2, 4 e 2, 29103, lay ling of Kennedy 2, 4 e 2, 29103, lay ling of Kennedy 2, 4 e 2, 29103, lay ling of Kennedy 2, 4 e 2, 29103, lay ling of Buildt reporter recently that he mirht have been "responsible" for i Gwalds he' wier before the assassination. He Mohrenschildt said that he had had all vance knowledge of the murder but gate no specifies.

The Warren Committion said that de Mohrenschildt had left the Soulet Union in 19, later receiving a degree from the University of Texas in petroleum geology.

Professor, 65, Who Killed May Have Been Oswald Confi

PAIM BEACH, Fla., March 30 (UPI)—A Russian-born professor who committed suicide, apparently when he learned that a House investigating committee wanted his testimony concerning President Kennady's assassination, may once have been an operative for the Central Intelligence Agency, and a confioant of Lee Harvey Oswald, sources familiar with the case is a suicide, and a confioant of Lee Harvey Oswald, sources familiar with the case is a suicide of the committee in Dallas with a Dutch journalist, Willelm Oltmans, who told the House Assassination of the Warren Commission Committee that in advance that an association of the warren Commission as second-floor study of a Palm Beach waterfront mansion by his daughter, Alexandra, 33, who had just returned from a shopping trip.

His death came shortly after he learned that an investigator for the House assassinations committee was seeking him to testify before the committee about his connections with Mr. Oswald, Mr. Kennedy's assassing the Mr. de Mohrenschmidts.

The was assassing the committee about his connections with Mr. Oswald, Mr. Kennedy's assassing the Mr. de Mohrenschmidts.

The Palm Beach County sheriffs office.

connections with Mr. Oswald, Mr. Kennedy's assassin.

The aming of Mr. de Mohrenschmidt's Geath immediately became a factor in the House committee's request to extend its investigations of prohitical assassinations. Representative Richardson Preyer, Democrat of North Carolina, said the committee had linked Mr. de Mohrenschildt diere was 'no reason to suspect anything other than suicide."

Mr. de Mohrenschildt, who was a French-language teacher at Bishop College in Dallas at the time/of his death, arrived from Brussels a seek ago with find any have been closer to Lee Harvey Oswald than appeared on the surface,

Called CLA. Agent

Called CLA. Agent

Mrs. Tilton owns the firee-story home Mrs. Tilton owns the interaconstal

A source who investigated the Kennedy assaisanation for three years asserted that Mr. de Mohrenschildt, who held degrees in international commerce, petrole um geology and engineering, was serving as a C.I.A. agent in Haiti in 1963, the year, that Mr. Kennedy, was killed in Dallas.

Mr. de Mohrenschildt denied in published testimony before the Federal commission that investigated the Kennedy death that the had never been an agent of any government, he said his wide found.

FOR

PUBLIC AFFAIRS STAFF



PROGRAM

Good Horning America

STATION

CITY

WMAL TV ABC Network

DATE

March 31, 1977

7:45 AX

Washington, D.C.

SUBJECT

Interview With Representative Louis Stokes

DAVID HARTMAN: The committee set up by the House of Representatives to investigate the assassinations of John Kennedy and Martin'Luther King has had constant controversy, end even some enemies. Yesterday there was a showdown vote in the House on whether to excend the investigation or to let it down. The decision, by a vote of 230 to 181, was to extend the committee for almost two more years.

The vote came right after two major news stories, one the resignation of the committee's chief council, Richard Sprague, and also the apparent suicide in Florida of a witness considered crucial in the Kennedy killing.

Congressman Louis Stokes of Ohio is the current chairman of the committee. He's in our Washington studio this morning with Jack Anderson and Tom Jacriel.

Good morning, Congressman.

REP. LOUIS STOKES: Good morning.

BARTHAN: George de Mohrenschildt apparently, or reportedly, committed suicide two days ago. As I just said, he was supposedly a crucial witness.

Was he a crucial witness, potentially, and why?

REP. STOKES: Yes, we did definitely consider him a very crucial witness in the Kennedy assassination probe. And, of course, one of our investigators had been in touch with his home just a few hours before he was found after having apparently committed suicide.

OFFICES IN: NEW YORK . LOS ANGELES . CHICAGO . DETROIT . AND OTHER PRINCIPAL CITIES

Material supplied by Radio TV Reports, Inc. may be used for file and reference purposes brly. It may not be reproduced, acid or publicly demonstrated or exhibited.

HARTMAN: Why was he an important witness, Congressman?

REP. STOKES: Well, in terms of information that had come to us relative to his attachment to Lee Harvey Oswald, and several statements he had made relative to the Kennedy assassination, and the fact that he had prior information that the President was going to be assassinated.

JACK ANDERSON: Isn't it true, Congressman, that your committee was investigating the possibility that Oswald was in touch with the CIA in Dallas before the assassination and that this mystery witness knew something about that?

REP. STOKES: Yes, I think that's part of it, too.

TOM JARRIEL: Congressman, one report says that de Mohrenschildt may have given secret or classified information to the Warren Commission when it initially investigated the Kennedy assassination. Now that de Morhenschildt is dead and now that you were looking into this investigation, if he gave secret information to the Warren Commission, will you make it public?

REP. STOKES: Well, that would depend, really, upon the total investigation and what we uncover when we look into it. But there is evidence that he did testify, in either '63 or '64, before the Warren Commission.

JARRIEL: What would be the point of keeping it secret now?

REP. STOKES: Well, I'm not saying that we would. It's our intention to try and reveal all of the facts to the American people. That's the precise nature of this particular investigation.

HARTMAN: What evidence is there to indicate that he was associated with the CIA in some way?

REP. STOKES: Well, that's really a part of the investigation that is presently ongoing, and I'm really not at liberty to reveal the facts regarding that.

ANDERSON: Yesterday morning I reported that Cuba's Fidel Castro -- I reported it here on this show -- that Cuba's Fidel Castro had tried to get in touch with the committee and had left a message that he had information on Lee Harvey Oswald. Can you tell us more about that?

REP. STOKES: Well, testimony relevant to that was taken in executive session, which is, as you know, closed session of a committee. And I'm not at liberty to reveal the facts regarding that.

ANDERSON: Congressman, you've at least been connected

with this committee long enough to begin to form conclusions. I recognize that it's premature to form any final conclusions, but could you give us some idea as to whether you believe that there was actually a conspiracy in these two assassinations?

REP. STORES: I'm really unable to say to you that conclusively, that there were conspiracies in either one. I can say to you that there are many leads, many previously unpursued leads that have come to us, many witnesses who've never previously given testimony to anyone regarding both of these assassinations, and I think that we have to sort out all of that type of testimony.

ANDERSON: Well, have you already found that the CIA and the FBI withheld information from the Warren Commission back in 1963?

REP. STOKES: No, that's one of the points, or high points, in the investigation, to ascertain whether or not they did in fact withhold such information, or give false information. And since it's at the threshold level at this point in time, I really cannot say that conclusively.

ANDERSON: But you would say that questions have been raised and loose ends have not been tied and that this needs to be done.

REP. STOKES: Oh, unquestionably. I think that's the real reason for the investigation.

JARRIEL: Some of the testimony of your committee, which we've obtained attranscript of, says that de Mohrenschildt, the man who apparently committed suicide, he was responsible for Oswald's activity. Now, we know that he kept Oswald's -- his wife kept Oswald's children and they were familiar personal friends in terms of travel and this type thing.

That phrase, "Oswald. He was -- de Mohrenschildt. He was responsible for Oswald's activitiy." Do you think that means his activities in Dallas, or he was responsible for harmless social activities?

REP. STOKES: Well, this is precisely one of the reasons why we wanted to interrogate de Nohrenschildt further, because we wanted to ascertain those questions, too.

JARRIEL: Will you be able to do it now?

REP. STOKES: Well, obviously not.

ANDERSON: Well, there are other witnesses, are there not, who have already testified in executive session about the CIA and the Oswald connection in Dallas?

4

REP. STOKES: Well, Jack, I can't answer those questions.

HARTHAN: Congressman, do you have any new information regarding the Martin Luther King assassination?

REP. STOKES: Well, we have talked to some witnesses and taken statements from many of the -- many witnesses, relative to that particular assassination, who have never previously testified to anyone, and we've had some very interesting testimony in that particular case.

HARTMAN: Congressman, why weren't these witnesses sought out earlier? Why didn't they testify before? We were led to believe that this was a very thorough investigation, and yet you're finding new witnesses. Where are they coming from and why?

REP. STOKES: Well, these are persons whom, in some cases, we feel could have been talked to and should have been talked to. And we, of course, intend to do a very thorough job of talking to everyone that does have any information regarding the King assassination.

HARTMAN: Who was responsible for their not being contacted initially?

REP. STOKES: Well, the FBI did most of the investigatory work relative to the King assassination.

HARTMAN: Congressman, thank you very much for being with us this morning.

•

.

Oswald Friend Kills Self; May Have Had CIA Ties

By CHARLES OSOLIN

WASHINGTON- The Russian-born geologist who apparently committed spicide when he learned his testimony was wanted concerning the assassination of President John F. Kennedy was a for-mer friend of Lee Harvey Oswald and may have been a CIA operative and a double agent.

Rep. Richardson Preyer, D-N.C., chairman of a House subcommittee investigating the asssassination of President Kennedy, said George de Mohren-schildt was thought to have evidence linking Oswald with CIA-supported anti-Castro Cuban exiles in Florida and material. Texas.

The body of de Mohrenschildt, 65, was found Tuesday alternoon by his daughter Alexandra, 33, in the second floor study of oceanside mansion near Palm Beach, Fla., where he was visit-

De Mohrenschildt apparently shot himself in the head with a 20-gauge shotgun after learning that a House investigator had called at the house earlier seeking his testimony

Palm Beach County Sheriff's Lt. Richard Sheets said Palm Beach Medical Examiner Dr. Gambino Cuevas has ruled de Mohrenschildt's death "a result of a self-inflicted wound-a suicide."

While Preyer said he had no reason to believe that de Mohrenschildt's death was anything but a suicide, he said, "in 13 years (since Kennedy's assassination) so many witnesses involved in this have come to such mysterious ends, that you

don't take anything for granted."
Preyer, who called de Mobrenschildt a "crucial" witness for the committee because of his first-hand knowledge of Oswald's activities, said new evidence has been uncovered suggesting that Oswald's apparent Marxist leanings - including his trips to Russia and a

visit to the Cuban embassy in in Mexico City in October, 1963-may have been a "front" designed to hide his true alle-

Preyer said the committee also wanted to pursue reports that de Moh-renschildt may have had advance knowledge of a conspiracy.

Among the questions the committee wanted to ask, Preyer sald, was: "Did he (de Mohrenschildt) have a conversation with Oswald about the assassination before it occurred?"

Preyer sad the committee will work with Palin beach County authorities to insure that de Mohrenschildt's personal effects, including any books or docu-ments, have been secured. He said the committee has already moved to subpoena any relevant papers and tape recordings, but added that he could not confirm the existence of any specific

ape recordings: In Dallas, Pat Russell, de Mohrenschildt's attorney, confirmed that he holds various personal papers and a manuscript given to him by de Mohren-schildt, but he declined to discuss the

De Mohrenschildt, meanwhile, was reported by several sources to have been a been a former CIA agent who was in Haltl at the time of Kennedy's death in November, 1963.

One source said there was a "good chance" that de Mohrenschildt was a double agent working for an unspecified foreign government—a charge which de Mohrenschildt denied in lengthy pub-lished testimony before the Warren

A CIA spokesman, asked to com-ment on the reports of de Mohren-schildl's ties to the CIA, said "the agency does not normally comment on such matters."

Preyer acknowledged that committee investigaiors were aware early this year that de Mohrenschildt had been under psychiatric care for the last three months of 1976 at Parkland Memorial Hospital in Dallas.

Russell told reporters from the Palm Beach Post that his client had tried three times since last July to commit suicide-twice by taking pills and

once by slashing his wrists.

Preyer said, however, that the committee had "no indication that he was crazy" after he was released from the

He said that de Mohrenschildt's testimony, if corroborated, could have been valuable to the committee despite any past emotional problems.

Preyer also firmly denied a charge by Rep. James H. (Jimmy) Quillen, R-Tenn., that the committee investigators knew if they continued to pursue their investigation it could lead to de Mohrenschildt's death

"They were told that when they went to Dallas," Quillen said Tuesday as he spoke against a successful effort to extend the committee's life for two

Preyer, however, said the commit-tee had "every reason to believe that he (de Mohrenschildt) was going to be cooperative. This was not a situation where we were hounding him or pushing him against the walt.

De Mohrenschildt, a professor of French at Dallas' Bishop College, was a close friend of Oswald and his wife, Marina, and took her and the couple's daughter into his home at one point. The Warren Commission, which reported in 1964 that Oswald acted alone in killing Kennedy, said it investigated de Mohrenschildt's associations with Oswald and found no evidence linking him to the

¢ontinued

Earlier this year, however, a Dutch journalist named Willem Oltmans quoted de Mohrenschildt as saying he had prior knowledge of the assassination. Preyer said that Oltmans, who has been interviewed by Assassinations Committee investigators, was negotiating with de Mohrenschildt for rights to his story about the assassination when he suddenly disappeared about three weeks ago. ——"Oltmans was working with him to

"Oltmaus was working with him to arrange for him to perhaps write a book or an article, or be on television," Preyer said. "De Mohrenschildt had implied that once that was arranged, he was ready to say what he know."

was ready to say what he knew."

Preyer said the two men were supposed to meet for much in Brussells, but that de Mohrenschildt failed to keep the appointment. He left the country hurrledly, leaving his personal effects behind, Preyer said.

Early this week, committee investigator Gaeton J. Fonzi, a former Philodelphia investigative reporter who has been propring the Kennedy assassination for several months for various congressional committees, learned that de Mohrehschildt was visiting his daughter at the home of Mrs. Charles Tilton III in the Palm Beach suburb of Manalapan.

Fonzi visited the house at about noon on Tuesday and asked to speak to de Mohrenschildt, but was told that he was not at home. Shortly afterwards, Ce Mohrenschildt returned home. It was

then, after learning of that visit, that he killed hunself.

De Mohremehildt, who fled Russia with his parents in 1921, was questioned extensively by the Warren Commission in 1984. In its report, the Commission axid that de Mohrenschildt, then living in Dallas, was "apparently the only Russian-speaking person... for whorn Oswald had appreciable respect and this seems to have been true even though de Mohrenschildt helped Marina Oswald leave her husband for a period in 1962."

After spending 18 months at the Polish Military Academy, de Mohren-schildt studied in Belgium before coming to the U.S., where he became interested in oil exploration and production eventually receiving a degree in petroleum geology and engineering from the University of Texas in 1945. He became a U.S. cifizen in 1949.

Russell said that de Mohrenschildt's

Russell said that de Mohrenschildt's life first began to crimble with the death of his son in 1960. The attorney termed de Mohrenschildt ar "intellectual radical" who supported minority ideals. 'I don't believe he ver had any part in the assassination of John Keinedy,' Russell told the Post. 'He may have discussed with Oswald assassinations in general or President Kennedy in particular. But I don't think' he had any knowledge of what Oswald planned."

Issassinations Panel Studied 'Choreography' as the Art of Survivo

By George Lardner Jn sabinates Post Staff Writer."

tee spent much of its time at a secret ario and the choreography and I realmeeting two weeks ago on the ize that while we do not want a circus "choreography" and "scenario" for atmosphere, we want it to be as conwinning congressional and public support of its inquiry

"This, of course, is not the way to conduct an investigation," Rep. Samuel L. Devine (R-Ohio) observed at pne-point, according to a transcript of the session that was released inadvertently. "But what we are tolking about today is survival." "

At another point, committee Chairman Louis Stokes (D-Ohio) was reminded of the members or the press waiting outside the meeting room and was asked what he might tell "these have not done anything" wolves outside the door."

where you have them now, champing at the bit and not fell them anything." advised Rep. Floyd J. Fithian (D-Ind.):

struggling to survive in the wake of weeks of acrimony over the efforts of of the Rules Committee. Edgar kept former Chairman Henry B. Gonzalez suggesting a follow-up public hearing (D-Tex.) to fire the committee's chief counsel. Richard A. Sprague. House posed another public hearing that might include 'something like what } we did yesterday" when "we had Mr. Trafficante there and he was pleading the Fifth Amendment.

"I think we did more yesterday. even without getting factual information, simply because it focused again. on the fact that we are jooking into the Issue." Edgas said enthusiastically.

". ... I realize that, in terms of the investigative technique and issues, that is not the way to go," the Pennsylvania Democrat added, "but I guess leaders, already chaggined by the committee's slow pace in investigating the murders of President Kennedy and the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr., were widely predicting its likely downfall. downfall.

With Stokes as their new chairman, committee members seemed to have been heartened by the publicity stemming from the public hearing of the tlay before, March 16, at which gangland leader Santo Traificante Jr. re fused to testify, invoking the Fifth Amendment and other constitutional

Rep. Robert W. Edgar (D.Pa.) pro-

The House Assassinations Commit- I have some concern about the scentent-filled as possible . . ."

> In the continuing discussion, Fithian cautioned against placing too much reliance on press coverage of public hearings by the committee. He complained specifically about coverage in The Washington Post.

Fithian agreed, however, that the two or three public meetings the committee had had were helping to erode the feeling generally held by many members of the House that "we really regardless of how badly Lardner "I think you should keep them right [Washington Post staff writer George Lardner Jr.] has reported them, and he has reported them pretty badly.

Suggestions were made for secret The March 17 meeting came at a briefings of the House Democratic time when the committee was still leadership and other influential members of Congress such as the members suggesting a follow-up public hearing that might include a discussion of the budget and other matters.

He said the meeting could begin "split bewith a 45-minute segment. tween the Kennedy and King assassinations as to what direction we are going and what evidence and information we can share publicly."

Deputy chief counsel Robert J. Lehner, the man in charge of the King inhe would really be hard put to noid forth in public for the requisite length of time.

"I think when you talk about 45

minutes, I would have to do a little soft shoe dance' in the middle of it," Lehner told the committee.

Sprague agreed that a public session on the fruits of the investigation wouldn't work because the staff would be forced to serve up "almost a rehash" of what has long been public.

That, Sprague emphasized, would amount to "not saying anything" He said that "the only things that they (The staff) can say of signo cance" are "things that are too raw and un corroborated for us to be stating publicly

In the end, the committee, which survived its House test narrowly this week but only after Sprague resigned, ; simply issued a report listing some of the uncorroborated leads it is pursu-

At the March 17 meeting, committee members also agreed that the report should say nothing "of the impediments and the financial limitations and the problem of the previous Chan man (Gonzalez) "

Sprague asked how those sore points should be treated in the committee report

"Ignored, I would say, completely," Chairman Stokes ordered

"Benish neglect," interjected Rep. Christopher Dodd (D-Conn.).

Stokes, a black concressman from That deployable expression." Cleveland, agreed. "Yes."

The March 17 transcript was inadvertently released late Wednesday after the committee had authorized release of another hitherto secret fran-script containing Spraque's rebuttal of various charges against hun-

Dutch Journalist to Testify On Role of Oswald Friend

By Jeremiah O'Leary
Washington Star Stuff Writer

More details of the late George de Mohrenschildt's connection with Lee Harvey Oswald and the murder of President John F. Kennedy will be given to the House Assassinations Committee today by a witness close to the Russian-born Dallas professor. Willem Oltmans, a Dutch journatist who had cultivated de Mohrenschildt for a decade after the death of Konnedia is rehedular to the control of the contr

Willem Oltmans, a Dutch journalist who had cultivated de Mohreschildt for a decade after the death of Kennedy, is scheduled to make a second appearance before committee probers today. He was interviewed here last month by the Kenneay task force of the committee after de Mohrenschildt disappeared in Brussels while the two were in Europe attempting to arrange publication of a book written about the Kennedy case by the 65-year-old teacher.

De Mohrenschildt committed suicide Tuesday, int Palm Beach, Fla., while House investigators were trying to contact him for questioning on what he knew about Oswald and the 1963 slaying of Kennedy.

Oltmans told The Washington Star he can now give the committee new details of what de Mohrenschildt told him about his connection with Oswald and Oswald's Russian wife Marina. The Oswalds and de Mchrenschildt were friends in the Russian speaking community of Dallas, but the Warren Commission had concluded de Mohrenschildt had no connection with Kennedy's death.

THE MANUSCRIPT of de Mohrenschildt's book, reportedly in the custody of de' Mohrenschildt's Dallas lawyer, Pat S. Russell, is said to name CIA and FBI agents involved in the assassination and to spell out de Mohrenschildt's claim that he was responsible for Oswald's behavior.

In the meantime, it was learned today from reliable sources that de Mohrenschildt tried to commit suicide four times in 1976 both with drug overdoses and by slashing his wrists. These attempts resulted in his being committed for mental care to Parkland Hospital in Dal'as last fall. It was at Parkland that Kennedy was pronounced dead after he was shot in a Dallas motorcade more than 13 years ago. Shot by nightclub owner Jack Ruby in the Dallas police headquarters building, Oswald died there two days after Kennedy.

(•

Meanwhile, Chairman Louis Stokes of the House Assassinations Committee has taken personal charge of the 65-person staff during what he says is a period of reorganization after the traumatic internal struggles that nearly destroyed the panel.

Stokes, D-Ohio, yesterday spent two hours at staff headquarters in the dingy former FBI fingerprint building, first addressing the committee employes and then touring their working area.

THE MEETING WAS closed to the press, but reporters heard Stokes receive several ovations in the 30-minute period. Later, Stokes said he told the staff, now stripped of its guiding spirit, former Chief Counsel Richard A. Sprague, how proud he was of them and that he hoped all of hem would remain on the job during the reorganization period.

Stokes said the committee is now looking for a new chief counsel of top calibre and he added, "We'll look for the best". He did not rule out the possibility of considering the present deputy counsels, Richard Lehner and Richard. Tanenbaum, for the top spot, but indicated the panel would take its time determining who the new staff director will be.

In another development, The Associated Press reported the committee's IFK tissk force is pursuing two leads that may shed new light on the murder of Kennedy One of those leads reportedly is that there may be too many bullet fragments ft. Lee Harvey Oswald to have killed Kennedy by himself.

The other lead, reported to the committee by investigators in a closed-door briefing, is that a woman who worked in a Dallas nightclub is willing to testify that Jack Ruby introduced Oswald to her as "Lee Harvey Oswald of the CIA."

willing to testify that Jack Ruby introduced Oswald to her as "Lee Harvey Oswald of the CIA."

The Warren Cemmission report concluded that Oswald fired three bullets at Kennedy from a window of the Texas Schoolhook Depository and that Ruby and Oswald did not know one another before the assassination. Ruby shot Oswald to death two days after the president's assassination while Oswald was in police custody.

THE EVIDENCE about the bullets is said to be from the head nurse at Parkland Hospital. She was quoted as saying four or five bullet frugments were removed from former Texas Gov. John B. Connaily and, if that is true, the three-billet theory of the FBI and the Warren Commission would no longer be valid.

It would mean that at least four bullets four heads and at least four

It would mean that at least four bullets were fired and at least one of these would have had to have been fired from some other vantage point at the Kennedy motorcade.

at the Kennedy motorcade.

The woman who worked in the nightclob is said to contend that she was with Ruby and Oswald two weeks before the 1963 murder. Tan nenbuum, according to AP, said the same woman is prepared to testify that she was taking pictures at Dealey Plaza when Kennedy was killed and that her film was taken from her two days later by men identifying themselves as being from the CIA and FRIS.

Ruby Introduced Oswald as C.I.A. Agent,

Woman Tells House Inquiry

VASHIJIGTON, March 31 (AP)—investigators of the House Assessinations. Committee told committee members in a Serfet briefing this month that a woman erbo worked in a Dallas nightclub before Precident Kennedy was assassinated was willing to testify that Jack Ruby introduced Lee Harvey Oswald to the and to others as "Lee Harvey Oswald of the CAA". Ruby, a Dallas nightclub owner, Rifed Mr. Oswald after the Rennedy assassination, The Warren Commission concluded that Mr. Ruby did not know Mr. Kennedy was been for worked that Mr. Ruby did not know and if we can hone or cluded that Mr. Ruby did not know and if we can show—if we can locate the Memedy as a sessionation, and Mr. Ruby maintained he had not previously the committee, essected March A 20-page section of the secret March To-longer valid. The first gwas accidentally released by the committee, essected and the work of sures the panel two years to pursue its investigators also said the possibility that there were too many builet fragments for Mr. Oswald to how killings?

Foulti on Warren Commission.

The investigators also said the possibility that there were too many builet fragments in fact were taken out and was acting alone in killing President Kennedy and the Rv. Dr. Mr. Tanienbaum told the committee that the committee were too many builet fragments for Mr. Oswald to have killed times the panel two years to pursue its investigators also said the possibility that there were too many builet fragments for Mr. Oswald was acting alone in killing President Kennedy and the Rv. Dr. Mr. Tanienbaum told the committee that the companies of the kennedy and was not part of a conspiration to the stream of the kennedy and the Rv. Dr. Mr. Tanienbaum told the committee to longer valid. The investigators also said the possibility that there were too many builet fragments for Mr. Oswald the very corners one and basis of the control of the committee were too many builet fragments for Mr. Tanienbaum told the committee were too many builet fragments for Mr. Oswald the committee w

But he indicated he thought the death was a suicide.

Mr. de Mohrenschildt, a Dallas geologist, apparently shot himself after an investigator for the House Assassinations. Committee tried to interview him, the officials said. Mr. de Mohrenschildt had known Lee Harvey Oowald and his wife, Mouria.

Rooms Dee Harrey Moreou end his employment. Mr. de Mohrenschildt was a psychiatric patient at the Perkland Hospital in Dallas for 51 days late 1st year after a suicide attempt, according to a United Press International report today.

FOR

PUBLIC AFFAIRS STAFF



PROGRAM

Panoraias

STATION UTTG TV

DATE

April 1, 1977

12:30 PM

CITY

Uashington, D.C.

SUBJECT

Interview With Wilhelm Oltmans

PAT MITCHELL: Mr. Wilhelm Oltmans, who is a Dutch journalist, has been talking for three straight hours before the House committee investigating the essassination of John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King.

WILHELH OLTMANS: After no sleep for 48 hours.

MITCHELL: Well, I know that you're probably tired of talking. But the story, as it began to be revealed this morning in your first appearance on ABC, is that you have some shocking revelations, I think, for most people.

OLTMANS: Is it?

MITCHELL: Yes.

OLIMANS: Not to me.

MITCHELL: About the Kennedy assassination, saying that Lee Harvey Oswald was a middleman between some Dallas oil millionaires and anti-Castro Cuban forces.

OLTMANS: Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. That was summed up beautifully. I couldn't do it any better than you just did.

MITCHELL: I suspect, though, that you could elaborate on it better than I can.

OLTHANS: Oh, you are one of those.

PRANK MANKIEWICZ: Maybe we should remind our viewers that Mr. Oltmans is the man whose interview with this fellow George

OFFICES IN. NEW YORK . LOS ANGELES . CHICAGO . DETROIT . AND OTHER PRINCIPAL CITIES

Material supplied by Redio TV Reports, Inc. may be used for file and reference purposes only. It may not be reproduced, sold or publicly demonstrated or exhibited

de Hohrenschildt in Dallas probably led to his suicide, if indeed it was a suicide.

MITCHELL: Yes, I wanted to ask...

MANKIEWICZ: De Mohrenschildt, of course, was the sort of White Russian adventurer and probably involved in some intelligence agencies who in effect took over Lee Harvey Oswald and his wife when they returned to Dallas from Moscow. And he's the one who talked to you.

OLTMANS: Exactly, for 11 years.

MITCHELL: You have known this information for 11 years?

OLTMANS: No.

MANKIEWICZ: No, he's known de Mohrenschidt for 11 years.

OLTMANS: I have been following de Mohrenschidt closely for roughly 11 years, and I have always been open to him, but I still — I liked him, but that I suspected him. And he would walk the razor's edge, as Somerset Maugham would say. He would play with it, he had fun, he was in control of what he was doing. He was very healthy. He would win tennis cups, he would jog every day. He was as healthy as a rock.

On top of it, he came from very good stock, European nobility. He was very well educated. He was a marvelous man.

MITCHELL: When did he crack, in terms of talking to you?

OLTMANS: Very good question. He cracked immediately after he wrote a book, and he feels that he -- when he wrote his book about the Kennedy assassination, he was drugged. Because without de Mohrenschildt having been drugged, he could have never gone through this complete transformation from, I would say, totally sane to half-mad.

MANKIEWICZ: You mean when he was in an institution last year.

OLTMANS: When he was in an institution. He was only there five weeks or six weeks for a checkup and for control. He was given the worst electric shock treatments anybody could get. But he was declared unharmful and [unintelligible] went back to give classes at Bishop College, in French.

MITCHELL: Did he commit himself at this point? I mean did he go voluntarily into that hospital for a checkup and the electric shock?

ON PAGE 12

THE BOSTON GLOBE - 2 April 1977

A TYPA

T A

TT 187 1

OITMANS: No, his wife -- I understand, but I haven't talked to Mrs. de Mohrenschildt for quite some time. His story is that she committed him to the hospital.

MANKIEWICZ: Have you seen his book?

OLTMANS: No. But I have, at the request of [unintelligible] Television, with Hr. Inkler (?), we called from...

MANKIEWICZ: This is our joint network ...

OLTMANS: The 4th March I called during a meeting in the Netherlands with George de Mohrenschildt to Mr. Pæt Russell in Dallas, the lawyer of the family de Mohrenschildt, and he confirmed that the manuscript was in his file, in Mr. de Mohrenschildt's file. And then I asked, "If I come to Dallas, can we get it? Can we get it?"

. If I remember correctly, he said, "We will have to discuss this." $\hfill \hfill$

Apparently Mrs. de Mohrenschildt and Hr. de Mohrenschildt were divorced at that point, and apparently she would have some rights to anything he would write.

MITCHELL: But did you see the manuscript, or did he talk to you about his connection?

OLTMANS: He talked about it. He sent me several pages of the manuscript for me to check them, whether they were written correctly. And I changed them and elaborated on them, and he wrote me that he was very happy with the changes.

MANKIEWICZ: And he told you, substantially, that he had foreknowledge of the John Kennedy assassination.

OLTMANS: Now we talk about something else than the book. The confession of Mr. de Mohrenschildt that was so shocking to me on the 23rd of February in Dallas, Bishop College, was that he care flatly out. He approached it this way:

MANKIEWICZ: Was this conversation in English?

OLTMANS: In English. He approached it this way: He said, "I don't want to go to jail for being an accessory to the assassination of President Kennedy. But you are the only one I trust. I've known you for 11 years." We have some family connections. Part of my family is Polish nobility and de Mohrenschildt is from Swedish-Russian nobility. The families knew each other. He let me into his circle, probably also in part because of my going back to the Puslaskis of the Polish side.

But he said, "I have waited for you to come and I want to work this out with you. Now, you are experienced. You will know how much I can say without going straight to jail."

So I've been doing that. I've been very careful. I have -- in my testimony for the committee on the 11th of February, I have been taking into account that de Mohrenschildt -- Mr. de Mohrenschildt told me information in deep background, as we call it in Washington, and some on background.

MANKIEWICZ: Yes. Let me ask you this: When Lee Harvey Oswald came back from the Soviet Union with his wife, he was met in New York by a rather shadowy figure who purported to be from the Traveles Aid Society, but in fact was fronting for some Eastern European liberation group, probably financed by the Central Intelligence Agency. You don't have to the then went to Dallas and became, very soon there allower, involved with de Mohren-

OLTMANS: I have tapes admitted to the House Assassination Committee. I have taped the de Mohrenschildt family, in addition to my television film I did with them, for nine full hours in the CBS studio in Dallas in 1969. We sat down an entire day, with a break for lunch. And they told from A to Zed the entire story of their involvement with Oswald.

And, Frank, one thing is interesting to you: de Mohrenschildt says on that tape that he went looking for Oswald in the company of a Russian colonel named Orlov.

MANKIEWICZ: He went looking for Oswald...

OLTMANS: In Fort Worth. It was not Fort Worth looking...

MANKIEWICZ: This was before he met Oswald.

OLTMANS: He had heard about Oswald.

MANKIEWICZ: From whom?

OLTMANS: From the Russian community in Dallas, that there was an American having been in Russia, and Marina, a Russian wife.

MANKIEWICZ: But the Russian community that you're talking about were refugee Russians, right?

OLTMANS: Yes, right.

MANKIEWICZ: Not czarist, perhaps, but the next generation after czarist, at least; White Russians, as we used to call them.

OLTMANS: You are very right.

HITCHELL: And what did they want with Lee Harvey Oswald?

MANKIEWICZ: And why did they want him?

OLTMANS: Well, that was why it was so shocking for me to hear de Mohrenschildt, after 11 years, come out, close to tears, sitting in the library in Bishop College: "I have to tell -- I have to tell the Oswald story _ it really is. I have to do this now. My wife left me. I'm going to leave Bishop College, because I'm 65. But help me, so that I don't go to jail."

MITCHELL: Can you tell us?

OLTMANS: Yes, now. And I'm very sad about -- this is, of course, a shocking end for such -- for this life of this man. But I called the committee for that reason, because 1 do not feel any obligation now to withhold those things he told me.

MANKIEWICZ: Do you think someone -- did he indicate to you that someone told de Mohrenschildt to go look for Oswald?

OLTMANS: That I don't know. No, he's not -- well, that I don't know. That is a very good question. I wouldn't know. I have no indication whether he was told by anybody.

MITCHELL: Well, what is the Oswald story?

MARKIEWICZ: But during the time that he was involved with Oswald, do you think he was his own man, or do you think someone was running him?

OLTMANS: De Mohrenschildt was so wall placed in Dallas society, he is not a type to be anybody's man. He was very close with H.L. Hunt, inviting him to all his parties. He knew him very closely. He knew many oil people on a level of like colleagues in our profession. I mean this is not a matter of giving orders. He was on the top.

MITCHELL: Nr. Oltmans, H.L. Hunt's name is mentioned and named by you as being one of the Dallas oil men who had something to do with a possible conspiracy.

OLTMANS: Yes. That is what George de Mohrenschildt flatly indicated to me, yes.

MITCHELL: Were there other names as well as Mr. Hunt's?

OLTMANS: A number of names, yes. I have everything -- I have everything submitted this morning to the committee, as far as I knew, under oath.

MANKIEWICZ: But he was suggesting to you that he was aware of a conspiracy...

OLTMANS: Yes, yes, oh, very much.

MANKIEWICZ: ... not that he was part of it.

OLTNANS: Of course not. He ...

 ${\tt MANKIEWICZ:}$ Of course not, he wasn't a part, or of course not, he wouldn't admit that he was a part.

OLTMANS: He said that Oswald acted at his instruction.

MANKIEWICZ: His, de Mohrenschildt's, instruction.

OLTMANS: Yes, yes.

MANKIEWICZ: Prior to the assassination.

OLTMANS: Prior to the -- that he was sure that Oswald killed -- that Oswald was involved in the assassination. He said in Port-au-Prince, in Haiti, on the day of Kennedy's killing, that Oswald must be the man who got Kennedy.

 $\label{eq:MANKIEWICZ: De Mohrenschildt at that time was involved with the CIA in Haiti?} \\$

OLTMARS: I don't know. He must have been, because in his manuscript he mentions, as I said this morning, a number of names of CIA and FBI people.

MITCHELL: Mr. Oltmans, can you give us those names?

OLTMANS: No, that would be unwise at this point. That's why the committee meeting was off the record. I also have to consult first NRS '(?), Dutch Television, how far they want me to go in telling the story that we collected in 11 years to other television -- competitive television stations.

MANKIEWICZ: But you gave those names to the committee.

OLTMANS: Oh, yes. I gave one particular name of an FBI official to the committee, which is probably very crucial and very important. It is not Hoover.

MANKIEWICZ: This is a name that de Mohrenschildt gave you.

OLTMANS: Yes, yes. And it is supposed to be in the manuscript that is in Dallas in the lawyer's office.

MANKIEWICZ: What about on the tape?

OLTMANS: There are names, yes, many names on the tape. But I am not so sure that they are very revealing, because that tape was made in 1968. There are, of course, interesting names on the tape, like Colonel Orlov, that nobody ever heard about, to my knowledge.

 $\label{eq:MANKIEWIC2:} \textbf{And Colonel Orlov was also from this White:} \\ \textbf{Russian community.}$

OLTMANS: Apparently, yes.

MITCHELL: Why would the -- I mean was there any indication on the tape, in your conversations, as to why people such as H.L. Hunt, who is named, would have any interest in the assassination of John F. Kennedy?

OLTMANS: That was made clear by Mr. de Mohrenschildt to me. And, as a matter of fact, the dozens of letters that I have over the 10-years' exchange with him confirm that statement that he recently made to me. In the letters transpires this same mentality that he spoke of that was the real...

MITCHELL: And what was it?

OLTMANS: I don't want to be uncooperative. But as you must understand, I have just been before the committee. I came here out of courtesy, but I must consult my own television people, because they spent 11 years of my traveling back and forth from Holland to...

MITCHELL: ...understand, as a journalist, we must...

OLTHANS: Oh, you are very good at it.

 $\label{eq:hanklewicz:} \textbf{Pat} \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ \textbf{I} \ \ \textbf{are going to push you as } \ \textbf{far as we can.}$

OLTMANS: I understand, but forgive me, I live to be -- you are the first...

[Confusion of voices]

MANKIEWICZ: Let me ask you this, because these names have come up. You talked earlier today about the relationship not only of oil people but of anti-Castro Cubans. And I take it de Mohrenschildt gave you some indication that Oswald was involved with them as well.

OLTMANS: Clear indication. Clear indication.

MANKIEWICZ: Was there any indication of connections with organized crime?

OLTMANS: Not to my knowledge, at all.

MANKIEWICZ: And did he at any time mention...

OLTMANS: De Mohrenschildt is not the type to get involved with that, in my view.

MANKIEWICZ: Did he at any time mention Jack Ruby to you?

OLTMANS: Oh, yes, because I asked. I asked him recently, "Did you know Jack Ruby?"

He said, "Yes."

And then I asked a trick question. I asked, "Did you --did you visit Ruby's nightclub one week before the assassination?" He was supposed to be in Haiti.

And he said, carefully, "I suppose so."

MANKIENICZ: "I suppose so."

OLTMANS: Yeah. You see, he was very slippery.

MANKIEWICZ: Why didn't you ask him that question?

OLTMANS: Because Oswald's letter had just been published by the FBI that he supposedly wrote to Mr. H.L. Hunt, and it was just in the middle of when we were discussing leaving for Holland in February. So I asked him, on that subject, a number of questions.

MITCHELL: Was George de Mohrenschildt ever interviewed by the Warren Commission when they were investigating the assassination?

OLTNANS: Oh, I think -- yes. The testimony of Mr. and Mrs. de Mohrenschildt runs much longer than that of Marquerite Oswald or Marina Oswald, his wife, some 250 pages. He was very suspected, but the conclusion was "Okay, they are outside." But that is very sloppy.

Mr. Tip O'Neill said, I think yesterday, or so, that it is obvious that we need the Warren Commission to be reexamined.

MANKIEWICZ: What -- you asked -- I'm not sure I understand why you asked de Mohrenschildt if he had been to Jack Ruby's night-club a week before the assassination.

OLTMANS: Well, what I asked was, for instance, the money thing, you know. And that's how -- who paid whom?

MANKIEWICZ: Did you ask him if he had paid anybody any money?

OLTMANS: No. De Mohrenschildt...

MANKIEWICZ: Or if he had been paid any money?

OLTHANS: I admire your persistence in trying to get as much out of my story as possible, Frank. But you will understand that I must display a certain loyalty to my own...

MITCHELL: Well, I'm going to ask you to speculate on something, then. Do you think that De Mohrenschildt really committed spicide?

OLTHANS: Oh, if you ask me as a journalist, I say I don't know, because I haven't been able to do anything about it. I only heard it yesterday that he had committed suicide. If you ask my personal opinion, outside the journalistic one, I would say personally I consider it most likely that he did.

MANKIEWICZ: Most...

OLTMANS: Most likely that he did. Because, Frank, he has threatened all through the trip I made, from February 23rd till March 5th, then he disappeared in Brussels, he has said, "Okay, I'll give you something." He said, "Three things can happen to me. Either I talk," to me, "or they drive me mad, or I will kill myself."

MITCHELL: And who are "they"?

OLTMANS: Okay, that also I have much to say about. I have much to say about that.

MANKIEWICZ: In another forum.

OLTMANS: If you don't mind. Maybe I get very much in trouble for having gone...

MANKIEWICZ: That's all right. I'll take care of you.

OLTMANS: Help me, Frank.

MANKIEWICZ: Now, let me ask you this: If you asked de Mohrenschildt, for whatever reason, if he had been to Jack Ruby's nightclub a week before the assassination, and he said to you...

OLTMANS: "I suppose so."

MANKIEWICZ: "Probably," or, "I suppose so." Now, here is a man who is suave, distinguished, a member of upper-class Dallas society, a friend of bogus White Guard colonels, very much involved

with White Russian society and upper-class people. What was your next question when he told you that he had probably been to Jack Ruby's saloon, which was probably as sleazy a place as there was around?

 $\mbox{OLTMANS:}\mbox{ Well, I then went into the financial aspect of the Kennedy assassination.}$

MARKIEWICZ: Thank you. I have no further questions.

OLTMANS: Oh, otherwise I would have said...

MANKIEWICZ: No, I do. I have lots of questions. Go ahead.

OLTMANS: You see, the problem with Mr. de Mohrenschildt is that in the 10 years I knew him, till the 23rd of February, he was healthy as a rock, a good sportsman; he was fantastic.

MANKIEWICZ: Until you took the trip to Europe with him.

OLTMANS: No. Until I have known him all those years, he was fine. Then I didn't see him for a year.

MANKIEWICZ: Oh, I see.

OLTMANS: And I saw him for the first time on the 23rd of February, and I thought I saw a different man.

MANKIEWICZ: This is in Brussels.

OLTMANS: This was in Dallas, Bishop College. When I met him in Dallas on the 23rd of February and when he made a confession, I didn't recognize him. He was a mental, physical -- he was a wreck.

MITCHELL: Mr. Oltmans, will that make people doubt that confession? Will there be some concern because they will say...

OLTMANS: Okay. He was in a mental...

MITCHELL: ...he was not in good health.

OLTMANS: That's true. But he was only six weeks in a mental hospital, or five weeks. Now, I understand Mr. Bukovsky was several years in a mental hospital. He came to the United States and your unions made him address their union conferences, the President and the Vice President received him in the White House, because they apparently think him of enough mental quality to have a serious conversation.

MANKIEWICZ: But what was your impression of De Mohren-schildt? Did you think that he had degenerated?

OLTMANS: No, it's not -- no.

MANKIEWICZ: It was what, his physical aspect?

OLTNANS: No. The man was petrified. He was scared to death that he was about to talk, he wanted to talk, and that they would do something to him. He begged me to take him to the Netherlands, and that's what I did.

MANKIEWICZ: Did you ask him if he told the truth to the Warren Commission? Or was it clear to you that he did not?

OLTMANS: I have a lot to say about that, too, Frank. I'm -- you are my colleagues. I want to be most cooperative and friendly. But I'm going a little bit out of my...

MANKIEWICZ: I understand.

MITCHELL: You may not be able to answer this one either, but I'm curious. He did go to the Netherlands with you after that meeting?

OLTMANS: Yes.

MITCHELL: Was there any attempt on the part of the other people that he was afraid of to reach him during that time?

OLTHARS: No, not that I know of.

MITCHELL: And why did he come back?

OLTMANS: I have no idea.

MANKIEWICZ: He ducked you in Brussels.

OLTMANS: He ducked me in Brussels, after a luncheon there, and he went for a walk and never returned. And only this Sunday I got a message that he was back in the country, because I had set it up very cleverly that I would know immediately when he got back. I was controlling his automobile. The automobile of George de Mohrenschildt was with a friend of mine. So I knew that if he ever returned to the United States, he would first check where is car -- his car. And so my friends in Houston immediately notified me.

As a matter of fact, it was very sad, actually, that I informed the deputy counsel of the committee on Monday morning of George's whereabouts in Florida.

MITCHELL: And that's when they reached him...

OLTMANS: And they told me that they would sen someone out

there and that they would reach him on Tuesday. And he apparently shot himself almost immediately after that person reached de Mohrenschildt.

 $\texttt{MANYIEWICZ:}\$ He had never spoken to any representative of the committee, right?

OLTMANS: Not yet. Not yet.

MANKIEWICZ: Not even to make an appointment to meet.

OLTMANS: No. So he said. I don't know why...

MANKIEWICZ: Now, we're talking about a manuscript of his that so far as you know is in his lawyer's possession in Fort Worth.

OLTMANS: Right, yes.

MANKIEWICZ: And we're talking about a tape that you made with him that is where?

OLTMANS: Nine hours.

MANKIEWICZ: That is where.

OLTMANS: In NOS Television, as you well know. And one of the nine tapes is already with the committee, and I think they are going to telegraph this afternoon for the other eight.

MANKIEWICZ: And those tapes were made in 1969.

OLTMANS: Right.

MANKIEWICZ: In the CBS station in Dallas.

OLTMANS: Studio in Dallas.

MITCHELL: But he said things to you in February that he had not said on that tape.

OLTMANS: Oh, 180 degrees different.

MANKIEWICZ: And did you tape the conversation in February?

OLTMANS: No, no. You see, he overran me with this confession.

MANKIEWICZ: This took place where, in his office at Bishop College?

OLTMANS: In Bishop College library, exactly. And I put a

erkombinn elmelges mentasantellopen messekumon nog nyr egus menel ane elektrosist sem socialististist. Est se

dime in a coin phone and called Carl Lankler (?), whom you know well, of Dutch Television, and asked permission, by collect phone to the Netherlands, to ship us together to the Netherlands. And I got that authorization immediately.

I wanted to go as quickly as possible to one of those cameras with the man. That's my job. When CBS asked me -- television aske me, "Why didn't you go to the nearest police station?" I replied that "I'm a journalist and my job is that even if the man says he killed the President of the United States, that I go to the nearest camera, and not the nearest police station."

MITCHELL: And he knew that, didn't he?

OLTMANS: And he knew it and he was willing to do it. And he said in full executive session in the Netherlands, in negotiation on the 4th of March that lested four or five hours, that he was ready to do it. And they were going to set him up in a nearby hotel, he would get a complete staff, we would try to get the manuscript, in order to win time, out of the lawyer's office in Dallas; that's why we called, and etcetera.

MITCHELL: So he was prepared to do a full confession on Dutch television.

OLTMANS: You two are really terrible. Do you mind? You have really squeezed more out of this lemon than I intended.

MANKIEWICZ: May I ask you just a couple of more questions? And all you have to do is just say no, you're not going to answer that.

Much was made at the time of the assassination and for a while thereafter, and then in the last year a great deal, about connections between Oswald and Cuba, an attempt, I think, to establish not that he was involved with anti-Castro people, but involved somehow with the government of Cuba.

Pid you talk to de Mohrenschildt about Oswald's Cuban connections at all?

OLTMANS: Yes.

MANKIEWICZ: You did.

OLTMANS: Yes.

MANKIEWICZ: And his alleged involvement with the Fair Play for Cuba Committee.

OLTMANS: Yes, some, not too much. And the committee pressed on that point very much this morning.

MANKIEWICZ: I'm not surprised.

OLTMANS: Several members of the committee. And I had, unfortunately, to -- I'm very sorry that I could not really come out, because I have most of my notes in Holland on this...

MANKIEWICZ: I see.

OLTMANS: I have to be very precise; 1 was under oath. So I asked them to be pardoned, to reply to them.

MANKIEWICZ: But your conversation...

OLTMANS: This is a different reason than...

MANKIEWICZ: Sure.. But your conversations with de Mohrenschildt involved the names of ce.tain Cubans.

OLTMANS: Oh, yes, yes -- no, never.

MITCHELL: No names.

OLTMANS: Well, there is a story on that, too, but -- yes. But that I will also bring out...

MANKIEWICZ: But at least it involved the affiliations of certain Cubans.

OLTMANS: Yes. That's right.

 $\label{eq:MANKIEWICZ: Their ideological connection. And they were anti-Castro rather than...$

OLTNANS: Very much anti-Castro.

MITCHELL: Mr. Oltmans, were you in this country for the testimony, or had you come in pursuit of Mr. de Mohrenschildt?

OLTMANS: No, no, no, I never pursued the dear man. No, I was here for lectures and for other work. I'm writing a book about the United States now, and I was doing here my work until I heard -- I was in Minneapolis and I heard only -- as a matter of fact, I moved already to Boston, to Harvard, where I have a number of appointments, and then I heard that he had killed himself, and I came immediately to Washington.

MITCHELL: I thank you for coming immediately to Panorama from your testimony. And didn't want to press you too hard, but just as you go to those cameras, so do we.

OLTMANS: I apologize for....

TRITISE SPREARED ON PAGE

By JOSEPH VOLZ

Washington (News Bureau) - House Assassinations Committee

Washington (News Bureau) — House Assassinations Committee investigators say that new evidence concerning bullet fragments may disprove the Warren Commission conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the lone killer of President Kennedy 13 years ago.

Robert Tanenbaum, a former New York City prosecutor who now heads the panel's Kennedy assassination investigating team, contends that a nurse at Parkland Hospital in Dallas, where Kennedy and then-Texas Gov. John B. Connally were brought after they were shot, saw four or five hullet fragments removed from Connally, the Warren Commission said that one bullet had passed through Kennedy and Connally, remaining intact.

From a Grassy Knoll?

House Assassinations Committee investigations conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the lone killer who bullets were fired, adding credibility to the theory that two or more persons were shooting at the President.

Many conspiracy theorists claim that shots were not only fired from the Texas School Bock Depository, where commission said that one bullet had passed through Kennedy and Connally, remaining intact.

From a Grassy Knoll?

From a Grassy Knoll?

Tanenbaum, in secret testimony to the committee on March 17, leaked accidentally by a committee staffer, said the fragments, "if we can locate them," may weigh more than a single builet. If so, they would demonstrate that at least.

Tanenbaum said that the fragments

If they can be found — will elso be
tested to see if they all came from the
same bullet.

Committee investigators, who won
House support on Tuesday for a twoyear investigation, also have been

trying to find a Dallas woman who claimed that Jack Ruby, the night-club: owner who killed Oswald, once introduced her to "Oswald of the CIA."

Tanenhaum helieves that the woman who was not otherwise identified—
might help pin down rumors that Ruby
and oswald knew each other and were
engaged in some sort of assassination
conspiracy. The Oswald Killing

Under the most widely held theory.
Ruby supposedly killed Oswald to keep
him quit about the identifies of the
"real" conspirators, who never surfaced.

Treal conspirators, who never surfaced.

The woman, who worked in another
Dallas night club, also recalled that Oswaid was linked to the CIA. There have
been various reports that Oswald made
contact with the CIA before the assassination. However, there are no confirmed reports that he was ever a CIA employe or that the agency participated in
the essassination in any was.

Ex-CIA man hints IFK knew of Castro plots

By David Nyhan . Globe Staff

A former Central Intelligence Agency official maintains that he "cannot conceive" that President John F. Kennedy was unaware of CIA plots to kill Cuban leader Fidel Castro.

Harry Rositzke said in an interview this week, "There was a great deal of discussion about assassinating Castro at the highest levels of the government" in the early 1960s.

"I left it at that" in the book, he said.

"The Attorney General (Robert F. Kennedy) knew about it. It's hard to use the word 'condoned' or 'approved,' Rosit,ke said, because initial planning for the abortive Castro plots took place under the Eisenhower Administration."

"And I cannot conceive the Attorney Genera' knowing about this without telling the President."

Rositzke, who retired in 1970 and is puthor of a book about the CIA, said speculation that some US officials may have been involved in attempts to aid in the assassination of President Kennedy is "one of the absolutely blatant false accusations ... there was no indication, no evidence of any sort."

He said it is clear now that Eisenhower ordered the assassination of African leader Patrice Lumumba, but that Lumumba was killed before US efforts could succeed.

"The Senate (intelligence committee) report found no documentary evidence that President Kennedy or Robert Kennedy knew of any CIA plans, with or without the use of the Mafia, to assassinate Castro. I talked to Sen. (Frank) Church about this, and he said, 'We put down the facts such as we had them, and it was up to the reader to determine whether or not they (the Kennedy brothers) did know."

Rositzke said, "My personal view, and I talked to many of the people

connected with this, is that the circumstantial evidence makes it really quite clear that the Attorney General must have known about these matters, that he was, after the Bay of Pigs, in very close contact, in fact to a certain extent kind of running the CIA, at his brother's request."

Rositzke, who refused to reveal his last title at the CIA, said Robert Kennedy was socially friendly with Desmond Fitzgerald, a CIA official at the time, and that Fitzgerald was "highly accessible to the Administration."

Rositzke's book, "The CIA's Secret Operations: Espionage, Counterespionage, and Covert Action," Readers Digest Press, \$12.95, has an introduction by Arthur Schlesinger Jr., a friend of Rositzke's since 1945, when the pair were at Harvard.

The introduction has Schlesinger calling the work "much more complete than any account available to the public ... absorbing."

Asked what Schlesinger thought of his theory that both Kennedy Inothers knew of the Castro plots, Rositzke replied, "This is something obviously Arthur Schlesinger has a big fight with me about."

Schlesinger was an official of the Kennedy Administration.

WITNESS TIES OSWALD TO OILMEN AND CUBANS

Newsman Tells Panel That Suicide Had Related Conspiracy Story

By WENDELL RAWLS Jr.

WASHINGTON, April 1-A Dutch journalist said today he had told the House Assassinations Committee that the mysterious potential witness who allegedly committed suicide Tuesday had told him that Texas oilmen and anti-Castro Cubans had conspired with Lee Harvey Oswald to kill President Kennedy.

Willem Oltmans, a television newsman was called before the panel after he said in various morning press and television interviews that the alleged conspiracy to assassinate President Kennedy involved not only Mr. Oswald but also George de Mohrenschildt, who apparently shot himself in Palm Beach, Fla.; the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, H. L. Hunt, the late Texas oil millionaire, and Mr. Oswald's assassin, Jack Ruby.

Found Him 'Very Specific'

Mr. Oltmans also gave hearsay testimony that there may have been a \$50,000 contract out to kill Mr. Kennedy. He said he had met an oil worker who said he had been offered the contract.

After Mr. Oltmans testified, Representative Richardson Preyer, Democrat of North Carolina who is chairman of the subcommittee investigating the Kennedy assassination, was asked if he believed Mr. Oltmans's story.

"Ask me that question again in a few months," Mr. Preyer replied. "Mr. Olt-mans was very specific on some things which can be corroborated, but not as clear on others."

An F.B.I. spokesman said that Mr. de Mohrenschildt had 'been interviewed extensively by the F.B.I. and testified before the Warren Commission and all of his information was not pertinent to the as-sassination." He added:

"All the information coming from Mr. Oltmans about Mr. de Mohrenschildt is all new to su and probably all new to

A source in the F.B.I. said that Mr.

de Mohrenschildt had attempted suicide four times in the past six months be-fore succeeding last Tuesday.

The committee met two weeks ago to discuss ways of winning enough Congressional and public support of its inquiry to keep the committee alive. Some of the methods discussed were public hearings featuring truculent witnesses and secret briefings that could generate publicity.

Declines to Disclose Evidence

Mr. Oltmans, who testified for three hours in closed session, later declined to tell newsmen what evidence he had to support his claim. But he said that he and Mr. de Mohrenschildt had been friends for about 10 years and that Mr. de Mohrenschildt had said he was a middie-man between Mr. Oswald and Mr. Hunt. He said that Mr. de Mohrenschildt told him that Cubans shot at Mr. Kennedy at the same time that Mr. Oswald did.

A spokesman for Ruth Hunt, Mr. Hunt's widow, his youngest son, Ray Hunt, and the Hunt estate said: "Any allegations by Willem Oltmans that H.L. Hunt was involved with Mr. de Mohrenschildt or Oswald in any way are figments of a strained and distorted imagination. It is

ossidi in any way are figments of a strained and distorted imagination. It is ludicrous to state that H.L. Hunt had anything to do with the assassination of President Kennedy."

Mr. Oltmans said that he had given the assassination committee "the name of an F.B.I. official, not [the late director J. Edgar] Hoover, who might be important." and that he had given it a taped interview in which a Cuban exile named Loran Hall says he was offered \$50,000 in the office of a Dallas oilman, Lester Logue, to kill President Kennedy.

Mr. Logue called the allegation "ridiculous," and said he would meet with his lawyers to discuss suing Mr. Oltmans for libel, He called Mr. Oltmans a "publicity psychopath," and said, "This just demonstrates the absolute power that the media has to destroy somebody without recourse."

course."
The theory of Mr. Hunt's involvement

has been advanced before but has never been corroborated. A spokesman for the Hunt family and estate said that they "have finally had about enough of such charges."

charges."
"It has been publicly recorded that Mr. de Mohrenschildt had a history of mental problems," the spokesman said. "If in fact Mr. de Mohrenschildt ever claimed to have had any relationship with H. I. Hunt, those claims must be viewed accordingly."

The commission headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren that investigated President Kennedy's assassination in 1963 concluded that Mr. Oswald was the lone assassin. Earlier today, Mr. Oltmans said in an NBC radio interview that the reason the Cubans wanted to kill President Kennedy was that "they thought they had a blood debt to settle—they thought that President Kennedy had betrayed them at the Bay of Pigs." He said that Mr. De Mohrenschild had told him he never knew, in fact, who killed Mr. Kennedy because an inspecified number of Cubans were supposed to be shooting at the same time posed to be shooting at the same time Mr. Oswald did.

Mr. Oswald did.

Mr. de Mohrenschildt, who was born in Russia, reportedly befriended Mr. Oswald and his wife, Marina, before the assassination. Mrs. Oswald also was Russian-born.

Mr. Oltmans said that Mr. de Mohrenschildt had told him that Mr. Oswald had acted at Mr. Mohrenschildt's guidance and instructions.

"Mr. e Mohrenschildt indicated to me very strengly that his ties upwards were towards H. Hunt and downstairs to Lee Harvey Oswald," Mr. Oltmans said in an ABC television interview.

Assertions About Oswald Confronting House Group

By MARTIN WALDRON

WASHINGTON, April 3—Last Thursday, George de Mohrenschildt, a 65-yearold self-styled promoter who enjoyed the
company of rich men and beautiful that James Earl Ray, an exaped convict, ecompany of rich men and beautiful that James part kay, an escaped convict, women and had a sometimes dangerous also acting alone and also for personal habit of sketching and photographing defense installations in various countries, was killed by a shotgun blast in Palm Beach, Fla.

Since its establishment last September, was killed by a shotgun blast in Palm Beach, Fla.

Beach, Fia.

His death, at the home of relatives of committee last month that there were his daughter, Alexandra, was said by the police to be a suicide. Earlier, Mr. de Monrenschildt had learned that a Congressional committee was prepared to investigate his connection with Lee Harvey Oswald, the accused assassin of President Kennedy. The following subsequent Kennedy. The following subsequent developments heightened public interest vate citizens, got copies of it in the mail

Rennedy. The following subsequent the summer of 1975. A number of astassination, appearing before the House Select Committee on Assassinations, quoted Mr. Diminiter on Assassinations, quoted Mr. Diminiter on Assassination and the last few days because Justice Departments and that the assassination had been instigated by Texas oilmen, including the last few days because Justice Department sources said the F.B.I. had confirmed that the letter was in Mr. Oswald's handwriting.

The 39-word letter was in Mr. Oswald's handwriting.

The 39-word letter was in Mr. Oswald's handwriting.

The 39-word letter was dated Nov. R. 1963, two weeks before Mr. Kennedy was slain. Mr. Oswald was living in Dellas and working at the Texas Schoolbook by the Kennedy assassination for "information conferring my position." The letter to a "Mr. Hunt" asking for "information conferring my position." The letter, daid working at the Texas Schoolbook whether there is any relevance or truth to these allegations if it is, once and for all, to explain President Kennedy's assassination and the 1968 murder of the Rev. Dr. Martin L. King Jr.

Hundreds of pecple claim a knowledge winning weekly newspaper, The Midlodian, Texas, where he once ran a prozewing the two murders, There have been allegations is allows husbands, Cubans, how me or anyone else. Thank you Lee winning weekly newspaper, The Midlodian, Texas, where he once ran a prozewing mere killed by the Central Intellating the providence of the two murders, There have been allegations platous husbands, Cubans, how weekly newspaper, The Midlodian in the sender, who signed himself "P.S." who signed himself "P.S." who signed himself "P.S." and marking only for information to necessary the photocopy was a few providence of the two murders, There have been allegations platous husbands, Cubans, how marked the providence of the providence of

wrote that he feared something might? happen to him and that he was going into hiding for a time. On the back of the envelope containing the photocopy and the note was a return address: "Insurgentes Sud, No. 309, Mexico, Df, Mexico"

Number 309 South Insurgentes in Mexico City is a four-story white stone apartment house containing a number of lower-middle-class flats. On the first floor of the building are two low-quality cloth-ing stores and a small shop selling nation-al lottery tickets.

Mr. Jones said that he gave his copy of the "Hunt letter" to The jdallas Morning News last month. The newspaper reported that it had had the letter analyzed by three independent handwriting experts who, like the F.B.I., concluded that it had been written by Mr. Oswald. The expens said they had compared the letter with others known to have been written by

There was no indication of how "Senor S." had come into possession of the

Harold Weisberg of Frederick, Md., former Senate investigator who has devoted the past 12 years to researching the Kennedy and King murders, said has got a copy of the letter in the mail in August 1975, as did Howard Roffman of Gainesville, Fla., a law school graduate

Letters Unanswered

Mr. Weisherg and Mr. Jones said they had written o he address on the envelope and had not received answers, though their letters were not returned as undelivered.

as undertwere.

The family of Mr. Hunt, who died Nov29, 1974, has protested published assertions that the letter was watten to him
In 1964, the F.B.I. checked the Hunt

In 1964, the F.B.I. checked the Hunt! family in connection with he Kennedy! assassination, but no member of the family testified before the commission headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren, which investigated the assassination. Several of Mr. Hunt's sons have called "indiculous" the notion that any of the Hunts had been connected with the murder.

ores.connected with the murder.

An associate of the Hunt family said yesleday that H.L. Hunt and members of his family "are not the only Hunts around."

around."

'Wasn't there a Hunt connected with the C.I.A. back in 1963?" he asked.

There has been no evidence linking E. Howard Hunt, a C.I.A. agent who was involved in the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba' in 1961, to Mr. Oswald. Mr. Hunt has just been released from Federal prison; he had been convicted of complicity in the burglary of the Democratic National Committee Headquarters at the

Sounilno?

A sas m: Hunt.

I would like information

concerling my position.

I ax asking only for information

I im suggesting that we discuss the

matter full, before any steps are

taken by me or anyone else

Honk Yore.

Ye have bestold

Copy of letter reportedly written by Lee Harvey Oswald to a "Mr. Hunt" two weeks before the assassination of President Kennedy.

Watergate Hotel in Washington in 1972.
The Oswald "Hunt letter" aroused still more interest after Mr. de Mohrenschildt's death, when Mr. Oltmans came forward to say publicly that Mr. de Mohrenschildt had linked H.L. Hunt to Mr. Oswald.

Relatives and associates of Mr. de Mohrenschildt said he was hospitalized recently for treatment of mental disorders, including paranoia, and tried to kill himself several times previously. The House Assassinations Committee

The House Assassinations Committee had heard part of the de Mohrenschildt story from Mr. Oltmans last month and had been searching for him to ask him to testify.

nation of the street of the st

The authorities in the Florida city said that Mr. de Mehrenschildt had left a number of personal papers but that none of them seemed to be connected in any way to Mr. Oswald or the Kennedy administration.

Mr. de Mohrenschildt's daughter, Alexandra Taylor, whom he was visiting at the time of his death, said her father was "mentally and physically afraid" of Mr. Oltmans.

The Texan had gone to Amsterdam with Mr. Oltmans earlier last month. He tried to sell a book manuscript on the Kennedy slaying and appeared on television with Mr. Oltmans there.

"He felt he had been drugged in Amsterdam," Mrs. Taylor said. She also reported that her father had been mentally ill recently.

Treated for Paranoia

Other associates of Mr. de Mohrenschildt reported that he was treated for for paranoia for some weeks in Dallas, earlier this year. He was a teacher at a small school in Dallas, Bishop College. During his adventurous life, Mr. de

During his adventurous life, Mr. de Mohrenschildt had many careers: perfume salesman, oil geologist, polo player, Polish cavalry officer, movie producer and, many believed, spy. At one point in World War II, Mr. de-Mohrenschildt was suspected of spying by both the United States and Mexico and was detained and questioned in both countries.

The United States incident came after he and Lilia Pardo Larin, then his mis-tress, were observed photographing and sketching the beachfront near Corpus Christi, Texas

Mr. de Mohrenschildt told the Warren Commission in April 1964 that he had not realized the area he was sketching had defense fortifications. He said he resented having been considered a German

spy.
Later in World War II he was expelled from Mexico, but he said that was necause a high-ranking Mexican official wanted to steal Mrs. Larin from him, that it had no connection with his being suspected of explonage.

wanted to steal Mrs. Larin from him, that it had no connection with his being suspected of espionage. In 1957, soiders fired on Mr. De Mohrenschildt, and his fourth wife. Jeanne, as they sketched and took photographs of a Yugoslav beach while sitting in a boat. "The little island we thought was completely empty, not a soul on it, they had fortifications on that island." Mrs. de Mohrenschildt told the Warren Commission.

The de Mohrenschildts testified before the commission after they had been investigated for weeks by dozens of F.B.I. agents.

The commission was interested in the de Mohrenschildts because they were friendly with the Oswalds. The de Mohrenschildts said they had met the Oswalds through the Russian-speaking community in Dallas and had felt sorry for Marina Oswald, whom Mr. Oswald met and married while in Russia in the early 1960's.

In April 1963, the de Mohrenschildts

In April 1963, the de Mohrenschildts, went to Haiti, where he tried to promote a deal with the government of the late! President Francois Duvalier to search for oit and minerals. They were still living in Haiti when Mr. Kennedy was killed.

The Warren Commission and the F.B.L. concluded that there, was no evidence to suggest that the de Mohrenschildts had had any connection with the assassination.

Mr. De Mohrenschildt told the commission that he was not sure Mr. Oswald had killed President Kennedy and that Mr. Oswald had not seemed inclined to political violence. Mr. de Mohrenschildt told the commission

"In my opinion, if Lee Oswald did kill the President, this might be the reason for it. That he was insanely jealous of an extraordinarily successful man, who was young attractive, had a beautiful wife, had all the miney in the world and was a world figure. And poor Oswald was just the opposite. He had nothing He had a bitchy wife, had no mone; was a miserable failure in everything he did."

An accidentally released transcript of a secret meeting of the House Assassination. Committee on March 17 disclosed that much of the information the committee "uncovered" in its six months of operation has been available for years. eed of gambling operations in Cubs

Communist backgrounds were hauled forth to do so in quable; those edmisting past Communist connections were allowed to confess in private seasion. Senator William T, Tenner's Interpal Security Subcommittee was particularly subcommittee was particularly subcommittee. Such speciacies were commonplace during the loyalty investigations at mideentury. Individuals taking the Fifth Amendment to quastions about

ater, with the country apsilbound by every Watergate event, to parade tough-gay Coleon and the enigmatic Liddy before splevision conserts to let the world hear their refusals to testify.

Generally speaking, a legislative inquiry is justified only if it produces
facts that help formulate-appriative
judgments or if it informs the plablic
of shortcomings in government or,
other areas of society where there is a
legitimate Pederal Interest. Clearly, to
call to public session an individual a
committee knows will take the Fifth promotes neither the laymaking nor, the informing function. But no legislative purpose would have been served by such display.

In many ingiances, the chief result is shame and stigms that may haunt a

of a legislative investigation (except in disciplinary proceedings for violation of Congressional rules). Congress is not generally empowered to conduct

cameras before Mr. Trafficante asrecord his privilege. Moreover, according to several committee staff members, Mr. Trafficante did not ask to
testify in private seesion and perhaps
was overjoyed that the mob knew his
hy was firmly buttaned. Better a little
public opprobrium than an unsched-Few tears have been shed for Mr.
Trafficante, His peak is unsavory and
his lawyer, invoking a little-used
House rule, exorcised the television
cameras before Mr. Trafficante asuled trip to the

mandate has been extended by the House, to call attention to this un-justified tactic. The commutes's work is too important to have its investiga-tions lapse into gimmickry.

Unless the committee demon strates that it can conduct its inquires with prudence and fairness — even where questionable individuals are involved — it will not regain the public esteem and confidence lost during the embarrassing bickering between its erstwhile chief counsel, Richard A. Sprague, and former chairman, Regresentative Henry R. Gonzalez.

E 5 4 H.

20233

331 F288 351262

lack Anderson and Les Whitten K Data Quashed, Memo Says

1 %

withere files, only 46 were months prior to the assassination.

A witness had told committee in a witness had told committee in the information that allegedly was vestigators that Ruby introduced her witheld was contradictory. On one to Oswald at a Dallas nightclub two hand, the CIA suppressed facts sugge, weeks before the assassination. She hand, the CIA suppressed facts sugge, weeks before the assassination. She had the captaint of the pear of the property of the property of the property of the committee in the pear of the pea

Feidey, April R. 1977

A confidential House memo accuses the Havana underworld, controlled by the FBI and CIA of "a serious suppress a mobster Santos Trafficante, were used sasination of President Kennedy."

A House Assasinations subcommittee "has uncovered a good deal of in a been caught by Castro and had been tee "has uncovered a good deal of in a been caught by Castro and had been tee "has uncovered a good deal of in a been caught by Castro and had been tee "has uncovered a good deal of in a been caught by Castro and had been tee "has uncovered a good deal of in a been caught by Castro and had been to the memo says."

Harvey Oswald was associated with enough, a Cuban subcommission, the memo, quoted Trafficante as say the memosays.

It charges bluntly "that both the CIA hit."

And FBI intentionally withheld relevant information from the) Warren with a fine memo accuses the FBI of with bolding 23 Oswald files from the companies on the memo accuses the FBI of with the memo accuses the FBI of with the order of the companies on the com

ston. The memo cites photograp is and sturies linking Oswald to member; of a phramilitary, anti-Castro unit known at the "No Name Key Group." Some of the photos show "CIA agents assigned at train the Cuban exites and solders of fortune." Some members of the goip were traced to Dallat shortly be free the Kennedy assassination.

Fuotnote: FBI Director Clarence M. recentle PBI Director Carence II.
Esley has told us that all 69 surmary
r swere provided to the commission.
Esley also said the bureau furnished
t e continusion with all periment inreceived the surgestial information. f reastion about potential informants, i cluding the Cuban Sylvia Odia. The CA had not commented by the time we went to print.

Yes the Alvie Opis bit, I malifor you will find feel one of Hemmings were betan Engene Hack was involved. Ky Knist's visit win Trafficents in sail in Euba, E Lelieve you will tind that HALL was trafficantes 19750 stam 1195 411/77





TV NEWSMAN WILLEM OLTMANS



THE DE MOHRENSCHILDTS IN 1974

CONGRESS

Assassination: Now a Suicide Talks

Let's face it I only made up the story labout Lee Harvey Oswald because everybody makes a million dollars off the Kennedy assassination, and I haven't made anything So now it's my time "---George de Mohrenschildt, quoted

by Willem Oltmans

That grotesque confession should be sufficient to discredit the man who made it, a Russian born teacher and friend of Lee Harvey Oswald's named George de Mohrenschildt. But nothing ever seems sufficient to still Kennedy assassination stones, time and events merely compli-cate them. Last week conly an hour after a congressional investigator asked to meet with him in Manalapan, I la

De Mohrenschildt apparently com mitted suicide by putting a 20-gauge shotgun in his mouth and pulling the trigger Suddenly there was intense interest in whathe might have told the investigator Sure enough, within 48 hours, a world-traveling Dutch TV newsman, Willem Oltmans, showed up to reveal to a closed session of the House Select Committee on Assassinations - phis ABC, NBC and CBS - what De Mohrenschildt had been telling him during the several years of their acquaintance

Oltmans' testimony-given under oath-was sensational stuff. De Mohrenschildt said Oltmans claimed he had been the middleman in a conspiracy of rich Texas oilmen, headed by the late H.L. Hunt, and anti-Castro Cubans to kill Kennedy. Oswald was one gunman, but supposedly several Cuhans well also assigned to shoot the President One could even be identified Oltmans provided the committee with a picture of a Cuban whom he said fired shots at Kennedy But apast from the dramatic backdrop provided by De Mohrenschildt's suicide, the story was just ainother series of runters that could not be corroborated.

At the time of the Kennedy assas smatten. De Modrenschildt was an bilgeologist employed by the U.S. State Departition in Hart. He had known Os wald for a year (they were members of a Russian speaking group in Dallast. and he told the Warren Commission in 1964 that he knew nothing of Oswaid's role in the Kennedy killing. But during a series of meetings with Oltmans he ginning in 1966. De Mohrenschildt began to remember things differently By 1975, during an interview with Olfmans on Dutch television, he insisted that Oswald was led by others. Olimans told colleagues. "De Mohrenschildt knows a lot more than he is willing to say right now "Later De Mohrenschildt was to go so far as to say he felt "responsible" for Oswald's behavior

In February of this year, De Mohrenschildt told Oltmans he was ready to disclose more but only outside the U.S.

he feared for his life in America. By now De Mohrenschildt scemed depressed. He had been hospitalized as, a

psychiatric potient for two months at the end of last year and he had twice at-tempted suicide. Said Patrick Russell, his Dallas attorney. "He began to have bizarre halfoculations and distortions He believed people were following him?

According to Oltmans, De Mchrenschildt would vaciliate between clauming his complicacy tale was a book, and asserting it was true. In addition to De-Mohrenschildes instability doubts are thrown on his story by a review of Warien Commission testimony that shows De Mohrenschildt fast saw Oswald six months before the assassination. "It is absolutely out of the question that De Mohrens hildt had anything to do with Kennedy's death," funies Chicago Attorney Albert Ichner, who interviewed De Mohrenschildt for the Warren Commission. Adds Jenner of the House Assassination Committee's entire performance "Utterly disgusting

The committee members who heard Oltmans, testimony took a wait and see attitude. "I think he is telling the truth as he perceives it," said D.C. Delegate. Walter Fauntroy Oltmans himself cited an obviously disturbing aspect of lascharges. Asked a tough question during a television interview, he replied, "Well, I'm quoting Mr. De Mohrenschildt, so that makes it very easy". Do Mohrenschildt being in no position to amend

the record

Olimans' testimony was only the chmax in a heetic week during which the House Select Committee on Assassinations barely escaped its own death by saumhung its controversial counsel, Richard Sprague. The outspoken exdistrict attorney from Philadelphia had angered too many Congressmen with his demands for a \$13 million budget and a staff of 175 for the two-year investigation (TIME Jan 10)

Too Raw. With Sprague out of the way, the Hoose was willing to vote 230 to 181 to continue the investigations on a reduced airmal budget of \$2.8 milhim But Sprague's departure left the committee staff demoralized and committee members full of praise for their former counsel. Goshed film is Repub-lican John Anderson. "He laid himself

on the altar of sacrifice.

To date, no firm fruits of Sprague's early work have reached the public Meyer heless, a rush of rumor, innuendo and unconfirmed leads has blared from the committee. The latest concerns a letter the 11st is investigating said to have been written by Oswald to a "Mr. Hunt" asking about "my position." It is dated 14 days before the Kennedy assassination. The committee's operation has outraged many Congressmen Sharled Michigan's John Dingell They tell us they have persuasive evidence. What they have is a lot of crap!"

Even Richard Sprague hit a cautious. note before resigning. "The only things that [the staff] can say of significance are things that are too raw and uncorroborated for us to be stating publicly."

1646 ASSASCENTEONS

NEWS SERVICE

Date		Apr
Item No	4	
Ref. No.		

DISTRIBUTION II

YYYAZUS

U H BYLCZCVYX RBC-Assassinations: 470 RURBENT RBY JIN ADAMS

THIS COLLEGE PRESS WRITER
WASHINGTON (AP) - FORMER HOUSE ASSESSINATIONS COUNSEL RICHARD A.
SPRAGUE TODAY QUOTED JAMES EARL RAY AS SAYING OTHER PEOPLE HERE
INVOLVED IN A CONSPIRACY IN WHICH MARTIN LUTHER KING WAS ASSASSINATED.
SPRAGUE ALSO SAID HE HAS CONCLUDED FROM RAY'S STATEMENTS THAT
"RADULY" A MAN RAY HAS CLAIMED ENLISTED HIM IN A GUN-RUNNING
OPERATION THAT PUT HIM IN MEMPHIS WHEN KING WAS KILLED; IS A
FICTITIOUS NAME.

RAY: WHO PLEADED GUILTY TO KING'S MURDER AND IS SERVING A SS-YEAR SENTENCE; HAS CONTENDED THAT THE OFERATION DIRECTED BY "RAOUL" HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH KING; SO FAR AS HE KNEW.

RAY CONTENDS HE PURCHASED THE RIFLE THAT POLICE BELIEVE HAS USED TO KILL KING BUT HANDED IT TO "RAOUL" AND LEFT THE AREA SHORTLY BEFORE THE ASSASSINATION.

Sprague also said some federal investigators believe the man the Cirrecorded contacting Soviet and Cuban embassies in Mexico City before President John F. Kennedy was assassinated was not lee Harvey Oswald. Sprague said he does not believe the House assassinations committee can conduct a proper investigation of the tho assassinations and said he doubts it even hants to.

HE SAID A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR SHOULD BE SET UP WITH AN INDEPENDENT STAFF TO INVESTIGATE THE ASSASSINATIONS! WITHOUT THE PRESSURE HE SAID THE HOUSE COMMITTEE STAFF HAS UNDER TO PRODUCE SOMETHING SENSATIONAL. SPRAGUE SAID HE BELIEVES THE COMMITTEE HAS SET UP BEFORE THE ELECTION TO APPEAL TO BLACK VOTERS UNHAPPY WITH INADEQUATE INVESTIGATION OF THE KING ASSASSINATION. HE SAID THE PANEL HAS ESTABLISHED WITH THE IDEA THAT IT HIGHT BE SCRAPPED AFTER THE ELECTION.

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF

GRAZALEZ) D-TEX.) TO RESIGN.

SPRAGUE QUOTED RAY AS SAYING IN THE TWO INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY
COMMITTEE INVESTIGATORS THAT PEOPLE OTHER THAN MEMBERS/OF RAY'S RAYIL
HELPED HIM OBTAIN A MEAPON TO ESCAPE FROM A RISSOURI PRISON BEFORE
THE ASSASSINATION.

RAY SAYS HE CONTACTED OTHER PEOPLE AFTER THE ASSESSINATION) AND THAT OTHER PEOPLE HELPED HIM TO ESCAPE TO DANADAY WHERE HE TRAVELED BEFORE HE WAS ARRESTED.

RAY HAS NOT YET DESCRIBED THE OTHER FEOFLE EXCEPT TO SAY THEY ARE NOT HIS BROTHERS; SPRAGUE SAID. THE INVESTIGATOR SAID IT HAS BECOME CLEAR PARTLY THROUGH RAY'S OWN COMMENTS AND PARTLY THROUGH WHAT THE INVESTIGATOR'S COULD PERCEIVE THEMSELVES THAT THE NAME "RAOUL"? IS MADE UP.

Sprague said that wame was made up by someone else and Ray reluctantly used it.

IN THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION INVESTIGATION: SPRAGUE SAID: FB! INVESTIGATORS DOUBT THAT THE VOICE THE CIR RECORDED CONTACTING THE SOVIET AND CUBAN EMBASSIES IN MEXICO CITY BEFORE THE ASSASSINATION IS THAT OF OSMALD.

Sprague said he bid not know what it would mean if) in fact, the voice the CIR said was that of Osmald was not Osmald. The warren Commission concluded that Osmald assassinated Kennedy acting alone.

"THERE WELL COULD HAVE BEEN A CONSPIRACY THAT AROSE AFTER THE ASSASSINATION; THAT CERTAIN AGENCIES FELT IT WOULD BE AN EMBARRASSMEN TO DISCLOSE RELATIONSHIPS WITH USWALD; "Sprague Said. "I don't knoh."

HE SAID THAT CIR TAPE-RECORDINGS OF THE MAN WHO CONTACTED THE SOVIET AND CUBAN EMBASSIES HERE ERASED BEFORE THE ASSASSINATION TO BE USED TO RECORD SOMETHING ELSE.

BUT HE SAID PEOPLE WHO HEARD THEM AND KNEW ÚSWALD'S VOICE SAY THE MAN RECORDED HAS NOT ÚSWALD. 1306PED 04-11

REPORT

TOGETHER WITH

ADDITIONAL AND SUPPLEMENTAL VIEWS

OF THE

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



DECEMBER 31, 1976.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON: 1976

94TH CONGRESS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT No. 94-1781

RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

DECEMBER 31, 1976.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Downing, from the Select Committee on Assassinations submitted the following

REPORT

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

U.S. House of Representatives, Select Committee on Assassinations, Washington, D.C., December 31, 1976.

Hon. Edmund L. Henshaw, Jr., Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. HENSHAW: On behalf of the House Select Committee on Assassinations and pursuant to the mandate of House Resolution 1540, I am transmitting herewith to the House of Representatives the report of the committee representing the results of the committee's investigation and study since its inception on September 17, 1976. The report includes the recommendations of the committee with respect to continued investigation by the House of Representatives of the assassinations of John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King, Jr.

I would like, at this time, to express the deep appreciation of the committee to the committee staff for its excellent work on this phase

of the Select Committee's investigation.

I note, on my retirement from the House of Representatives, the fine start which has been made on this investigation, for whose initiation I have worked over the past years. It is my sincere hope that the House will see fit to proceed with the investigation in the same thorough and professional manner in which it has begun.

Sincerely,

THOMAS N. DOWNING, Chairman.

Enclosure: Report.

([:1]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		1
	Introduction Takial Actions	
T	Introduction The Committee's Initial Actions The Committee's Initial Actions	3
-\$·	The Committee's Initial Actions.	4
11.	The Committee's Initial Actions. Preliminary Investigation and Analyses	Ē
HI.	Preliminary investigation and	
TV	Preliminary Investigation and Analyses Staff and Budget Requirements Recommendations	6 7
<u> </u>		7
Y.	Recommendation 1077	10
	Recommendations Budget Summary—1977 B. Consales M.C.	10
	Additional views of Menry D. Consum Tania Ctakes Walter E.	
	Supplemental views of Congresspersons Jours Supplemental views of Congresspersons Jours Fauntroy, Yvonne Brathwaite Burke, and Harold E. Ford	12
	Supplemental Resthwaite Burke, and Harold E. Ford.	14
	Fauntroy, I volute Discourse Charles Thous.	
	Cumplementary (1688 of Constitution of the Landson 1 1)0dd. Of	
	Additional views by U.S. Representative Children of the Control of	15
	Additional views by U.S. Representative Christophia Connecticut	
	Connecticus	

4 V 1

Twelve years have passed since the Warren Commission released its report finding that Lee Harvey Oswald was the sole assassin of President John F. Kennedy.

Eight years have passed since Judge W. Preston Battle of the Shelby County, Tenn., Criminal Court accepted James Earl Ray's plea of guilty to the murder of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

The passage of time has not healed the national trauma caused by these assassinations. In the ensuing years, old doubts have remained and new disturbing questions have been raised as additional evidence has come to light.

The following are just a few examples of these new issues: (1) Did the Central Intelligence Agency deliberately avoid furnishing critical information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation which would have resulted in the surveillance of Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the assussination of President Kennedy? If so, why?

(2) Was critical evidence withheld from the Warren Commission?

The U.S. Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Opera-If so, why? tions, in a report released April 23, 1976, concluded that the performance of U.S. intelligence agencies was defective both with respect to the investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy as well as the reporting to the Warren Commission. That report states in part:

In the days following the assassination of President Kennedy, nothing was more important to this country than to determine the facts of his death; no one single event has shaken the country more. Yet the evidence the Committee has developed suggests that, for different reasons, both the CIA and the FBI failed in, or avoided carrying out, certain of their responsibilities in this matter.

The Committee emphasizes that it has not uncovered any evidence sufficient to justify a conclusion that there was a conspiracy to assassinate President Kennedy. The Committee, has, however, developed evidence which impeaches the process by which the intelligence agencies arrived at their own conclusions about the assassination, and by which they provided information to the Warren Commission.
This evidence indicates that the investigation of the assassination was deficient and that facts which might have substantially effected the course of the investigation were not provided the Warren Commission or those individuals within the FBI and CIA, as well as other agencies of Government who were charged with investigating the assassination. (Emphasis supplied.) (3) There are reports of intensive surveillance of Dr. Martin Luther King by domestic intelligence agencies, including the FBI. Who and where were these agents at the time of Dr. King's assassination? Do they have any evidence concerning the assassination or any plans to commit it? Was this surveillance deliberately curtailed prior to the assassination? And, if so, why?

(4) There are reports that there were unusual transfers of personnel by the Memphis Fire and Police Departments on April 3 and April 4, 1968, which may or may not have affected the security of Dr. King. If so, why were these transfers made and at whose direction?

If so, why were these transfers made and at whose the conduct, foreign Over the years repeated disclosures of agency misconduct, foreign assassination attempts, concealed information, destruction of evialence and possible deliberate misinformation have fostered among dence and possible deliberate misinformation have fostered among our citizens an ensuing lack of confidence in Government agencies.

As a result, there has been a growing sense of national concern about the adequacy and integrity of the original investigations. The history of these assassinations has demonstrated that the passage of more time will only increase that concern, and only a thorough and definitive inquiry at this time will resolve the growing doubts,

On September 17, 1976, the House of Representatives rose to this challenge. It created the Select Committee on Assassinations (H. Res. 1540) with the mandate to conduct a "full and complete investigation of the circumstances surrounding the death of John F. Kennedy and the death of Martin Luther King, Jr., and of any others the Select Committee shall determine."

The members of the Select Committee recognized the need to resolve certain preliminary matters in the 3 months remaining before the close of the 94th Congress. With a realistic appraisal of the task in mind, staffing and budget levels were determined. Threshold investigative inquiries were begun. With the advent of the new Congress tigative inquiries were begun. With the advent of the investigation.

The committee undertook ist legislative mandate with the recognition of its responsibility to the American public. The purpose of this mandate is to investigate and provide the facts relating to both this mandate is to investigate and provide the facts relating to both assassinations and to do so in a manner which will be credible, proassassinations and to do so in a manner which will be credible, professional, ethical and will reflect well on the integrity of Congress.

Pursuant to this mandate, the committee will make recommendations regarding the adequacy of existing laws relating to the assassination of the President of the United States, conspiracy, deprivation of civil rights, and the investigatory authority and capability of agencies and departments of the United States government.

The committee has received widespread support for this legislative investigation. An assistant counsel to the Warren Commission, citing recent disclosures of documents which were withheld from the Warren Commission, strongly urged the reopening to the investigation. He stressed that "the conduct of the Commission and of the agencies upon which it relied should be carefully examined and any new information

be fully pursued."

The important questions, he said, are "not only how and why President Kennedy was assassinated, but how such events can be effectively dent Kennedy was assassinated, but how such events can be effectively dent Kennedy was assassinated, but how such events can be effectively dent Kennedy was assassinated, but how such exercises to the investigation of both assassinations."

In the case of the King assassination, the committee was provided with the results of a 1975 investigation conducted by the Atlanta, Ga., Bureau of Police Services. The report states in part: " * * even a cursory examination of certain information prescuted to us cheits some very interesting series of coincidences which would appear to merit investigation by appropriate agencies." The report urges that a new investigation be undertaken in order to reach "a more definitive position on the circumstances surrounding the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr."

n. THE COMMITTEE'S INITIAL ACTIONS

The committee's first task was the selection and appointment of a

chief counsel and director.

3

11

d

3

11 d

b

ď

n

11

The committee obtained the services of Richard A. Sprague. Mr. Sprague served as First Assistant District Attorney of Philadelphia, Pa., from June 1966, until December 1974. He has represented the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as prosecuting attorney in more than 10,000 criminal trials. Serving as Special Prosecutor for Washington County, Pa., he was responsible for the investigation and subsequent prosecution of the Yablonski murder cases. As Special Assistant to the Attorney General of the United States, he was responsible for the conviction of W. A. "Tony" Boyle, the deposed president of the United Mine Workers.

The committee considered the necessity of moving expeditiously on both investigations. It felt that new evidence pertaining to either the Kennedy or King assassination should be pursued immediately,

that further delay would be unwarranted.

Thus, the committee decided to pursue each investigation simultaneously. It organized itself into two subcommittees: one, chaired by Walter E. Fauntroy to direct the King investigation; the other, chaired by Richardson Preyer to pursue the Kennedy probe. All members of the Full Committee will be active in both investigations.

The committee agreed upon the need for a staff of 170. This figure provides for two investigative teams of approximately 15 attorneys and 25 investigators each. It is the intention of the committee to recruit the best talent within this Nation. The committee believes it can attract dedicated professionals in the field of criminal investigation with broad experience and ability, who will view this call as a professional challenge as well as an opportunity for public service. Substantial progress has been made in this area.

Finally, the committee decided unanimously not to conduct the investigations under deadlines. One reason for this is to avoid a situation where an agency, individual or group might engage in

delaying tactics in an attempt to outlast the committee. The Warren Commission, which was under intense pressure to resolve the question of responsibility for the Kennedy assassination quickly, produced its final report within 10 months. That Commission did not have an independent investigative staff and conducted its proceedings in closed hearings. These factors, which may or may not have influenced the outcome of the Commission's investigation, have nevertheless contributed to the increasing challenges to that Commission's conclusions.

With the passage of time since the original investigations, there is

With the passage of time since the original investigations, there is no longer the pressure to arrive at quick conclusions. The Select Committee, with the advantage of bindsight, with independent investigators, and without artificial deadlines, will conduct the full, dispassionate, and comprehensive investigation that is necessary.

To the extent that it does not impede or impair the integrity of the investigation, the committee intends to hold open hearings. These sessions will be held as the investigations lend to verifiable conclusions, which either prove or disprove prior findings or allegations. In observing the various witnesses and being able to observe their demeanor and judge their credibility, the American public will their demeanor and judge their credibility, the American public will have a greater basis for confidence in ultimate conclusions. These sessions will also enable the public to observe the investigation as it unfolds.

III. PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION AND ANALYSES

In the 3 months since its establishment the Select Committee has initiated preliminary investigations into new and previously unpursued leads in both assassinations. While we cannot yet verify the results of our preliminary investigations, we can provide two examples which are representative of the investigative activity that the committee has undertaken.

the committee has undertaken.

On November 26, 1976, committee staff questioned extensively an ex-CIA agent regarding his personal knowledge of conversations between Lee Harvey Oswald and personnel within the Soviet and Cuban Embassies in Mexico City.

As a result of the initial questioning, members of the Kennedy Subcommittee held an executive session on November 27. Based on information obtained during the hearing, staff members were disputched to Mexico where they located and interviewed new witnesses. These witnesses had nover been sought out before by any investigative body, notwithstanding the fact that they had important information concerning statements by Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico within

tive body, notwithstanding the fact that they had important information concerning statements by Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico within 60 days of the assassination of President Kennedy.

With respect to the assassination of Dr. King, committee counsel obtained relevant information from a witness who had never been interviewed before by any investigative agency. This witness has stated that James Earl Ray related to him the fact that after Ray fled to Europe, Ray contacted another person from whom he received further instructions to aid in his continuing flight.

In other areas of inquiry) committee staff has interviewed persons with new relevant information who had never been contacted by any investigative agency. The committee intends to continue to pursue such information.

such information. In addition to pursuing other new investigative leads, the committee has been conducting an intensive analysis of the evidence available in both assassinations in order to define and determine the

With respect to the Kennedy investigation, the chief counsel presented the committee with a list of hundred; of questions which must be resolved. The committee also has identified several hundred witness who must be interviewed. nature and scope of the inquiry.

The committee has taken positive steps to insure that it will preserve all relevant materials in the possession of investigative agencies. It has

issued several subpensa duces tecum to insure evidence will be made issued several subpense duces fecum to insure evidence will be made available. The committee has been informed that the Central Intelligence Agency alone is in possession of more than 60 cartons of motorials relating to the assassination of President Kennedy and the activities of Lee Harvey Oswald prior to that assassination.)

With respect to the assassination of Dr. King, the committee's initial examination of the events and circumstances during the period of James Earl Ray's escape from psison in Missouri in 1967 until his capture and subsequent extradition from Encland in 1968 cave rise to

capture and subsequent extradition from England in 1963 gave rise to hundreds of questions. The committee has completed a detailed analysis of the stipulations of fact contained in the guilty plea of Ray in March 1969. The committee noted that during the course of the plea proceedings, Ray rose to advise the court that he did not concur with statements made by both the State prosecutor and his own attorney, that there had not become

that there had not been a conspiracy to assaissinate Dr. King.

The committee does not mean to imply that there was or was not a conspiracy. It merely indicates that this is one of the areas which requires further investigation, especially in view of the failure to obtain a full statement of involvement from Ray by the Tennessee authorities.

Two staff members of the Select Committee traveled to Memphis, Tenn., and compiled a 76-page index of materials contained in only one of the evidentiary files. The Federal Bureau of Investigation has advised committee staff that it has more than 80 volumes of materials on the assassination and subsequent investigation into that assassi-

To insure the preservation of certain evidence and documents the Select Committee voted and issued a total of 12 subpense duces tecum related to evidence in the assistantion of Dr. King.

The Select Committee is cognizant of the significant accomplishments of other independent investigations by the Congress. A quantum of evidence relevant to our investigations has been accumulated by various committees and select committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate. To date, contacts by committee staff have demonstrated a willingness on the part of both Houses of Congress, their Members and various committees to cooperate in making those materials available to this committee.

On the basis of these numerous areas of inquiry and the necessity to preserve evidence already under subpena, the Sclect Committee unanimously recommends to the 95th Congress that the Select Committee unanimously recommends to the 95th Congress that the Select Committee of the Select Committee mittee on Assassinations be immediately reestablished to continue the investigation.

IV. STAFF AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS

The committee wishes to emphasize that the unprecedented nature

of the task identified in House Resolution 1540 makes past methods of determining the Select Committee's budget inappropriate.

The committee feels strongly that the integrity of this investigation is contingent upon the independence of our investigative efforts. The executive branch agencies, which were utilized in the particular of a very agencies whose previous performance may be the subject of a part of this investigation. The committee, therefore, cannot employ the services of the executive branch agencies. Both the size of the staff and the budget requirements are predicated on the necessity of conducting a comprehensive important processing. conducting a comprehensive, impartial investigation.

e 19 iect ent of: ·ble rre Will '.e.e itئ Ъяз นก-

vely iens and zer) v d on

erify

two that

dis-SSES. tignithin

unsel been : has

รงกร FADY ursue

come the

มะกจะไ #hich adred

It has

The committee submits the following comparative analysis of budget and staffing levels of certain previous investigations as a standard by which our recommendations may be measured.

Warren Commission

Staff: 83.

Duration: 10 months. The Warren Commission did not cossess an independent investigative staff. For investigative purposes, they relied on the Federal intelligence agencies. We have been able to amass the following figures, indicating the level of support provided to the Warren Commission by each agency.

by each agency.

FBI: Provided 150 full-time agents who in turn conducted 25,000 interviews and submitted 2,300 reports totaling 25,400 pages. They also provided secretarial and clerical assistance.

ClA: Provided 12 full-time and part-time professionals. They also provided secretarial and clerical assistance.

Secret Service: Provided over 60 full-time professionals who con-Secret Service: Provided over 60 intertume professionals who conducted 1,550 interviews and submitted 800 reports totaling 4,600 pages. They also provided secretarial and clerical assistance.

Justice Department: Provided at least 4 full-time professionals.

State Department: Provided certain professional support.

IRS: Estimates that between their Internal Security Inspection

Service and their Intelligence Division, they provided 6 staff-years of support.

FBI Investigation Re: Patty Hearst

The only figures available for this investigation are for the first 3-month period. During this initial 90-day span, the FBI spent \$2,600,-060. Figures for the number of staff employed are also unavailable.

New York State Special Nursing Home Investigation

This investigation of abuse of the medicaid program by nursing homes has a total staff of 421. The staff includes 65 lawyers, 156 auditors, 100 investigators, and 100 support personnel. Its present yearly hudget is \$6,000,000. In addition it utilizes 40 sitting grand juries, the cost of which is not included in the \$6,000,000.

The committee feels that the staff and budget requirements it has identified at the end of this report are at minumal levels necessary to conduct comprehensive and independent investigations of the assessi-

conduct comprehensive and independent investigations of the assassination of President Kennedy and Dr. King.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

The committee and, indeed, the 94th Congress by the passage of House Resolution 1540 recognized that there are many lingering doubts as to the comprehensiveness and credibility of prior investiga-tions into each of these assassinations. The questions which arise from even a preliminary analysis and investigation are legion. Thus, the

even a preminary analysis and investigation are legion. Phus, the Select Committee unanimously and unequivocally recommends:

(1) That the House of Representatives immediately move to continue the investigation of the circumstances surrounding the death of President John F. Kennedy and the death of Dr. Martin Luther King Legionary

King, Jr.

(2) That the House immediately re-establish the Select Committee to insure that evidence which is currently under subpoena will remain intact and under the continuing control of the House and to insure that there is no delay in the ongoing investigations.

(3) That the House approve the budget as set forth berein.

Select Committee on As	seossinations Iteda	el summerces.	1277
------------------------	---------------------	---------------	------

Metert Committee on Maroastinations Hinry al Aumente, 1114	•
I. Salana	\$3, 603, 600
11. Travel - Domestic	1, 681, 200
III. Travel - International.	150, 600
IV. Consultant services.	155, 000
V. Withhore	78, 970
VI. Stationers and supplies	117, (1016)
VII. Tel-phone and telegraph.	425, 600
VIII. Comment Purchase.	34, 350
VIII. Equipment Purchase. IX. Equipment Lease.	141, 000
X. Reproduction, printing, and graphics.	25,000
XI. Books and periodicals	5, 000
XII. Miscellangous.	50, 000
Tetal	
1. Salutiest	
A. (1) director B. (3) deputy chief counsels at \$36,000	39, 500
D. (a) deputy thier counsels at 530,000	108,000
C. (1) Chief investigator	36, 000
D. (30) staff counsels (14 grade 3 at \$25,000 to \$34,000;	
12 grade 2 at \$20,000 to \$25,000; 4 grade 1 at	
\$12,000 to \$20,000)	83 2, 000
E. (50) staff investigators (2 deputy chiefs at \$30,000;	
16 grade 3 at \$24,000 to \$28,000; 16 grade 2 at \$18,000	
to \$24,000; 16 grade 1 at \$12,000 to \$16,000)	1, 034, 000
F. (5) legal unit (1 deputy chief counsel at \$36,000;	
2 grade 3 at \$28,000 to \$34,000; 2 grade 2 at	
\$20,000 to \$2 3,00 0)	152,000
G. (30) document analysis and research unit (1 chief of	
unit at \$34,000; 2 deputy chiefs of unit at \$24,000	
to \$30,000; 4 senior researchers at \$18,000 to	
\$24,000; 7 staff researchers at \$10,000 to \$18,000;	•
11 document clerks at \$8,000 to \$12,000; I senior	
computer analyst at \$25,000; 4 computer analysts at	
\$16,000 to \$20,000)	497, 000
II. (4) polygraph/PSE unit (4 at \$30,000).	120, 009
1. (2) security unit-\$20,000 and \$16,000.	46,000
J. (9) administrative/budget unit (1 chief administrator	,
at \$34,000; 1 chief clerk at \$25,000; 2 executive	
assistants at \$25,000; 2 task force managers at	
\$15,000; 1 editor/hisrorian at \$22,000; 1 public	
information officer at \$34,000; I budget officer	
at \$30,000)	231, 000
K. (35) secretarial/clerical (35 at \$10,000 to \$16,000)	490, 000
Total (170)	3, 635, 600
· ·	
II. Travel Domestic:	
A. Attorneys/investigators (80 traveling-2 times per	
month, 5-dsy duration):	
Transportation-1,920 trips at \$400	763, 000
Per diem-1,920 trips at \$250	480, 000

){ ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;;

I. Travel-Domestic-Continued	
II. Travel—Domestic—Continued B. Chief, deputy counsels, polygraph/PSE unit, legal unit and administrative (15 traveling—3 times per deputy deputy per	
unit and administrative (15 traveling	
month, 3-day duration):	216, 600
month, 3-day duration): Transportation-540 trips at \$400. Per diem-540 trips at \$150	81, 000
Let. Clemmande tube us Asparent	
Subtotal	297, 000
	S. S. Braha Wile
C. Document analysis and research unit (5 traveling-1	
	24 000
Transportation—60 trips at \$400.	15,000
Per diem—60 trips at \$250	
Subtotal	39,000
Suototal	Company and the
D. Committee members:	
	0.000
	9, 900 2, 260
Per diem—22 trips at \$100(2 traveling—3 Subcommittee—executive sessions (2 traveling—3	2, 200
Subcommittee executive sessions (2 travening	
times per month, 2-day duration).	32, 400
times per month, 2-day duration): Transportation—72 trips at \$450. Per diem—72 trips at \$100.	7, 200
Subtotal	51,700
	THE REAL PROPERTY.
E. Stenographic/secretarial/clerical /2 traveling-3 times	
per month, 2-day duration):	25, 800
Transportation—12 trips at 5400	7, 200
per month, 2-day duration): Transportation—72 trips at \$400 Per diem—72 trips at \$100	
Subtotal	36, 000
Cut/potania.	
F. Local travel—stnff (Washington, D.C., \$50 per day times 250)	12 500
times 250)	12,500
Tetal	1, 684, 200
TCIAL	
are munit International:	
III. Travel—International: A. Committee members and stuff (8 traveling 12 times	
per year, 3-day duration):	141.000
Transportation-95 trips at \$1,500	36 000
per year, 3-day duration): Tran-portation—95 trips at \$1,500 Per diem—96 trips at \$375	
Total	180, COO
Total	COLUMN COLUMN
IV. Consultant services:	
A. Forevic (i.e. medical, ballistics).	
B. Experts-fingerprinting, handwriting, dorument and	
A. Foreusic e. medical, namedical, particular, document and Experience Experience e. foreign continuous alysis, criminalists (i.e. fiber, hair, clothing), neutron alysis,	
activation suralse, total butter	
C. Trauslators—nudiovisual, etc.:	
	160, 000
Travel (160 trips—3-day duration):	40,000
	41,000
Transportation-100 trips at \$100	15 0(4)
Per diem—100 trips at \$100	40, 000 15, 000
Per dien-100 trips at \$150.	155, 000
Per dien-100 trips at \$150.	
Per diem—100 trips at \$150.	155, 000
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total	153, 000
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total	153, 000
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total	153, 000
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total	153, 000
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total	31, 200 11, 700 36, 600
Per diem—100 trips at \$150. Total. V: Witnesses: A. Travel (78 trips, 3-day duration): Transportation—75 trips at \$400. Per diem—78 trips at \$150. B. Security: 120 days at \$500.	31, 200 11, 700 36, 600

(1) 「大きなないないできない。

	VI. Stationery and supplies: A. Startup allowance, 170 times \$300. B. Resupply, 170 times \$300. C. Special supplies such as tapes, etc.	51, 000 61, 000 15, 000
	Total	
	VII. Telephone and telegraph: A. Basic service	50,000 375,000
	Tutal	425, 000
}	(Note: The basic service amount has been fur- nished by the Chesapeake-Potomac Telephone Co. representative. Long distance charges have been estimated at 250 calls per day at an average cost of S5 per call.)	
	VIII. Equipmentpurchase: A. Tape recorders (25 at \$150; 2 at \$300)	4, 350
	R Cameras projectors screen etc.	2, 000 5, 600
	C. Polygraphs (2 at \$2.800)	8,000
	D. Strees evaluators (2 at \$4,000). E. Miniphone recording devices (2 at \$2,200)	4, 400
•	F. Security devices for staff offices.	10,000
	Total	34, 350
	10(2)	
	IX. Equipment—Lease:	26 000
÷	A Xerox-3 at \$12.000	36, 000 5, 000
	B. IBM Memory Typewriter—2 at \$2,500	100,000
	C. Computer services	
	(Note: The major portion of the committee's computer service needs will be handled through the facilities of the Committee on House Administration. The direct charges to the Select Committee will be \$57,600 for computer terminals. There will be other charges which have yet to be determined by the Committee on House Administration.) X. Reproductions, printing, and graphics: This allowance is needed for the development of films, enlargements, special	141, 000
	printing are work, graphics, etc. X1. Books/periodicals: This amount is needed for books, literature,	25, 060
	XI. Books/periodicals: This amount is needed for books, interature, periodicals, newspapers, etc.	5, 000
	XII. Miscellaneous: Contingency allowance (this amount is a contingency allowance representing approximately 1 percent of	•
j	the budget to allow for underestimating in the various ex-	
1	pense categories and to provide for unanticipated expenses)	50, 000
J		•

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF HENRY B. GONZALEZ

I completely support the recommendation of the committee, urge that the 95th Congress immediately reestablish it, and that a budget be approved in the full amount requested.

If ever a legislative effort began with less promise, it was the work of this Select Committee. The committee began its work in the waning days of the 94th Congress, had no staff, no offices, no time to organize properly, and no time to prepare or carry out the study it was commissioned to do. The committee has, however, accomplished more

missioned to do. The committee has, however, accomplished more than anyone could have thought possible.

First, the committee has secured the services of an outstanding chief counsel, Richard A. Sprague; he is one of the most effective and respected investigators in the country today. The committee has also been able to hire a team of exceptionally able investigators, researchers, and attorneys. There is absolutely no doubt that Mr. Sprague and his Staff can conduct a completely independent, dispassionate, objective, and thoroughly professional study, given time and adequate

support.

In the very short tire available, the committee has obtained a substantial amount of information and evidence, and placed these substantial amount of information and evidence, have compiled and under its control. Researchers and investigators have compiled, and indexed an immense amount of material, initiated some investigations, and most important, prepared the ground for the sustained effort ahead.

Second, the committee has defined its job and has a clear idea of how

it is to be accomplished. The committee does not intend to prove or disprove any theory concerning the assassinations it will study. Instead, the committee will conduct a completely new, independent study. It will begin with the events, take the evidence available, verify that evidence, and reach reasonable conclusions based on the available evidence: no

more, no less.

I recognize that an approach of this kind will entail a substantial amount of money, and will require a large number of people; moreover, a study of this kind will take time, and cannot be subject to any deadlines. To have any meaning, the investigation must rely on unimpenchable integrity. To do less than a completely thorough job would be to accomplish nothing at all.

unimpeachable integrity. To do less than a completely thorough job would be to accomplish nothing at all.

The committee is unanimous in its conclusion that it must be able to rely completely on its own resources. We know that neither the FB1 more CA reoperated fully with the Warren Commission; and we know that the FB1 Director in 1968 had passionate feelings against Dr. Martin Luther King—feelings that might well have been reflected in less than objective work by his subordinates. The decision of the committee to rely on its own staff rather than Executive agencies does not reflect on the integrity or efficiency of those agencies; but we are determined that this be a completely independent study, not in any way affected by the interests of any agency or group.

Investigations of any kind are expensive. Few people, perhaps few of my colleagues, realize how costly even a simple investigation can be. The scope of this study, and the fact that evidence and witnesses are scattered for and wide, the complexity of carrying out two major homicide investigations at once, will make this a very expensive effort. But I am satisfied that the study must be independent, must be thorough, and that every finding must be as thoroughly verified as humanely possible, or the entire effort will be appropriately.

Third, the committee has demonstrated its competence. In the very

Third, the committee has demonstrated its competence. In the very short amount of time it has had available, the committee has accomplished miracles of organization, defined its work, and began an extremely complex set of investigations. It is difficult to imagine how it could have accomplished more than it has, especially under the very adverse conditions it has been forced to operate under.

I believe the committee has demonstrated that it has a real task to accomplish. If it is permitted to proceed, the committee can settle hundreds of questions about the nunder of President Kennedy and that of Dr. King. Beyond that, the committee can shed light on the larger issue of political nunder and violence. We should not foreget that President Ford had his own narrow escapes; no Member of the House should forget that we live and work under increasingly of the House should forget that we live and work under increasingly tight security measures.

It is necessary today to have a vast police force in and around the Capitol. It is necessary to have television monitors on every door. It is

necessary to have parcels X-rayed.

necessary to have parcets A-rayed.

Every nirline passenger must be subjected to an electronic search for metal, and baggage carried abourd amplanes, and even into boarding areas, must be X-rayed.

Visitors to the Supreme Court must be cleared.

New gates and increasingly sophisticated surveillance devices surround and protect the White House. A whole new police force is surround to wrotest Embassies.

employed to protect Embassies.

All of this reflects an ago of political violence; all of it has come about since 1963. All of it shows that we have not learned the answer to the crucial question: Why?

to the crucial question: Why?

That, as I see jit, is the ultimate task of the Select Committee—to find out not just what happened, but why.

If we can answer that question, it may be possible to end political violence, and begin taking down the security measures that repress all of us, and represent a genuine threat to free government. Political violence is not inevitable, and it is not inexplicable. The Select Committee may, and I hope will, shed light on why these events took place, and why they shadow all our lives, even today—and how we can begin to end political violence and the oranipresent threat of it, which is, in fact, a threat to free government itself.

Hency B. Gonzalez. HENRY B. GONZALEZ.

COINmore ading e and s also archrague mate. quate sed a these bae. tions, effort ibove 240L with and e: no untial Dore-

O ADV r on h job ble to · FBI 20016

٤ Dr. reted the ·acies : but ∶udƴ,

urce alget

Raice :2DIEc SUPPLEMENTAL VIEWS OF CONGRESSPERSONS LOUIS STOKES, WALTER E. FAUNTROY, YVONNE BRATH-WAITE BURKE, AND HAROLD E. FORD

The purpose of these supplemental views is to strongly emphasize our concurrence in the recommendation contained in the committee's report. In our opinion, the House of Representatives took a giant step toward restoring the faith of the American people in their Government when, in the 94th Congress, it passed House Resolution 1540 ment when, in the 94th Congress, it passed House Resolution 1540 by a majority vote of 280 to 65 and established the Select Committee on Assassinations. Just this past week, the latest Gallup Pool told us that 80 percent of the American people do not believe that either Lee Harvey Oswald or James Earl Ray acted alone in the murders of President John F. Kennedy and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. They feel that their Government has not told them all that it knows or that it is in vesition to find but about the head assessinations of two of the it is in position to find out about the brutal assassinations of two of the most important leaders of the Post World War II era.

The following acticle appeared in the Washington Post on December 26, 1976, and read as follows:

As the House Select Committee on Assassinations begins its investigations, the overwhelming majority of Americans believe that others besides Lee Harvey Oswald were involved in the assassination of President Kennedy in Dallas on No-

vember 22, 1963. In the latest survey, just completed, 80 percent think others were involved in the assassination, while only 11 per-cent think Oswald acted alone. Nine percent do not express an opinion. Persons with a college background, while overwhelm-ingly of the opinion that some form of conspiracy was in-volved, are less inclined to hold this view than are persons with less than a college background.

with less than a college background.

Approximately one-half of the survey respondents who

Approximately one-half of the survey respondents who believe others were involved did not name a specific group. Among those who did showever, Cuba or Premier Fidel Castro were mentioned most often. Other responses frequently given are "the Mafia," "Communists," and the Central Intelligence Agency.

The same survey also shows that few Americans believe James Earl Ray acted on his own in the assassination of the Revened Doctor Martin Luther King, Jr. in Memphis on April 4, 1968. Only 18 percent hold this view, while 69 percent think others were involved and 13 percent do not express an opinion.

express an opinion. express an opmon.

An investigation into the assassinations of Kennedy and King was recently begun by the newly established House Select Committee on Assassinations—the sixth to be conducted on a large scale by Government officials since Kennedy and a large scale by Government officials since Kennedy and a large scale by Government officials since Kennedy and a large scale by Government of the sixth scale of nedy was assessmated.

Thus, in the case of the death of President Kennedy, in spite of the Warren Commission investigation and the investigations by other Federal agencies and the U.S. Congress, the final reports to the American people have lacked the thoroughness to alloy their anspicions that a conspiracy existed which has not yet been uncovered in the case of James Earl Ray and the King mander, the same general in the case of James Earl Ray and the King mander, the same general suspicions exist. The threshold inquiries made into these two deaths by our present committee do not provide the answers to these tumors, theories, and suspicions. If these fears, theories, suspicions, under ramors are to be put to rest and if the confidence of the American people is to be restored in the investigative agencies of their Government, a thorough, competent and objective investigation into each of these deaths is an absolute necessity.

people 1. to be restored in the investigative agencies of their Government, a thorough, competent and objective investigation into each of these deaths is an absolute necessity.

This committee has proceeded in that vein. The committee has selected Mr. Richard Sprague, a prominent prosecuting attorney as chief counsel and director of its staff. He is in the process of establishing a staff of attorneys, investigators, and administrative personnel who are capable of exhibiting the highest degree of competence and professionalism in the enormous task of investigating two murders. The Warren Commission was able to avail itself of the investigative personnel of numerous agencies such as the FBI, CIA, the Secret Service, the Justice Department, the State Department, and the Internal Revenue Service.

Internal Kevenue Service.

The integrity of the results of the investigation by the Select Committee on Assassinations will be predicated upon a thorough, objective, fair, and impartial investigation by professional personnel, who will be independent and will be free from the taint of "investigation themselves." It is imperative, therefore, that these minimum staff and budget recommendations which are being requested be granted by the 95th Congress. If in the final analysis we have been able to help restore the faith and confidence of the American people in their Government, this Congress will have justified the costs and will have endeared itself to the people whom we serve.

LOUIS STOKES.
WALTER E. FAUNTROY.
YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE.
HAROLD E. FORD.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIEWS OF CONGRESSMAN CHARLES THONE

I am in basic agreement with the Report of the Select Committee on Assassinations to the 94th Congress. I do, however, wish to make the following observations.

Unless this Select Committee maintains complete credibility with the American public, its efforts will be in vain. Anything that subtracts from the faith and confidence that the people of the United States have in this committee will greatly diminish the value of its

final report.

Therefore, I have been deeply concerned by the tendency of a majority on the committee to conduct far too many meetings in executive session. I grant there will be some matters coming before the committee that should be heard in executive sessions. Examples of such matters are testimony that would endanger the national security and, in special investigative hearings, such testimony or evidence that would tend to defame, degrade, or incriminate an individual who might be innocent. By and large, however, we can only serve the public well through conducting our sessions after adequate notice and in public.

which I introduced was adopted unanimously by those present. It specifically provides in the reconstruction resolution of this committee for the 95th Congress that the committee, a tits first meeting in 1977, must adopt rules for its conduct and that those rules must be in accordance with House of Representatives rules.

accordance with House of Representatives rules.

Rule XI provides that every committee of the House shall adopt written rules governing its procedure, and Rule XI 2(g)(1) provides that "Each meeting for the transaction of business, including the markup of legislation, of each standing committee or subcommittee, in open session and with a quorum present, determines by rollcall vote that all or part of the remainder of the meeting on that day shall be closed to the public." Other meeting rules cover proper notice, specified record keeping and some very limited exceptions to open sessions. We can and must follow both the letter and spirit of these rules.

Yes, by all means this Select Committee on Assassinations must operate scrupulously and diligently within the rules of the House.

Yes, by all means this Select Committee on Assessinations must operate scrupulously and diligently within the rules of the House. Furthermore, we must strive, within the rules, to be open and accessible to the public and their representatives, the news media. For this committee, credibility is everything. And credibility will best be served, as usual, by conducting public business in public.

CHARLES THONE.

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF CONGRESSMAN CHRISTOPHER J. DODD

"Independence, thoroughness, and openness" must be the guiding principles of these new investigations into the assessinations of President John F. Kennedy and the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. by

our House Select Committee on Assassinations.

In endorsing this report, I would like to take this opportunity to elaborate on these themes, and to underscore their importance to the ultimate success or failure of our efforts. I also want to share my personal views on these inquiries, as the committee begins this ambi-tious undertaking.

As an early co-ponsor of congressional resolutions calling for this Select Committee, I have long felt that there remain many unan-wered questions surrounding these assassinations—expecially questions about the roles of the Federal agencies charged with investigating these

terrible crimes.

The specific questions highlighted in this report as to the conduct of the Central Intelligence Agency, and its apparent knowledge of some of Lee Harvey Oswald's activities in Mexico before the Kennedy assassination, are examples of just such continuing concerns.

Another example underscored in this document is the question of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's reported surveillance of Dr. King, and whether FBI agents were in Memphis and near the civil rights leader at the time of his death.

The House Judiciary Subcommittee on Civil Rights, on which I serve and which has oversight responsibility for the FBI, found it necessary last year to hold hearings about that agency's activities before and after President Kennedy's assassination. After taking part in those hearings, I can say our subcommittee raised more new questions about the FBI and the assassination than we received answers to old ones.

answers to out ones.

Since then, other pressing legislative responsibilities—such as FBI surveillance guidelines and bankruptcy law reforms—prevented our Judiciary Subcommittee from pursuing these new questions, and the unanswered old ones, as fully as would have been desired.

The allegations of possible conspiracies, and of the possible withholding of evidence, are more of the many additional questions about these assassinations which should be laid to rest once and for all, so that these tragic chapters in American history can at last be closed.

that these tragic chapters in American history can at last be closed.

I think this new, Select Committee represents a unique opportunity for Congress and the American people to finally resolve the issues still surrounding these murders, and I would hope the committee will be able to provide the answers to all these continuing questions.

However, it must be pointed out that this committee could, after its lengthy, exhaustive inquiries, still reach the same conclusions about these assassinations that other investigating bodies have before us. Or this committee might arrive at far different conclusions.

The American people have a right to know the full stories behind these assassinations. They have a right to know the motives and tensons for them, how these deeds were accomplished, and who truly

was responsible for them.

This Committee, then, has a great responsibility to fulfill, and the ways it accomplishes this task are of the utmost importance. It is here where "Independence, thoroughness, and openness" cough the most.

"Independence"—As mentioned before in these views and in the body of this report, pains criticisms of past investigations of these

body of this report, major criticisms of past investigations of these assassinations focus heavily on the activities of the Federal justice and intelligence agencies charged with conducting the initial criminal

inquiries.

In light of the fact that these Federal agencies could be the subject. of part of our investigation, it would be wholly inappropriate to emreliance on these agencies would prejudice the necessary impartiality

and credibility of our inquiries.

Therefore, it is crucial to the success of this committee that it retain an experienced, professional investigative and legal staff completely independent from these Federal Government agencies. This great need for an independent staff is the primary reason why the proposed

committee budget totals what it does.
"Thoroughness"—I am pleased that the members of this committee, and its staff, are committed to pursuing every possible arenue of investigation and every conceivable theory and lead about these as-

This determination to find definitive answers, if they exist, to all the questions surrounding the assassinations is significant, because the the questions surrounding the assassinations is significant, because the committee's work must withstand the tests of time which other investigations apparently have failed to pass. In 10, 20, 30, or 100 years after the end of our inquiries, the American people should not have to face the doubts about these crimes which exist today.

Our committee must go about its deliberations in the most considered and considerations in the most considered and considerations in the most considered and considerations.

Our committee must go about its denoerations in the most considered and careful manner possible—eschewing making judgments and conclusions until all the evidence is gathered and evaluated. It is essential that the committee and staff approach these inquiries with completely open minds, so as to avoid possible charges from any quarter that we are being less than objective.

Central to the thoroughness of our investigations will be the necessity to obtain confidential, ensitive, or classified material from Federal agencies. In this regard, I believe the committee should insist on full and total disclosure of all relevant documents in raw, rather than

edited or excised, form.

The committee should have the unlimited opportunity to make an arrange and it should not accept any The committee should have the unlimited opportunity to make an independent analysis of these documents, and it should not accept any preselection or screening of information by any agency. Accept any of edited or screened information could jeometrize the independence of the committee's investigations and could gase the possibilities of pertinent information being withheld by the agencies.

To obtain the necessary raw information and documents, the Scient Committee should avoid the past experiences of other corgressional bodies which made "treaties" with Federal agencies about access to information.

information.

The requirements of a thorough, independent investigation must not be compromised away through "treaties," and the committee must be willing to employ all appropriate means, including contempt of Congress citations, to obtain needed information. The committee furthermore must be willing to vigorously pursue subposues and contempt citations to the fullest legal extent possible in its efforts to secure relevant information.

Ņ

ŧ٥ re 16 . 'n ct :h ţy in iy at

> itοſ

15all he ធ-173

id-

ad

ith D).

TRÌ full 100 ar iny io e HT-

mal

tempt citations to the fullest legal extent possible in its efforts to recure relevant information.

In insisting on total disclosure of relevant agency information relating to the assas initions, the committee must recognize a paramount responsibility to ensure the safekeping and confidentiality of such materials through whatever elaborate security measures and personnel clearance procedures are necessary. The act of "leaking" and such materials should face the most severe penalties, else.

Lastly in the area of "thoroughness," I would caution the American people to also avoid reaching premature conclusions about the nature, progress and results of the containtee's examinations.

It would be misleading for the public to view committee deliberations and heavings about specific allegations, observations, theories, et celera regarding the assassinations as official endorsements of the validity of these pieces of information.

validity of these pieces of information.

Until any interim or final committee reports set forth conclusive findings from the investigations, any deliberations and hearings, and their contents, should be viewed as part of the overall process by which we are attempting to determine the truthfulness or fulfity of all this

"Openness"—Clearly, the question whether to hold meetings and hearings in public or in closed session is one of the most difficult with hearings in public or in closed session is one of the most difficult with which the committee must deal. The obligation has, as an arm of a public institution, Congress, to do its business in public must be balanced by the need to protect the confidentiality of certain sensitive information.

I believe that a meeting or a hearing of our committee should be closed only when it has been demonstrated that an executive session is necessary to:

(1) protect the constitutional rights and safety of witnesses (2) avoid jeopardizing the ongoing investigations, such as by risking loss of potential evidence; and,
(3) safeguard national defense information.

Any decision to close a meeting or heating should be made by a rollcall, rather than a voice, vote.

rollcall, rather than a voice, vote.

During the course of the committee's investigations, as plateaus are reached where significant evidence has been verified, allowing various conclusions to be drawn, then it would seem proper for the committee to hold open sessions, or to issue interim reports, to keep the public informed of its work.

This committee has an obligation to conduct as much of its business as possible in open sessions, where the American people can follow our investigations and judge for themselves how well or poorly we are the charging our responsibilities. Such openness, I submit, is essential to establishing the credibility of our committee and of the results of its investigations.

its investigations.

The American people should have the opportunity to observe how the members of this committee question witnesses. The public should

be afforded as many insights as possible into the actual workings of

the investigations, because such participation will mean that our final couchsions will be more credible to all Americans.

During floor debate on the House resolution establishing the committee, a major argument in its favor was that, unlike past investigations are resulted allow the preschedules. tions, we would allow the people to oversee our deliberations. Such openness, it was argued, would help to quell public mistrust of Congress and of our governing process, in general. This argument remains valid today.

Our committee might finally conclude that past investigations of the Kennedy and King assessinations were correct in their findings, thus rejecting the many alternative theories now circulated. Or we

might show some of these theories to be correct.

What is as important here as any specific conclusion we might reach, is that we allow a reasonable, open process to work, and that we demonstrate to the people that we are giving cuch and every theory thorough scrutiny before accepting or rejecting it. In this way, no one in the future, will be able to challenge the committee's integrity and responsibility, as past investigations have been challenged.

To further demonstrate the committee's commitment to conducting an open inquiry, and to allow discussion in the near future of the best way to strike a balance between our public obligation and the need to maintain a certain level of confidentiality. I again would urge the committee to draft a comprehensive policy statement or set of rules dealing with these issues. Policy or committee rules regarding the handling of sensitive documents, and statements to the press by individual members, also should be proposed for discussion. Such rules or religious chould be proposed for discussion. rules or policies should be debated and voted on by the committee

at an open session early next year.

In summary, I feel that the Select Committee has made a productive beginning, and by this report, has demonstrated that it should be reconstituted as quickly as possible in the 95th Congress to allow the investigations to proceed without a loss of continuity or momentum. I urge all my colleagues in Congress to support such a reestablishment of the committee.

Furthermore, I believe that only through independence, thoroughness, and openness will the committee earn and continue to receive the full support of the American people to pursue these deliberations along whatever paths the investigations might lead, including the firm steps needed to enforce subpoenas and contempt of Congress

And it will be only by following these guiding principles that the committee, and its work, will be acceptable to the American people as providing proper answers to the disturbing questions which still surround the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and The Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr.

Christophen J. Done.

a reduction of each personal income be, it make by 8 percent and the corporate income tax rate by 8 percent?
We are working on developing an answer this question and will submit our best existence as soon as it is available probably in about two weeks.

About two weeks. . Sincereis yours

ALKE M. RIVLD.

arional Budget Office,

Dr ALICE RYLES.
Drawtor, Congrustional Sudget Office.
IT sahington, D.C...
Dean Du. RYLES: Your appearance and
presentation before the House Committee on
the Budget this morning to discuss the rescore for and the implications of the new
famous appreciated.
In follow-up to our colloquy, I am submitting in written form the quastions which we
discussed during the hearing.

Much has been said in regard to the "byin
with" in the present sconcomy, of unemploy-

Much has been said in regard to the "was evite" in the present seconomy, of memployment and inflation. There has also been considerable ducussion surrounding the most appropriate way to combat them. How does the spanding shortfull which the Budget Committee has discussed today affect these center. These finestiments of the second of the

committee has microser longy arest [held retailer [specifically;

(1) To "shat extent does the \$11.4 billion shortfall (comparing actual FY 1976 and transition quarter figures with January, 1976 budget figures) affect the current mossible hot secured and the money would have been appart on behedule, how many new jobs, if any, would have been created as a result of the additional Pederal "stimulars".

(3) Do your estimates as to the job-creation-affect of the abouthair change when given the \$17.6 billion figure produced by comparing the actual FT 1978 and TQ figures with the Congressional Concurrent resolutions?

(2) To what extent does the spending rei) To war except does not speculing to-tions—considered at both speculing to-effect the inflationary pressures of the comp? Would inflation have been higher the shortfall in expenditures not taken

had the shortfall in arpenditure not taken place?

7 (4) In your testimony you indicated that the "mining attention" brought about as a result of the shortfall lies had a depressant effect on the expansion of the scomony would this reduction in growth have taken place if Congress had included the Fresients of the scomony would this reduction in growth have taken place if Congress had included the Fresients of the Spanish which have taken place if Congress had included the Fresients of the Spanish of the Spanish

Eind regards.
Joses H. Rocassior.

OMr ROUSSELOT asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Racors and to mchide e (traneous matter.)

ing ROUSSELOT's remarks will appear becoming in the Paternious of Re-13:ks 1

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE TRADE ACT OF 1971

(Mr. 781ARP - saked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this oint in the RECORD and to include ex-

point in the Recons and to include ex-transous matter.)

Mr. SHARP. Mr. Speaker, I am intro-ducing today, with Mr. Bradenas, Mr. RHODES, Mr. BRUCUS, and Mr. BENJAMIN. an amendment to the Trade Act of 1974 which is designed to correct and mequity in the application of the trade adjust

ance—TRA—to workers laid off from a firm as a result of increased imports. Al-though I was not in the Congress when though I was not in the Congress when the Trade Act was passed, I understand that the purpose of TRA was to provide temporary relief for those workers who were injured by a U.S. trade policy which, in total, was beneficial to the economy of this country. The payments are limited by the law, however, to workers whose layoffs occurred within 1 year before the date of their petition for certification by the Department of Labor and after October 3, 1974. October 3: 1974.

This proposed amendment to the Trade Act would not change the October 3, 1974, earliest eligibility date; section 221(b) (2) would remain mechanged. Thus, there is no danger of opening the coor to cases of sed amendment to the Trade import-related layoffs which took place

what this amendment does change is the provision that eligibility is limited to those workers laid oil within I year prior to the date of their petition for assistance. It is my understanding that this 1-year limitation was written into the limit on retroactivity; and second, to maintain a causal linkage between the imports and the layoffs; that is, to impure that imports actually caused the layoffs of the workers who received benefits.

The amendment would accomplish these goals without arbitrarily excluding some workers from the program. The amendment replaces the 1-year-prior to-the-petition date with 3-year eligibility limit.

Mr. Speaker, last year I introduced a miler amendment which would have similar ameniment which would have eliminated the 1-year cutoff provision. In a hearing on that bill before the Trade Subcommittee on September 28, 1976. representatives of the Department of Labor testified that open-ended eligibility would cause major administrative difficulties. For that reason the bill I am introducing today would not totally elim-inate the cutoff, but it-would substitute a 2-year limit for the existing 1-year limit.

As I testified at the hearing, there were three cases in my own District where an inequity resulted from the 1-year cutoff: the Warner Gear plant in Muncle, Jay Garment in Portland, and Allegheny Ludlum in New Castle In each of these cases, petitions for trade adjustment assistance were filed more than 1 year after the first import-related layoffs. It is clear from the number of such cases.

Ich occurred around the country dur-If the first year of the program's opera-

ig the first year or the titon that information about True not sufficiently will known.

If this bill is reacted, thousands of workers will become eligible to receive the benefits which Congress intended them to receive and which, in many reases, their cowurkers have already received. It is not too late to correct the injustice, and I urge my colleagues to support this measure.

REMARKS CONCERNING THE HOUSE BELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSAS-

(Mr. DELLUMS asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Recors and to incince ex-transous matter.)

fort to lay to rest once and for all the skepticism and growing doubts concernand for all the ing the Kennedy and King assassina-tions, I have strongly supported the es-tablishment of the House Select Committee on Assassinations. My work on, the House Select Committee on Intellie; gence convinced me of the very serious examples of negligence by the investi-gating agencies conterned. If we expect a higher standard of performance in the a niger standard of performance in the future, we cannot take a "kit-bygone-be-bygones" attitude toward the scar-dals of the past. The fear of ultimate exposure is one powerful molive for maintaining proper professional standarda

I believe the sum proposed by the conmittee is essential if the committee is to perform a professional, indepth investi-gation. The proposed budget might seem estravagant when compared to that of the Warren Commission, But I want to point out that the Warren Commission had the services of 130 full-time Min agents, 80 full-time Secret Ecrvice agents and 12 full-time and part-time CIA agents, plus their backup rishs and fa-cilities. In addition, the Justice Depart-ment and the State Department provided the Warren Commission with professions al help. (1) 105 half to feet as to the Because questions have arisen as to the

adequacies of prior investigations by the PBI and CIA and the possibility that one or both might become the subject of part of the committee's investigation, the services of these accordes cannot be utilized.

Bince the deaths of President Ren-nedy and Dr. King, new evidence has come to light and with it i w questions and new doubts concerning the ade-quacies of previous investigations. The House of Representatives in its mandate to the committee has shown its determi-nation to lay to rest this growing sense of national concern and to resolve the questions and doubts once and for all. The mandate should be renewed.

I believe that the committee has a real opportunity to make a genuine contribu-tion to national trust and unity. I wree that it be authorized and properly

We now have an even broader acope

I still have grave reservations about the "designated counsel" that is provided for in the resolution. An inquiry by delagation of authority is precisely the practice that has caused people to have

Finally, Mr. Speaker, the resolution states that if the select committee is to be formed it would dissolve on March 31. be formed it would dissolve an March 31, 1977. Now, we all know very little, if anything, of consequence is going to be done by that time and we ought to come to grips with this thing right here and now. Either we put a stop to, it once and for all right here and now or if we do proceed, do so puly in a very limited and precise way.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION

Mr. DELANET, from the Committee on Rules, reported the following privileged resolution (H. Res. 231, Rept. No. 95-8), which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

Ann. 1997, H. Ben. 231

Resolved, That, immediately upon the adoption of this resolution is shall be in order to consider without the intervention of any point of order the contrained report on the bill (6, 474) to authorise the Freediate of the United States to order emergency deliveries and transportation of natural gas to, deal with existing or imminent shortages by providing assistance in meeting requirements for high-priority uses, to provide authority for short-term emergency purchases of natural gas; and for other purposes, and all points of order against said conference report are hereby waived.

Mr. DELANET, Mr. Breaker, by directions of the said of the conference of the

Mr. DELANEY. Mr. Speaker, by dire tion of the Committee on Rules, I call up

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report he resolution.

The Clerk read the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The question is, Will House now consider House Resolution 2317 PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRE PROPERTY

Mr. PICKLE, Mr. Speaker, I. bave a parliamentary inquiry. Var Petron all Petron and Petron will state his parliamentary inquiry. L. Mr. PICKLE, Mr. Speaker, I had asked for time to speak on the question of the adoption of the rule, and I wish to pre-serve my right. That is all I want to do.

The SPEAKER. The Chair will state that the gentleman from Texas Pickus) should defer at this time. is on the question of whether the House will now consider House Resolution 231. Mr. PICKLE I thank the Speaker.

MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I make a point of order.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

February 2, 1977 F COI RESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE point of order that the resolution has not been printed.

gentleman will yield, this is merely to consider taking up the rule. "Mr. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I would like

to make the point of order that I believe under this rule we are waiving all points

doubts about the validity of the Warren, of order; is that not correct?

Commission's findings.

The warren, of order; is that not correct?

Commission's findings.

This is more will yield further, that matatase that if the select committee is to ter, will be takes up at the proper time, be formed it would dissolve on March 31.

This is morely for consideration, at this particular time, of House Resolution 231:

The SPEAKER. The Chair will state that the point of order of the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. Moore) is not well taken and is therefore overruled. There is no requirement that this reso lution be printed before it can be called up, although the Chair ordered the resolution printed when it was filed and referred to the House Calendar. The question is Will the House now consider House Resolution 2317

consider House Resolution 2317.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the House agreed to consider House Resolution 231.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from

New York (Mr. Dellanry) is recomized for I hour.

Mr. DELLANEY. Mr. 'Speaker,' I yield to inluste to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. Quillen), pending which I yield myself such time as I may commune.

Mr. DELANEY, Mr. Speakef, this is a rather unusual procedure which we have here today.

here today, This was brought to Committee on Rules, and I believe I re-ceived the communication last night. I Mr. Speaker, this resolution provides

for the consideration of the conference report on S. 474, the Emergency Natural Cas Act of 1977, without the intervention of any point of order. The resolution also provides that all points of order against the conference report are waived

The conference report was filed today and thus does not meet the 3-day layover. requirement, the 2-hour availability requirement. Section 9(c) of the report contains matter which was not in either the House or Senate bills and is thus beyond the scope of the conference. It is possible that this language is also non Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of the germane.} ***

resolution in order that the House may consider the conference report on S. 474 Mr. QUILLEN. Mr. Speaker, I yomyself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. QUILLEN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. QUILLEN. Mr. Speaker. this is a rule making it possible to have a discu sion on the conference report which is being brought before the House to help solve a crisis which is facing the American people today, the industries in Amer-ica, the workers in America, the farmers in America, and all Americans, I support a religional con the contract of the state o

H 775
would like to point out to the Manbers of the House that the researc of this conference report is not going to produce I more cubic foot of extra natural sas that can be consumed in America. I be-lieve that this Congress for the past 2 years has not performed its dulles in passing a permanent solution to our problem, that of allowing more domesticproblem, that of allowing more communication. But, as I say, today we face a crisis. This conference report is mandatory and I urge its passage.

Mr. MOONE, Mr. Speaker, will the cantleman yield?

Mr. QUILLEN. I yield to the gentle

Mr. QUILLEN. I yield to the grind-equation Louisiana.

Mr. MOORE Mr. Speaker, as I under-stand this rule, it waives all points of order on the conference report on the Emergency Natural Oss Act, is that correct?

Wr. QUILLEN, Yes, H'does walve all points of order because there is some language in the conference report on page 7 on which it is necessary to waive points of order. But, in waiving them I points of order. But, in waiving them I do not think the House is giving up any of its rights and is only responding to the present crisis that faces us today. I "Mr. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I would

ack the gentleman from Tennessee to yield further to ma. \ 102. \ \dots ntleman from Louisiana Sugar Section Mr. MOORE Mr. Speaker, I would ask the gentleman from Tennessee if it not true that the definition of interstate natural gas has been expanded in the conference report to include language that was neither in the House or the Senate passed versions? Fig. 10.40 Mr. QUILLEN. There is language in

the conference report that was not in either the House or Benate bill and that is why the walvers are neccessary. We went into detail on this in the Committee on Rules and I do not find it objection. at all.

Mr. MOORE. I certainly and it object tionable if the intent of this new definition is to bring under the emergency allocation provisions of this act previ-ously excluded intrastate natural gas. the gentleman from Tennesses, of someone who can answer this question, if that-is in fact the intent of this new expanded definition to bring, for the first time in the history of the laws of this Nation, natural gas which is currently excluded from the Natural Cas Act, to waive the provisions of that act in this definition, and to now bring that gas for the first time under control of the Pederal Covernment and under control of the emer-

gency allocation provisions of this bill.

Mr. QUILLEN. I would like to yield to the chairman of the committee, the gen-liteman from West Virginia (Mr. Srao-gens) for a response to the mustion.

Mr. STAGOERS. Mr. Speaker, it does not change anything with respect to in-trastate gas or control of it, in fact it goes beyond and it protects it from be-

coming jurisdictional. The last of the coming jurisdictional.

Mr. MOORE, Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield further to me?

Mr. QUILLEN. I yield further to the gentleman from Louislana.

man from Connecticut (Mr. McKDener)

ts recognized for 8 minutes.

Mr. McKINNEY, Mr. Speaker, if it were not for the seriousness of the situation we face, involving the lives and livelihood of millions of Americans, I think I would laugh out loud. This country has again bean unanted of seriousness. try has again been unexpectedly crippled by yet another "energy crists" and Conby yet another m. k. again contemplating 'emer gency", measures to bring that crisis under control.

Despite my plans to join the ranks of my colleagues who will rush to the aid of the critically stricken regions of our country and support Mr. Carter's ener-gency allocation bill, I will not do so ut a sense of disgust. Connecticut will do its part to free-up supplies for its fellow States and will do so happily in recognition of the assistance we in the Northeast have received in coping with our own, more persistent, energy emergency. However, Mr. Speaker, Connecti-cut will feel the pinch of further con-

atraints on her already fragile economy.

In the last Congress, you recall that the House spent quite some time debat ing a proposal to deregulate the price of new natural gas. Opponents of deregulation claimed that industry warnings of severe shortages ranged from inaccurate to intentionally untrue. In the name of consumer protection, opponents of the measure effectively blocked decourted and eliminated the sorely needed finan-cial incentives to produce additional supplies. As a result, prices for some con-sumers were indeed held down so effecsumers were indeed held down so effec-tively that no gas will flow to them now that they are in dire need. Such attempts to repeal the law of supply and demand are what I call consumer protection atits congressional best.

Regardless of how quickly or successfully our relief efforts develop, Mr. Speaker, I do not expect plaudits from the American people for our actions here today. Congress must shoulder the pri-mary responsibility for this most recent crists. How can we expect anything but intermittent fuel shortages and crises in light of our remarkable failure to de-velop a definitive and coordinated na-tional energy program within which such seasonal abort-falls could be anticipated? The emergency program which Mr. Carter has devised is our only alternative due to the immediacy of the problem. However, the President's proposal should not be mistaken as a solution to our present or future dilemms. This tion proposal merely spreads the burden more thinly on the theory that a of people hurting a little is better than a few people hurting a lot. There must come a point when the problem can no longer be redistributed but must be solved.

I am afraid that it is an inescapable human weakness, regardless of party affiltation, that near disaster must strike before a problem of this dimension can properly addressed. Hopefully, this winter's disaster has provided us with the impetus to resist further knee-jerk energy policymaking and tackle the problem in its full scope. To date, the product of this hand-to-mouth energy Sales and Sales

电影

program has been a neitonal energy policy consisting of three factors: The good will of OPEC, the good graces of the Russian Navy, and our prayers for good weather. The American people have and reconstant reavy, and our prayers for good weather. The American people have a right to expect a more tangible pro-gram for national and regional energy development after 2 years of work. Mr. Speaker, we must first prioritize our specific needs. If we are to depend on foreign oil imports as a primare an-

on foreign oil imports as a primary en-ergy source for the next 20 years, then let us plan for that. If the American people must pay higher fuel prices in order to stimulate domestic resource produc-tion, then so be it—but let us pass an excess profits tax and an energy tax re-bate to minimize the economic disruption inherent in that course. We need a definitive timetable to account for the contribution of coal, nuclear, geothermal, and solar resources—6 timetable upon and solar resources—a timetable upon which producers, refiners, distributors, and utilities can rely. These priorities, in turn, would require us to structure our environmental goals to complement energy development so energy producers can plan long-range generation needs without fear of fuel intersuption or conversion orders. And finally, within that framework, I hope we would also eliminate ill-advised plans to export our energy resources as in the case of Alaskan gas and oil. A national energy policy rould provide for the domestic contion of those resources in areas, like New England, which are dependent on foreign England, which are dependent on total sources for crude and must compete with their domestic neighbors for finished products due to the lack of regional refining facilities. Sadly, these are the fining facilities. Sadly, these are problems we do not address today.

Mr. Speaker, I could go on ad infini-tum, but each Member of this body what must be done. Unless prompt and effective action is taken, next year energy supply distortions will be even more severe regardless of the winter's burden. I urgently hope we can do what must be done today, learn from this most recent disaster, and get on with the vital

TATEMENT OF VIEWS OPPOSING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SE-LECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINA-_ . . . _

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Kelly) is recogpized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KELLY. Mr. Speaker, I come b fore you today to voice my strong oppo-sition to House Resolution 9 which would establish the House Select Committee on Assassinations for the purpose of reinvestigating the assassination of President Kennedy, Martin Luther King, Jr., and any other persons deemed necessary by the committee. Same of the

I submit that if we approve this resolution, we will be committing the American taxpayer to a multimillion-dollar fishing expedition for the benefit of a bunch of publicity seekers who plan to build their political fortunes by impugn-ing the motives and abilities of men and institutions that had the highest respect

838 February 1 of the Matton at the time the con committed.

the Mation at wis survival ministed.

The basic question we must kee the whomeon what dick life selves is whom--or whatmittee intend to invertigate?

Are we to delude ourselver with notion that casting a \$13 million di not today, 13 years after the fact, provide fresh lends on the assacsing and investigation thereof?

Or are we to be awayed by ru allegations—running rampant of the that the CIA, the FDJ, the Chief. Du of the Supreme Court, and a whole is -running rampant of Jal of highly respected public officials of apired somehow to keep the real in about President Kennedy's assausings shout President Kennedy's assactingly from the American public? Are we to be leve these people were so incepting could not see then what those conducting the current investigation can feel years later?

Let us remember that at the line of the tragedy an army of trained investigation can be considered to the tragedy an army of trained investigation.

gators from nearly all branches of eig gators from nearly all transfes of green ernment swooped down on Dallas in act all-out effort to pick up the slighting ahred of evidence on the killing. The included the Dallas Police Department the Dallas County Sheriss's Department the Texas Department of Public Sates, the Pill-which dispatched more thanks additional agents to Dallas to assistant the investigation—the Becret Series and the CIA.

To avoid parallel investigation—

To avoid parallel investigations and concentrate the task of factfinding in body with the broadest possible natio mandate, President Johnson, on November 29, 1963, created a nonpartisan Conmission to collect the information as present its conclusions to the American ople. Lest we forget, members of the Warren Commission were univers acclaimed for their integrity and dedication to this country.

President Johnson selected Earl-Way.

ren. Chief Justice of the United States to head the panel.

Berving with him were: Richard B Russell, Democratic Senator from Conc. ria and chairman of the Benale Art gia and chairman of the Benale Arms Bervices Committee, former Covernor, and county attorney in, the States, Ceorgia; John Sherman Cooper, the R publican Benator from Kentucky, and U.S. Ambassador to India; Hale Bogy Democratic U.S. Representative from Louisiana and majority whip; and Gald R. Ford, Republican U.S. Represents tive from Michigan—and chairman the House Republican Conference.

From the private sector the Presid selected two prominent attorneys will had served in the administrations of boo Democratic and Republican Presidents
They were Allen W. Dullea, former Di
rector of the CIA, and John J. McClof
former President of the Internation
Bank for Reconstruction and Develop ment, for Reconstruction and Development, former U.S. High Commissioner Germany, and during World War II.
Assistant Secretary of War.
The Commission's legal staff considerations.

of persons with high professional qua fications and was drawn from areas of the United States. To Ten = departments of the Federal Governments of its independent agencies and so missions, and 4 congressional commit

20787

tees were directed to supply all information relating to the crime.

Today, an ambitious assemblage of latter-day supersleuths would have us believe these same men were dummles, or, worse yet, treasonous, in conspiring with a foreign power to assassinate the President of the United States, and in conspiring to cover up the investigation. If this is so, then we should not be investigating the assassination of President Kennedy, or that of any man for

dent Kennedy, or that of any man for that matter. If this is so, we should be investigating the basic structure of this Government on the premise that it is in me conspiracy against the people of this country.

ints country.

I do not believe there is any moral, ethical or practical basis to justify the premise. What really needs investigating are the motives of those who are ing so diligently to instill in the hearts of the American people distrust for one another and disrespect for their institu-

the other hand, I am not preaching the infallibility of the Warren Com-mission, or of any other investigatory agency for that matter.

The suggestion has been made, and I m in agreement, that if valid questions ave arisen or new facts have come to have arisen or new lacts have come to light that might alter the Warren Com-mission's finding, then the matter should be investigated—by a properly selected nonpartisan panel of factingers, not a bunch of free-spending headling Krabbers.

To do otherwise would be to discredit our local, State and Federal law enforcewarren Commission—most of whom. I believe—worked honestly and hard to unravel this great tragedy.

Whether or not there needs to be investigation is a matter of debate. But if House Resolution 9 is approved many politicians will make their names in this world, at the expense of the American taxpayer and the reputation of the institutions we have come to depend on. That we can be sure of.

is type of smear is immoral and st, and X urgs my colleagues to seit. unjust oppose it.

LEGISLATION PROVIDING SEVEN
ADDITIONAL JUDGES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF PLORIDA

The SPEAKER per tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-man from Florida (Mr. Burks) is recognized for the second ized for 15 minute

Mr. BURKE of Florida, Mr. Speaker oday I am introducing legislation which fould amend title 28 of the United States ode to provide seven additional judger toge to provide seven additional judges for the southern district of Piorida. If this legislation is passed into law, not less than two of these judges shall be assigned to hold court in Port Lauderdale, Pla.

Under our system of checks and balances where the legislative, the executive, and the judicial branches share the Powers of the Government, it is the resibility of the Congress of the United States to enact laws and to provide the security courts to enforce these laws. But it has been over 6 years since the

Congress has seen, in its wisdom, the ne to provide additional judgeships to the southern district of Florida. Since that time a dramatic increase in population and a similar rise in the backlog of court and a simular rise in the Decking of court cases lends support to the position that additional judges are necessary if the judicial system is not to be overburdened.

judicial system is not to be overburdened.
Statistics from the U.S. Department of
Commerce and the Burcau of the Census
show that Florida is now the most rapidly growing State in the Union and
that the eart of Florida which makes up
the southern district of Florida is growing at a faster rate than the remainder of
the State. It is the tremendous population growth in this area counted with tion growth in this area coupled with a marked increase in commercial activity both foreign and domestic-which counts for the unprecedented increase in civil and criminal filings in this court and the particular urgency of this legis-

Even though there are seven authorized Judgeships in the southern district of Florida the workload of this court has increased to the point where it is now one the United States, The of the heaviest in extent of this situation can be seen by comparing the activities of the southern district court with the four criteria establishing the need for new judgeships set forth in Report 94-387 of th district judgeship bill, S. 287, According to this report each judge should be re-sponsible for no more than 400 case fil-ings. Second, each judge should be responsible for not more than 358 termina tions. Third, the bench time for each judge shall not exceed 110 days. And finally, the district shall have made efficient use of existing judges, supporting personnel, and procedural devices. Should the district exceed the limits defined in these criteria, it follows that new judgeshall be created until compliance ahins

ships shall be created until compliance with the criteria has been satisfied.

Using the criterion that 400 cases is the proper limit for a single judge to receive, it follows that in a district wherein there are 7 judges, there should be a paximum of 2,600 case filings during any has wear Manusca between flared years. ne year. However, between fiscal years 970 and 1976 the number of civil and riminal cases filed in the southern disriminal cases filed in the southern dis-rict court jumped from 2,204 to 4,783.

This averages out to approximately 14%-percent increase per year since fiscal year 1970. Translated into caseload per judge-ship, the number of civil and criminal cases that each judge in the district must handle hear year. From 441 in fiscal year taste state each judge in the district must handle has risen from 441 in fiscal year 1970—when there were only 5 judge-ships—to 679 in fiscal year 1976. In other words, the judges in the southern district of Florida have been literally staggered by the weight of an increasingly growing cambad. caseload

Just as significant are the figures de-Just as significant are the figures denoting the number of cases terminated and the bench time per judge. In fiscal year 1975 each judge in the southern district of Florida terminated an average of 447 cases. This is well above the national average of 371 and goes even further beyond the recommendation of 358 per judge as stated in Report 94-387. Also the judges spent an average of 112 days in trial plus 142 bench days per judge on other court proceedings. This far exceeds the recommended limit of 110 days bench

time per judge. All in all, there can be no question that the southern district of Florida has made an efficient use of exist-

11.6

Plorida has made an efficient use of existing judges, supporting personnel, and procedural devices.

Just as startling are those figures which indicate that the situation is becoming increasingly worse. During fiscal year 1975 there were 2,094 cases filed in the southern district of Plorida. Of these 2,853 were civil cases and 841 were criminal cases. This total breaks down to 828. nai cases. This total breaks down to 828 cases filed per judgeship of which 408 were civil cases and 120 were criminal filings. The court's civil filings for fiscal year 1975 increased by \$22 cases—41 peryear 1975 increased by \$22 cases—41 per-cent—over the filings for 1974—2.853 versus 2.031. Between fiscal year 1974 and versus 2,031. Between fiscal year 1974 and 1975 the overall caseload per judgeship increased by 35 percent from 395 to 525. These figures represent the second largest increase of any district in the country. Even more disturbing are the figures for the first 6 months of fiscal year 1975. During this period, the civil case filings have increased by an additional 92 personal the an additional 92 personal the second personal terms. During this period, the civil case filings have increased by an additional 92 percent over the corresponding period in 1975—2,336 versus 1,218. The criminal cases filed during this same period have increased 21 percent over the first 8 months of fiscal year 1975

From the standpoint of each criterie established by Report 94-387 the needs of the southern district of Plorida require that the additional judgeships requested in this legislation be granted.

in this regulation be granted.

The urgency of this particular legislation is further underscored by the projected increase anticipated for the 1978— 80 quadrennial. Using the average per-centage increase for the years 1970-78centage increase for the years 1970-78— 14½ percent per year—as a basis, it can be projected that the number of civil and be projected that the number of civil and criminal case filed in the southern dis-trict of Florida will increase from 4.755 in fiscal year 1976 to 9.172 in fiscal year 1980. When translated in caseload per indeeshim the number increases from 679 1990. When translated in caseload per judgeship, the number increases from 679 cases per judge in fiscal year 1976 to 1.187 in fiscal year 1970 to 1.187 in fiscal year 1980. It should be remembered that 400 cases is the recommended limit. And on the basis of this recommendation, it follows that the southern district of Florida already needs 12 fudseships and will require 20 in fiscal judgeships and will require 20 in fiscal year 1980 if the projections are correct.

Attention must also be paid to the re-port of the Director of the Administra-tive Office to the Judicial Conference of the United States. In this report the Di-rector revealed case filings in the various district courte across the Nation, along with the number of active judges sitting in each court and the percentage of increase in civil fillings in each district during the first 6 months of fiscal year 1975. The following figures are taken from the Director's report:

Percent Author-First half increase in lack fiscal year over facul judge-1976 year 1975 ships Court N.Y. Smith New York) (Chicago) Penn. Enst (Philadelphia) . 2.212 32.2 13 1, 862 10.3

2, 336

计简单 建氯苯

5.91.0

(Miami)

States to order emergency deliveries and transportation of natural gas to deal with existing or imminent shortages by - providing assistance in meeting require ats for high-priority uses; to provide authority for short-term emergency purchases of natural gas; and for other pur-poses," agrees to a conference requested by the House on the disagreeing votes of the two House thereon, and appoints Mr. STRYKYSON, Mr. HOLLINGS, Mr. JOHNston, Mr. Pranson, and Mr. Strevens to be the conferess on the part of the Sen-

n-The message also announced that on Pebruary 2, 1977, the Senate agreed to the report of the committee of confer-The mess ence on the disagreeing votes of the two House on the amendment of the House to the bill (S. 474) entitled "An act to authorize the President of the States to order emergency deliveries and transportation of natural gas to deal with existing or imminent shortages by pro-viding assistance in meeting requirements for high-priority uses; to provide authority for short-term emergency purauthority for more term emergency per chases of natural pas, and for other pur-poses.

OMr. MURTHA saked and was given permission to address the House for I minute and to revise and extend his re-

marks.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker, an extremely important development occurred carty this morning concerning the Ma-tion's energy crisis.

. A key to Government planning has in-A lay to Government planning has in-volved estimating how much more winter weather the Nation could expect. The most definitive prediction yet made oc-curred this morning at 7:27 when Punz-sotawney Phil emerged from his burrow and saw his shadow, insuring 8 more weeks of winter.

withwarey Phil more firmly than any U.S... Weather Service forecast. I hope my colleagues and Dr. Schlesinger will take note of this new fact as we shape emergency energy legislation.

For the Rucous, Mr. Speaker, I wish to read the official proclamation from Pummutawney, Pa., on the events this

Punnentawney, Pa., on the events this morning, and the prediction of Punnentawney Phil.

Even ye, hear ye, to all initiated followers assembled here on Gobbler's Nob, and to all believers around the world, I. Charles M. Erhard, Jr., Preddent of the Punnentawney Groundhog Glub, hereby proclam that his Imperial Majesty, Eing Philip, emerged from his mow covered burrow at 7:77 this morning.

Enviring the blast of Arctic winds only long ymough to cast a long dark shadow, the Eing of all weather propnosticators quickly reced hack into the warmth of his official residence, so there will be six more weeks of winter, but the time will go feet. That is the official residence [looky from the weather capital of the world. the time was to weather capital or now flooding from the weather capital or now flooding floo Litoday from the weather capital of the world.

THE 1916 WOMAN OF ACHIEVEMENT (Mr. PRICE asked and was given per— Mr. PRICE asked and was given per-mission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks) remarks)

Mr. PRICE Mr. Speaker, one of the truly outstanding civic leaders in my con-

gressional district, Dr. Lillian A. Parka, has been chusen by the St. Louis Globe-Democrat as a winner of a 1976 Woman

This is an honor richly deserved by Dr. Parks, who is administrator in charge of secondary curriculum in School District 189.

Lillian Parks has been an educator for nearly 25 years, having taught on every level from preschool through

The list of her civic accomplishments reflects her sincere commitment to her community in diverse social, educational, and charitable endeavors. The addition of a Woman of Achievement Award could have gone to no more deserving person than Lillian Parks. I am indeed proud to know that such outstanding individuals are at work in my district.

IN DEPENSE OF PRESIDENT CAR-TERS NOMINATION OF PAUL WARNER.

Our. DOWNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for a minute and to revise and extend his

Mr. DOWNEY, Mr. Speaker, I be intended to speak on the President's nomination of Mr. Warnks for the direc-torship of the Arms Control and Disteramp or the Arms control and Dis-armament Agency, but I feel compelled, since my colleague, the gentleman from New York (Mr. Stratros), made some disparaging remarks concerning that gentleman, to stand up here and say that gentleman, to stand up near and in an I think the President's decision is an

axcellent one. arms control in this country has not been as public as it should be, and it is my hope that with Mr. Warnke at the directorable of that Agency we will able to discuss meaningful questions limited nuclear war and arms control. I think he is an excellent choice, and I hope the Senate confirms him quickly.

32.79 COMMISSION ON ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW CAN DEFINE "EARNED INCOME"

to address the House for 1 min-

mission to address the House for I min-ute and to revise and extend his re-marks.)

Mr. OBEY, Mr. Speaker, as the Mem-bers know, one of the task forces of the Commission on Administrative Review has made recommendations involving congressional ethics. We are getting great number of questions asking exac what our interpretation is of the term, carned income.

I would suggest that any Member the House who has more than a passing interest in that subject and does indeed want to know what we are recommending call our Commission phone number. which is 5-3543, and get a definition of that term from our staff. I would suggest that the Members talk with either Dr Cooper, Mr. Kats, or Mr. Terry.

Mr. Speaker, I repeat again for the benefit of the Members, the phone num-ber in 8-3543. 3543.

THE NEW CARVER POPATO

February 2, 1977

(Mr. NICHOLS asked and was given permission to address the House for I minute and to revise and extend his

minute and to revise and extend marging remarks.)

Mr. NiCHOLS. Mr. Speaker, world demand for agricultural products continues to increase at a staggering rate. Improved farming techniques and agricultural research are helping the farmer to meet this rising demand and some of our most dramatic accomplishments are becaused the controller of the controller. ing achieved in our smaller colleges.

Pollowing the legacy of the famous acientist, George Washington Carver, the horticulture department at Tuskegee In-stitute, a predominantly black college in my district, has developed two new hybrids of the sweet potato which hold much promise for both improved yields improved quality than present

rieties. The two new sweet potato cultivari the Carreer and the Rolo Blanco are the first to ever be developed in Alabama; the first to be developed, tested on a regional basis, named and released under certification by Tuskegee Institute and-to my knowledge the first to be developed

solely by a black institution. The Rojo Blanco is a red skin, white flesh sweet potato, a favorite among the Spanish Americans. For some time there has been a marketable need for a new type red skin, white flesh sweet potato and the development of the Roje Blanco will fill this need.

The release of the Carver potato is even more exciting. Research indicates that this new potato has greater crop yields and is more resistant to disease and insects than the presently utilized Centennial or Jewel potato. With thes new improved qualities, acientists and some food experts predict that the Carrer will replace other types of sweet polatoes in America and around the world.

The development of the Carver and as Rolo Blanco underlines the outstanding work achieved at Tunkegee In-stitute. Under the direction of college president, Dr. L. H. Foster and with the Booker T. Whatley, this outstanding Alabama college is addressing the agricultural problems of Alabama, the United States, and the world. Their work and dedication is to be commended.

POINTING OUT DEPECTS IN RESO-LUTION TO REFSTABLISH SELECT: COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

HSCIT

(Mr. MICHEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his reneaker the latest

marks.)

Mr. MICHEL Mr. Speaker, the latest resolution seeking to reestablish the Select Committee on Assassinations, House Resolution 222 is just as bad, if not worse, than House Resolution 9.

In our appearance before the Rules ommittee we questioned the loosely Committee drawn, broad language dealing with leg-islative purpose and now I find the new resolution, rather than tightening up the language, actually adds language dealing with "disclorure and sharing of informa-. Z.Z.

Mr. Lueders' letter is indeed a graphic Mr. Lueders' letter is indeed a graphic depletion of the problem that many homeowners face in trying to obtain the necessary insurance. While Fair Plans may not be the brevail answer they are at the present the best solution that we have to offer, and because of that we must continue the operations of these facilitie

Mr. Speaker, I would hope that during the year extension of these programs the year extension of these programs that consideration be given to extending the coverage offered by the Fair Flans so that a wide range of insurance policies can be purchased through the pian, including the basic homeowners package and in areas where insurance underwriting is limited that the plan be allowed to offer automobile insurance.

offer automobile insurance

There is an aspect of the Fair Insur-ance Plan that bothers me and that is dumping situation. It is my belief that too many insurance companies rather than writing the insurance di-rectly, are forcing customers to the Fair Plan program in order to get a higher premium. In order to overcome this, I suggest that the Fair Plan's operation, and underwriting, be removed from the insurance companies and transferred to the Federal Government. I take a backseat to no one in the belief in the free enterprise system, but when the free enterprise system does not function properly then Government must step in to fill the need. And that is exactly what should happen with the Pair Plan. As the insurance companies do not want to write insurance directly, there should be no problem with the Government taking over the business since the Government will not be interfering in any area in which the insurance companies now

Clearly, there is a great deal of prece dent for the Pederal Government operatdent for the recernit Government operating the Pair Pian. The Government insures banks, savings and loans, credit unions, farmers, and even home mortgages. There is no reason why we cannot extend that coverage to individual homeowners.

And, or course, the Government is involved in writing crime insurance, a pro-gram which grew out of legislation that I authored. While there are only 30,000 crime insurance policies in force today, I still have a strong feeling that the program is vitally important to many busi-nesses and homeowners. We will never be nesses and homeowners. We will never he able to find out the number of crim insurance policies that were written be private industry solely to keep the customer away from the Government program. If the Government program has served no other purpose than to guarantee with the first alone makes the program. that fact alone makes the program essful

I have found through informal sam-pling, that most homeowners and small businessmen are not aware of the exbusinessmen are not sware as use wanteries of the Pederal crime insurance.
The biggest fault for this lack of knowledge mostly less with the insurance industry, because it is that industry that is the control of the first lack with selling the policies. These has been not effect on the next of the linn no effort on the urt of th neen no effort on the part of the in-shot companies to publicing this preI have offered a number of suggestions to HUD for encouraging sales of crime insurance. Since it has been felt that the insurance industry is not doing a good job of selling the policies as agents for the Federal Government market the insurance directly. There is no reason why policies cannot be sold through the Seath Business Administration, the Velerant Administration, the Velerant through Federal Housing Administration, und through Sederally insured lenders making jours mortgages. ome mortgages.
Almost monthly I am approached by

some company or someone with a plan to market the crime insurance more effectively. A number of these plans anticilivery. A number of tree plans smear-pate a firect mail marketing of the crime insurance. My response to all of these plans has been that I will support any plan that will make the insurance meen readily available to the public of the

readily available to the public. Some I would hope that during the system extension period which is being recommended, that the Department of Browing and Urban Development will come up with bold new concepts for marketing the crime insurance and as I have suggested earlier, perhaps even moving the Pair Plan setup into the direct marketing field with the Pederal Government becoming

the underwriter for both programs.

It was my understanding that one of the insurance groups that testified today took no position on the extension of the extension insurance. I think that position typically reflects the attitude of the insypically renous the attention of the mi-dustry toward this program. The insur-ance companies fought the program from its inception and has done nothing to promote the program. So it is guite Ma-ting that industry would not light her an extension of this much needed insurance program. In basic terms, Mr. Speak

am saying is—let us have an understand-ing with the insurance industry. Exivate ing with the insurance industry. Frivate industry can write the type of policy which it feels it is best suited to handle, and most of those policies unfortunately are insuring against flood damage in the desert. In those gass of soverages where the insurance (likestry does not wish to participate, then let the Federal Covernment stem in and do the tob.

ent step in and do the job.

Theurance is a necessity today and if we cut people off from insurance then we are greatly limiting the role of our citizens in our society.

HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS MEETS

The SPEAKER. Under a previous ar-der of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Gonzalas) is recognised for

Mr. GONZALEZ. Mr. Speaker, teday. the House Belect Committee on As nations hold its first meeting. I wish to provide for the Members of this House the text of my remarks:

British Discussion

confronted with a serious problem. It is, in fact, a problem that ge and compounds with each passing mean We must dearly understand this, and d with R without delay.

can have clear knowledge of what its children pay is. At that time, the only-ortisis hands that we had were amounts that quote he advanced under a continuing recoluble. If seef employees were to receive the advanced to the way was premised by him program. Committee conts for personnel, clean, would be exceeding our hotal funderly 80,000 per menth. Committee personnel have taken a 46 persons section in pay, simply so that everyone could have more thing. However, they had no choice in the market of the committee an House Administration would have imposed reductions, far, stanich se they can only pay out what is

white, Heaves, they had no choice in the maintain, Heaves, they had no choice in the maintain would have imposed reductions, inpatient, and would have imposed reductions, inpatient, and would have imposed reductions, inpatient, and they can only pay out what is detherized.

The Committee today has few funds to pay the payment of the p

"Gozantine Members will appreciate that no one can secure how smech money we will receive fire constitued operation We can, however, be sure that we have to pay our bills until we do know what our budget will be We have no choice but to live sychale our available funds.

our available funds of support the possibility, however remote it may be, of overing some of the shortfall by tering to arrange for permission to use any leftoner brinds from the lest Congress to apply against correst expenses. This would not, in my judgment, savur our shortfall, but if an willing apples every possible avenue.

If we have written to approach the Trans.

I am also willing to approach the It am also willing to approach the House Administration Committee to salt for a sup-plemental to sover our shortfull. In the event it is not possible to work out our problims in any other way. Bosever, there is a one-dition to this: we must make a good faith effort on our own park. We must be able to show that every aspesse is fully justified. We must be able to show that every person on that every significations of the control of the

desired in the bandoop, Raied on what it for the Bank, sty pigment is that the Bouse of the band, sty pigment is that the Bouse of the bandoop of the bandoo

any event act within the next day or two.

I know that some of my colleagues were slarmed by what they saw as my pracipitate action hast week. Let me say simply that when I see a ratituenake on the doorstey, I don't heattate; I stomp on it, and answer questions later. This may not always seem elegant, but it is explainable and understandable.

I am prepared to discuss this matter at this time.

this time.

I had no alternative but to remove Mr. Sprague. The diredmatances were such that I had to move at once, with practices little opportunity to leisurely inform Members of the action or reasons for H. I did everything within my power to inform you at once, and to spell out, in as sinch detail as possible, the reasons for my action.

Mr. Sprague are made to the back that he had the second for my action.

and to spall set, in as such detail as possible, the respons for my settion.

Mr. Sprague apparently felt that he had the ful authority of a Member of the Eouse, and the full authority normally exercised by the Chakman and the Committee. He explained his general penchant for acting the part of a Member—appearing before the Committee on Rules—by saying, on January II, (quote) "they wanted comeone to take the headership role.". Fine, I am glad to do it." Regardless of the Bert that supployees of the Committee or the the the headership role. ". Fine, I am glad to do it." Regardless of the Bert that supployees of the Committee our bestness here with Members of Chapmas our bearines, here with Members of Congress. If you know general apont a Member and committee—would make good an any pay cuts, by taking salaries some the Committee get a regular appropriation. He selected the spin of the Committee one the Committee get a regular appropriation. He selected the spin that he was in complete wheaps of the Committee. On Pahrabry 8, he would never see the facilities of the Rule:

"These Resp have been come Settings of transactions accounted the season that he was in complete wheaps of the Committee.

sold the Staff:
"These may have been some destings of measuratinty around this effice about tho case things—a kind of headless horse. But he heat is right here—do me, if mi leying set the kinds of command in this office." I say Thismbure if Congress' want the behauseholds with quit, they will do it have good to be the command of the congress of the

was maything other the

ONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOU make the fill Employees of the Committee wave not responsible to the continuous be also as the committee wave not responsible to the continuous but he alm and him alone.

Sprayee fill not wish for knyone of the Committee to know about this meeting. He continue to the staff. I found out about the meeting that evening, very less. The next day, Pahruary 9, another such perally occurred, but there are no records of its since Sprayee demanded that no records is since such a since a since the since a since the reasons were clear. At that next pop rally, he literally faired the Chairman to by and remove him. Let us the continuous since the continuous since the sin

stot have hesitated, Sprague had to be stopped, then and there, and I did what I had to do.

Aside from insubordination and a clear effort to usurp the power of the Committee and its Chairman, Mr. Sprague is guilty of failure to perform. I presented Mr. Sprague a series of requests in writing, with deadlines that he had to meet, in order for the Committee to be prepared to proceed about its business in an orderly manner. None of the Business in an orderly men to pay cute, which he claimed to be possible. You already know that he produced nothing except empty phrases, merely heaving it up to the Committee to obtain more money—how, he did not say.

Much more serious, however, is the fact that Mr. Sprague has placed the Committee to serious function of odd in the standing proval, led directly to the Spercent salary reduction imposed on the staff during the money of the Committee to meet any ocus, went the most minimal and sesential operating expenses.

Byen though I have taken strong action to reduce costs, including placing control equipment on telephones, there is no way to meet all expenses without further reductions in personnel costs.

meet all expenses without further reductions in personnel costs.

In part, however, the Committee's financial ille are traglable to a scandalous inflation of salarigs. Even though some employees of the Deignittee accepted pay cuts to join the spiff about two down amployees were placed on the payroll at sharles wattrage coding their hast previous temployment. Six of nine employees from the Weahington of my predocessor are on the Committee payroll. Most of these, 3 am sure, are well, qualified, hard-working persons, but con, at beat, received an astonishing 87 persons pay increase. That person previously worked at a salary of \$16,000, but was placed on the payroll by Mr. Sprague at a salary of \$80,000. Another employee previously earned \$14,000. Another employee previously earned \$14,000. Another employee previously and \$15,000. Doe security of \$15,000 to \$16,000. Doe security and \$15,000 to \$16,000. Doe security a surface therefore, \$10,000 to \$16,000. Doe security a \$1,000 to \$16,000 to \$16,

enterment in an percent increase—from \$20,20 to \$23,000.

It entents that there is no way fain Committee could accept . let alone detend. this
kind of salary inflature. There is no other
word for it except scandalous.

As I can Chairman of jable Committee, I
mannot defend it as long as this kind of
elightion certain Salaries of this kind and
an authorization of the Destablities, I line
salaries to the American people. I limit have
the authority to elect this situation up lanmediately: I said K, and I dimensil

we are unwilling to Monitrons dails and coal with 2 head-on, there is no house for this Committee, Need I my more?

It is plain—and I we decomment that I have said—that Mr. Byrague Intestilled to knock so sontrol from this Committee or Sin Chahrman. He will Handmark, descritrial and bresponshile in the conduct with the staff and soward the Committee. He advoacy of what amounts to a budgetary blank check could not be justified last winter, and, then the staff and soward the ve now know shout the salary future he was, perfectly willing and papy to foist upon he and you.

Mr. Byrague, nor in fact any other member of the staff was required by the former Chairman to ever file a financial disclosure statement, and when I requested he file such a statement, and when I requested he file such a statement, and when I requested he file such a statement, he replied that sertain procedures had to be honored first.

I am willing to 60 this, but I beliefs that any employee who claims to be acting in good faith—would be more than willing to file a financial disclosure report of his own free will—especially when that person occupies as sensitive a position as that of Mr. Sprague.

In connection with this, let me point out to my Colleagues—Bulle KI, Claims 8 (a) [3] [3] provides that "professional members of each standing Committee shall not engage in any work other than Committee business:" Mr. Sprague and man active law practice, with offices at '1823 Locuett law practice, with offices at '1823 Locuett law practice, with offices at '1823 Locuett law provide that "professional members of each standing Committee shall not engage in any work other than Committee husiness:" Mr. Sprague's in in clear violation of the requirement that we have no outside employment I checked as revently as precteday, and Mr. Sprague's office reported that he was not in, but would be available during the weekend. The New York Times reported hast Saturday morning that Mr. Sprague's office reported that he was not in, but would be available during the w

instant tolerate the kind of insubordination, issuipation and outright smalfeasance that it was my sorry lot to discover and be compelled to stop six days ago.

Jend as I began: there may be those who joundesed at my hast, but them one spies a rattlesmake on the doorstep, there is no firme to heattate I aid not bestute to art and in the same circumstances. I would not desirate again.

LEGISLATION TO ESTATE SHE SPAY-DOGS AND CATS

The SPEAKER, Under previous order of the House, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Sr Chraman) is rec-

emised for 5 minutes.
Mr. ST GERMAIN, Mr. Speaker, today. Mr. 57 GERMAIN, Mr. speaker, wony, February 16, 1977, I am introlleging leg-islation to provide Fuderal leans to en-able cities and counting throughout the United States with populations of at least 200,000 persons, to establish and ow-cost, monprofit elimics for

social security benefit claims at all levels of the determination and appeal process as follows: Initial claims, 90 days; re-consideration requests, 90 days; hearing requests, 120 days; and Appeals Coun-cil reviews, 120 days. The act would also give claimants the right to request and give cinimality the right to request and to receive emergency payments within 10 days, based on their earnings records, if they have not received notification of decisions on their claims within the specified periods of time, or if their claims have born approved but their benefit narments deleved. benefit payments delayed.

M.M. \$151 A bull to amend title II of the Social Security bill to amend title II of the Social securacy act to require that procedures be estab-lished for the aspectived replacement of undelivered benefit chairs, to require that decisions on benefit chairs be made within specified periods and to require that seg-ment of benefits on approved claims begin

Be it exacted by the Schale and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this act may be cited as the "Social Security Rights Act."

EXPERIENCE LEPLECEMENT OF LOST, ST UNDELIVERED RESISTE CHICAS

WHELLIVELED EXERCIT CHIEFS

Bac. 2. (a) Section 205 of the Social Security Act is amended by safding at the end
thereof the following new subsection:
"Expedited Replacement of Lock, Stehen, or

Undelivered Beneft Cheeks

"(r) In any case in which the check issued
to or for an individual in payment of any
benefit does him or her under this title is
lest or stolen or for any other reason has
not reached him or her on the day regularly
fixed for delivery of such check or within five
days thereafter, such individual may submit to the Secretary. "Item request (in
such manner and for "" is Secretary shall
prescribe in regulations " the instance of
a new check in replacement of tierror; and the
Secretary shall take such at "" as may be
Recessary to mature that "... In a more
than ten days after sur" "quest is submitted, either (!) the replacement check?
requested or (2) a full and complets e
planation of the reasons why the individual 's
is not entitled to the check for which ! or
the has requested a replacement that.

Turnshed to the individual is writing." Undelivered Benefit Cheeks

by adding at the end thereof the following more paragraph:

"(0) Nothing in this subsection shall require any hodrivinal to within the prosedures established under paragraph (1) with respect to any allegation or claim, in New of the procedures established under subsection (r), if the allegation or dains is one to which subsection (r) is applicable."

TIME LIMITATIONS FOR PERSONNE ON EXHIPTE CLAIMS AND POS PATWENT OF MUTERITE OR APPROVED CLAIMS

SET. S. Section 206(b) of the Social Security Act is amended—

Act is amended—
by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the fol-lowing new paragraphs: "(2) (A) Subject to subparagraph (B)

"(1) the decision of the florrestary as he the rights of any individual initially applying for a payment under this title shall be made within ninety days after application for such payment is flind; "(1) the decision of the Secretary on a reconsideration of any decision described in

with payment is flind;

"(11) the decision of the Secretary on reconsideration of any decision described clause (1) shall be made within misely deather application for such resconderable field;

"(iii) the decision of the Secretary upon any hearing held with respect to any deci-

sion described in clause (i), whether affirming modifying, or reversing such decision, shall be made within one hundred and twenty days after the request for such hearing is first; and

"(ii) the decision of the Secretary upon any Appeals Council review held with respect to any decision described in clause (i), whether affirming modifying, or reversing such decision, shall be made within one hundred and twenty days after the request for such a review is find or after the Appeals Council Heart makes a decision to reversity and the reversion of the security of the right of an individual to any monthly benefit under this title is not made within the right of an individual to any monthly benefit under the wife in his applicable clause of subparagraph (A), such individual shall upon request (soid within the days of making such request) be paid an amount equal to such monthly benefit as determined ordery on the basis of such individual's application and the applicable record of wages and early employment theome; and if such decision month beginning after the close of such period, such individual shall upon request (and within ten days of making each request) be paid an additional shall upon request (and within ten days of making each request) be paid an additional smooth equal to such benefit as to determined

"(C) Amounts paid to an individual under subparagraph (B) shall in no event be executed to the applicable makes this title is aspectated.

subparagraph (a) show the purposes of section sidered overpayments for purposes of section 2004.

(3) Whenever an individual claim to monthly benefits under the title is again, but not of such benefits shall begin as hele than the day requisity fined for delivery of borefit checks in the second month individual on month the month to be received by such individual on such day or within the receiveding five days themselver, such individual shall upon request (and within ten days of making such request the parameter open to the immediate or and individual shall upon request (and within ten days of making such request) be paid an expire of the immediate the first in on received by such undividual on the day regularly fined for delivery of checks in only requested manufactured within the days represent manufactured within the days of making continuities to days of making continuities.

[Market 1988] -

namente made by section ... 2. The amendments made by section shall apply with respect to checks issued:

"payment of amounts the for mouths after an mouth in which thin Act is succious. The amounts made by section 3 shall apply with respect to applications (for payment or reconsideration) and requests (for heavings or appeals Douncil Berliev) made, and claims approved, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act. 2. 4. The san

OMr. KOCH asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Raccas and to include extransous matter.)

Dar. KOCH's remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.

(Mr. KOCH saked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Bassus and to include extraneous matter.)

[Mr.: KOCH's remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

CMr. KOCH asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Recoss and to include extraneous matter.)

(Mr. EOCH's remarks will appear symptom in the Extensions of Remarks.)

BULES OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS AS ADOPT-ED MARCH 7, 1977

(Mr. STORES saked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Raccan and to include autraneous matter.)

Mr. STORES: Mr. Speaker, I submit the following rules adopted by the Beleri Cumpittee or Americantoms:

SULE 1 -- GENERAL PROVINCING SULE In-GERMAL PROTESTAND.

1. The Bules of the House, and is particular the Committee rules characted in Clause 2 of Etale 27, are the rules of the Select Committee on Assessmentons, to the entert applicable, and by this reference are incorporated. Any rulesomentitee shall be part of the committee, and explicate the laberaty of the committee of the seministic and the rules of the rules and the rules of the committee and to be rules as for an applicable.

21.1 The regular mostless time of the furnishing and the regular mostless time of the furnishing time of the furnishing and the regular mostless time and the regular mostless time and the regular mostless time of the furnishing and the regular mostless time and the regular mostless t

271. The regular meeting time of the /ism-mittee in 10:00 a.m. on every Menday, Tuns-day and Thussday of each menth when the House is to meeter. Additional meetings may be called by the Chairman as he may deem necessary or at the request of a majority of the Committee in secondance with Chams 8(c) of Rule XI of the House of Representa-tions.

the (DERIMINE). In somewhere the presenta-site of Rube XI of the Heums of Representa-lives.

2.3 The Committee and any enhancement-tee thereast may not six, without special leave, while the Houses in reading a measure for somewhereast under the five-minute rain.

2.3 In amountance with Clause 34(2) of Rube XI, each mosting of the Committee or any enhancementites thereon; chall be open to the public energy them the Committee or referencementities, the spin session and with a majority present determines by roll each vote their all or part of the remainder of the meat-ring shall be closed to the public: Presided Houseser, these so persons other them Mea-hers of the committee, sink engressioned visit or the committee, the compression of any meating which has been then be present 2.4 Heart system. A sell out wise of the

chalf so they may nether m, thall be present of any meeting which has been closed to the public.

All Record outer, A roll call cole of the Connection may be bed out the request of see blember. The resent of each sell call who made creatable for meeting and cole blember. The resent of each sell call to meet shall be more than to record and the meeting deal to meet a season of the season of the public at reserved shall include a description of the assemblement, more or other proposition; the memor of cole, himselve verting for or against, and whether by proxy or in person, and the hiembers present but not worker.

2.6 Quorum, One-third of the committee shall constitute a quorum for the purposes of branching beautones when he reporting feetimenty and receiving ovidence by the constitute of a substantial standard of over Members.

2.6 Records, it shall be the duty of the body a verbation branching as each attention to the constitute in the report of votes on any scales on which a reservit work in all metalous, points of order, performantary frequests, including the reservity of the states of the season them have present and each meeting.

2.7 Province, A vote by any himsher in the countert present and again the securities or in one substantial date.

These blembers present at each meeting, and the working for our structure meeting the teach proving which seasons and don't be secured the proving which seasons and don't be structure for a special measure or matter and any amendments or motions pertaining any amendments or motions pertaining any amendments or motions pertaining any amendments or motions pertaining

Same of the second

thereto; snoot that a kiember may sutherise a general proof only for motions to recess,
adjourn or other procedural matters. Each
proxy to be effective shall be signed by the
kember sanigning his vote and shall notation
the date and time of day that the proxy is
signed Froxies may not be counted for a
quorum. The Member does not have to appear in person to present the proxy.

28 Notice of Meetings. The Chairman, is
the case of hearings to be conducted by the
committee, and the appropriate subcommittee chairman, in the case of hearings to be
conducted by a subcommittee, shall make
public announcement of the date, piece, and
subject matter of any hearing to be conducted on any measure or matter at least i
week before the commencement of that hearing uniess the committee determines that
there is good cause to begin such hearing
at an earlier date. In the latter event the
chairman or the subcommittee chairman
whichever the case may be shall make such
public announcement at the earlier possible date. The Clerk of the committee shall
promptly notify the Daity Digest Clerk of
the Congressional Record as soon as possible after such public announcement is made.

2012 3—REARING PROCEDURS

2.1 Perticipation of Members. All Other

- prompuy notify the Daity Digost Clerk of the Congressional Record as soon as possible after such public announcement is made.

 BULE 3—HEARING PROCESSIONS

 5.1 Participation of Members. All other Members of the committee may have the privilege of sitting with any subcommittee during its hearing or deliberations and may participate in such hearings or deliberations and may participate in such hearings or deliberations. But no such Member who is not a Member of the subcommittee that rote on any matter before such subcommittee.

 3.2 Interrogation of Witnesses. Committee Members may question witnesses only when they have been recognized by the Chairman for that purpose, and only for a 5-minute period until all Members present have had an opportunity to question a witness by any one Member can be estended only with the unanimous consent of all Members present. The questioning of witness in both full and subcommittee hearing shall be initiated by the Chairman, followed by the ranking minority party Member and all other Members alternating between the majority and minority. In recognizing Members to question witnesses, in this Isabion, the Thairman shall take into consideration the recommittee the disadvantage the Members of the imajority The Chairman may accomplish this by recognizing for the purpose of questioning a witness for a period to be determined by the Chairman or any Members may recognize staff course! for the purpose of questioning a witness for a period to be determined by the Chairman uses and the determined by the Chairman uses and the processing additional rules shall apply to all investigates.

 - Chairman.

 -8.3 Additional Rules. The following additional rules shall apply so all investigative hearing procedures:

 (1) The Chairman at an investigative hearing shall announce in the opening statement the subject of the investigation.

 (2) A copy of the committee rules and this clause shall be made available to each witness.

 - clause shall be these winterstandings.

 (3) Witnesses at investigative hearings may be accompanied by their own counsel for the purpose of advising them concerning their constitutional rights.

 (4) The Chairman may punish breaches of order and decorum, and of professional ethics on the part of counsel, by consure and exclusion from the hearings; and the committee may gite the effender to the Education for contempt.
 - for contempt.

 (5) If the committee determines that evidence or testimony at an investigative hearing may tend to defease, degrade, or incriminate any person, it shall—

 (a) receive such evidence or testimony in

(b) afford such person an opportunity ro-untarity to appear as a witness; and (a) receive and dispote of requests from such person to subpens additional witnesses. (8) Except as provided in subparagraph (8), the Chairman shall receive and the committee shall dispose of requests to sub-pens additional witnesses. (7) No evidence or testimony taken in an sculing session may be released or used in public sessions without the consent of the Committee.

(8) In the discretion of the Committee,
(8) In the discretion of the Committee,
witnesse may submit brief and pertinent
sworn statements in writing for inclusion in
the record. The Committee is the sate judge
of the pertinency of testimony and evidence
adduced at its hearing.
(9) A witness may obtain a transcript copy
of his testimony given at a public session
or. If given at an executive session, when
suthorised by the Committee.

3.4 Witness' counsel may submit written
questions to be propounded to his or her
client. Such questions will be asked by the
Committee if a majority of the members
consent.

consent.

3.5 Any objection by witness' counsel must be specifically ruled upon by the chairman or presiding member and such ruling shall be the ruling of the Committee, unless a disagreement thereon is expressed by a majority of the Committee present. In the case of a tie, the rule of the chair will prevail.

of a its, the rule of the Chair will prevail.

8.8 The Chairman of the Committee or subcommittee shall offer each witness and/or counsel, at the conclusion of his or her testimony, the opportunity to explain or amplify that testimony. The time afforded each witness or counsel shall not exceed 8 minutes, unless astended for good cause by the Chairman. The Committee or subcommittee the subcommittee or subcommittee and subcommittee as a the conclusion of any such explanation or amplification.

emplification.

3.7 If a witness who desires counset, but is financially or otherwise unable to accure counsel, informs the Committee in writing at least 48 hours in advance of his or her inability to retain counsel, the Committee will secure soluntary independent counsel for the witness. Buch attempts by the Committee to secure counsel will not include the payment of fees to an attempt appreciating a witness at a Committee hearing. Failure of a witness to agree to the counsel provided will not accure the witness from appearing and testifying.

3.8 A witness shall, upon request, be given

will not excuss the witness from appearing and testifying.

2.8 A witness shall, upon request, be given an opportunity to inspect the preliminary transcript of his or her testimony to determine whether it was correctly transcribed and may be accompanied by his or her counsel during such inspection. If the testimony was given in executive seasion, the witness may only inspect the preliminary transcript at a location to be detarmined by the Committee. Any corrections in the transcription of the testimony of the witness which the witness desires to Committee within 3 days after the transcription of his or her testimony. However, changes shall only be made for the purpose of changes shall only be made for the purpose of making minor grammatical corrections and editing, and not for the purpose of changing the substance of the testimony. Any questions arising with respect to such editing shall be decided by the Chairman.

The Committee will furnish the witness.

The Committee will furnish the witness copy of the transcript of his or her testi-copy when it is made public, at no expense.

EVLE 4-STATEMENTS UNDER CATH BY DESIGNATED COUNSEL

4.1 Pursuant to Rouse Resolution 222.
Comment on the committee staff may be designated to obtain eletements from a stipees who is placed under eath by an authority who is authorised to administer oaths in

Some MIGICA 19, 1977

accordance with the applicable laws of the United States or of any State.
4.9 Subponess may not be utilized to obtain such statements. Counced sout advised to person that state statements are voluntary. The person giving a statement shall be provided a copy of that statement by dealgnated council such statements are not to be considered testimotry before the committee or its staff in pursuing investigative leads and as a beats for questioning witnesses before the committee, and may be incorporated as part of the record only when (a) the person is dead or (b) the committee determines that in the interest of justice and contonsy, with due regard to the importance of presenting oral testimony, the statement should be so

used.

4.3 Witnesses giving statements under oath to designated counsel must be advised by counsel that they have the right to have their own counsel white giving such state-

- BILLE—GUNERNAS

 5.1 Procedure. Subpensa shall be issued
 only in accordance with the procedure specified in Clause S[m1/2](A) of Rule XI of
 the Rules of the Boune of Representatives
 Each subpens shall be served with a copy
 of R Res. 222. 85th Congress, first essence,
 and a copy of the Rules of this Committee
 which are in effect at the time of issuance
 of the subpens. of the subpens.

of the subpens.

5.3 Power to Sit and Art: Subpens Power.

(a) For the purpose of carrying out any of its functions and duties under House Essolution 222 the Committee or subpommittee is authorized (subject to subparagraph (b) (1) of this paragraph)—

(1) to sit and act at such times and places within the United States, including any Commonwealth or possession thereof, or in any other country, whether the House is in seasion, has recessed, or had adjourned, and to hold such hearings, and (2) to require, by subpens or otherwise, the strendance and testimony of such witnesses and the production of such books, records, correspondence, meanwands, papers, Govments, tangible objects, and other things of any kind, as it desem necessary. The Chairman of the Committee or subcommittee, or any Member designated by the Chairman, may administer oaths to any wissess.

(b) (1) A subpens may be authorized and issued by the Committee or subcommittee under subparagraph (a) (3) in the conductions or activities, only when authorized by a majority of the Members voting, a majority of the Committee or subcommittee purparagraph (a) (3) may be delegated to the Chairman of the Committee or subcommittee purparagraph (a) (2) may be a committee or subcommittee purparagraph (b) Committee or any Member designated by the Committee or any Member design

(c) Compliance with any subpens issued by the committee under subparagraph (2) may be enforced only as authorized of directed by the House.

5.5 Except as otherwise specifically au-fhorized by the Committee, no Member of the Committee or staff shall make public the name of any witness subpensed by the

Committee.

5.4 Witnesses shall be subpensed at a reasonably sufficient time in advance of any bearing in order to give the witness an opportunity to prepare for the hearing, amploy counsel should (a) he so desire, and/or produce the materials called for by a subpens ducus forw. The Committee dank determine, in each particular instance what period of time constitutes reasonable notice, however.

in no case shall it be less than 24 : less the witness weives such motio

HULE O-GROADCONTING OF CONSERVE MEAN-HUR AND MINISTERS AND GENERAL PERSO POLICY OF THE GOMMITTEE

PULL 8——SEADMONTHME AND CONTRACT SEADMOND AND MARTTHME AND CONTRACT SEADMOND AND CONTRACT SEADMOND AND COMMITTEE

6.1 Upon approval by the Committee, all Committee and schools which are open to the public may be sorted, in whole, or in part, by belvision breadmont, radio broadcast, and stil photograph, or by any such methods of coverage.

6.2 The Chairman of the full Committee or the Chairman of the full Committee or the Chairman of the subcommittees are authorized to determine an behalf of the full Committee or its subcommittee or the granted only under the following conditions:

(1) Live coverage by make or anteristic of the will not approximate the committee or his subposma by the committee shall be required against his or her will no be photographed at any hearing or to give articence or testimony while the broadcasting of these bearing, by radio or television, is being seastheted. At the required of any witness whe does not wish to be subjected to radio, television, or still photography noverage, all lenses shall recovered and all microphenes used for coverage turned off.

(3) Not more than four television cameras, operating from fixed portions, shall be permitted in the room, the discussion cameras in the room shall be in so-fordance with hir and equitable procedure of the Radio and Television correspondents: (4) Television cameras shall be placed so an not to obstruct in any way the space be-

ne devised by the Executive Committee of the Radio and Television Currespondents' Galleries.

(4) Television cameras shall be piaced so as not to obstruct in any way the space between any witness giving evidence or testimony and any Member of the Committee or the visibility of that witness and that Member to each other.

(5) Television cameras shall not be placed in positions which obstruct unnecessarily the coverage of the hearing or meeting by the other media.

(6) Television and radio media equipment shall not be installed in, or sushowed from their necks.

(7) Floodlights, spotlights, strebsights, and flashguns shall not be used, scrept that the television media may install additional lighting in the room without cost to the government, in order to raise the sushent lighting level to the lowest level mocessary to provide adequate television coverage at the then current state of the srt.

(8) Not more than fire press photographers shall be premitted to cover a hearing or meeting by still photographay. In the selection of these photographers, from Janutrutional Newspictures. If request is made by more than five of the media for coverage, that coverage shall be made on the basis of a fair and equitable poid earrangement devised by the Standing Cosmettee of Free Photographers.

vised by the Standing Commission.

Photographers.
(9) Photographers shall not position themselves, at any time during the course of the hearing or meeting, between the writtens table and the Siembers of the Committee.
(10) Photographers shall not place themselves in positions which observed tumescenarily the coverage by the other smalls.

(11) Television and radio meetin personnel shall be then currently socredited to the Radio and Tulevision Correspondents' Gal-

į

adio and Television Corresp

(12) Still photography personnel shall be then currently accredited to the Press Pho-tographers Callery.

(18) Personnel providing coverage by the Selevision and radio media and by sam photography shall conducts themselves and their coverage activities in an orderly and unobtrustee manner.

unobtrustre meaner.

4.6 The staf of the Committee shall not discuss with anyone reside the staff either the substance or procedure of their work or that of the Committee unless specifically authorized by the Chairman or the Subsessmittee Chairman.

RULE 9-PHYMY IDATIVE STREET, THE

sutheries of the Committee unless specifically sutheries of the Chairman or the Subsess-militor Chairman.

SULE 1--EPEVERTICATIVE STREET.

7.1 Feps Recordings. He contrastation of Committee Members of staff with any persons shall be recorded without the prior Recording and are settless sensent of the person whose conversation is the prior Recorded party speak of the person whose conversation is to the recorded party.

7.3 (a) Pollpyreph. He person to the security speak of the test be made or given without the specific, written authorization of the person excepted, written authorization of a majority of the Omeration authorization of a majority of the Committee or authorization of a majority being present, five such test many be used as based for a finding of fact, or be referred to in any public report or public bearing, the such as the person tested, who is not a Member of the Committee or staff amployee authorized by the Chairman. Nor shall the financy or agreement to table a polygraph test form the basis of any Recland of fact, or be referred to in any public report or public bearing, or be otherwise publicly disclosed.

(b) Voice Analysis. No recording made with the permission of the everyold party pursuant to Rule 7.1 shall be subject by machanical voice sondysis without a switten concent from the recorded party pursuant to Rule 7.1 shall be subject by machanical voice sondysis without a switten concent from the recorded party specifically sutherrising such analysis. He such tests any bear and consent chair of any much test shall not be disclosed to any person, other than the person tested, who is not a Member of the Committend of the fall of any such test shall not be disclosed.

7.5 Free to the vestite of any public report or person the fall form the heat of any finding of fact, or be referred to in any public report and co

SOLE 6-TH

And the second second

8.1 Transi of Member and Sidy.

(a) Constitute with the primary expense resolution and such additional expense resolutions as may have been approved, the provisions of this rais mind papers, travel of Committee Members and staff. Travel to be reimbursed for any Member or any staff Member shall be paid only upon the prior experiments of the Chairman for any staff the paid only upon the prior expension of the Chairman for any identity of the Chairman for any identity and any staff Member in connection with

the attendance of hearings conducted by the Committee of any subnamematizes thereof and meetings, continuations, and investigations which involve notivities or employ makes which involve notivities or employ makes under the general puradiction of the Committee. Butters such authorization is given that the following in the continuation is given writing the following in the travel;

(1) the purpose of the travel;

(2) the following which the wavet to be made and the date or date of the ovent for which the street the state of the ovent for which the travel to be inside; and (4) the means of the ovent for which the gravity is to be inside; and (4) the means of Members and staff self-ing subjectable.

(b)(1) In the case of travel outside the Committee or of a subcommittee for the purpose of conducting hearings, investigation, studies, or attending meetings and economistics or perfective subject makes and excellent the subject of the Committee or perfects subject makes or makes the obtained from the Chalmuna. Refere such aethorization from the Chalmuna. Refere such aethorization is given, there that allows for a reasonable period of these for review before such travel is achedicate to height, shall be filled in a manner that allows for a reasonable period of the purpose of the travel;

(2) the dates during which the fravel will occur;

(C) the manners of the countries to be withined on the invented of the south tree to be withined on the invented of these for the second.

(D) the same of the countries to be will occur:
(C) the same of the countries to be spent,
visited and the length of time to be spent,

visited and the Region to same or anythin seed;

(D) an agenda of anticipated at far each country for which travet is a least topical to the accomplished purpose to be served and the any matter jurisdiction involved; and staff of the manus of Membe.

(E) the manus of Means, and state whom sufferentiate is outside the United (7) Requests for steed by the Chairman state is better and personal chall be seened as the Committee state in the Committee state i

and shall be seminated in Committee of the Committee of t ach travel

of such travel.

(c) Members and staff of the Orannities performing authorized travel on official business shall be governed by applicable have, resolutions, or regulations of the House and of the Salvet Committee on Assessmations perfecting to such travel.

PROCESSION, RESULATIONS AND SULM 2012 6-PROCESSOR, REVILATIONS AND SULES.

2. The Basks, Regulations, and Presenters of the Batest Commentum on Assessment between the Batest Commentum on Assessment or repealed unity by a majerity vote of the commentum, a majerity of the townside present, previded that give written maintee to the prepared change has been gravited each Marnher of the committee not been than 38 hours before those Changes are to be discussed by the occamittee.

NATIONAL PROPERTY OF MARKETS ASSAULT MATERIAL

HATKIN'S INSULET MATERIAL

JOIN Before the extensivement of any
preservation at which testimenty will be
heard or papers and things considered, each
Committee blomber will be fermished with a
list of all papers and things that have been
obtained by the Committee by subposse or
exherence, the Member shall make the list or
any part thereof public unless arthorized by
a majority vote of the committee, a quorum
being present.

10.2 The chief counsel, after discussion

with the chairmen and the ranking minority Member, shall initially recommend to the Committee the testimony, papers, and things to be presented to the Committee. The determination as to whether such testimony, papers, and things shall be presented in open or exceptive session shall be made pursuant to the rules of the House and of this Committee.

open or executive session shall be made open or executive of the House and of this Committee.

10.3 Before the Committee is called upon to make any disposition with respect to the testimony or papers and things pressured it. the Committee Members shall have a rescensible opportunity to estandine all testimony, papers, and things that have been obtained by the inquiry staff. No Member shall make any of that testimony or those papers or things public unless sutherised by a majority vote of the Committee, a quorum being present.

10.4. All examination of papers and things other than in a presentation shall be made in a source area designated for the surpose. Copying, duplicating, or removal of classified or other material deemed sensitive by the Committee is problited except where authorised by a Member.

10.5 Any Committee Member may bring

tive by the Committee is prohibited except where authorised by a Member.

10.5 Any Committee Member may bring additional testimony, papers, or things to the Committee's attention.

10.8 Only testimony, papers, or things that are included in the proord will be reported to the House; all other testimony, papers, or things will be considered as executive session material.

ntive sension material.

19.7 The Comedities or its subcommittees
will at all times undeavor to obtain access
to full, complete and unedited material
considered relevant for its investigations and
now held by any lecal, state or federal criminal justice or intelligence agency.

Any agreements regarding Committee or
subcommittee access to agency files made
between the Committee and the appropriate
local, state or federal agency will be made
by majority vote of the full Committee.

Bule 11—Rules for the Amagentations

Bule 11—Rules for the Amandostions Inquiry Staff

In addition to rules of conduct for staff contained in other rules of this Committee and the House, the following rules shall

contained in other rules of this Committee and the House, the following rules shall apply.

11.1 Staff officers of the Committee shall operate under strict security presentions. One guard shall be not duty at all times to control entry. All persons entering the Committee area shall identify themselves.

11.2 Classified or other material the Committee deems sensitive shall be segregated in a secure storage area. They may be examined only at supervised reading facilities within the secure area. Copying of duplicating of such documents and other things is prohibited except upon the authorization of a Committee Member, 11.3 Access to classified information supplied to the committee shall be limited by the Committee staff member with appropriate security clearances and a need to know and to a designated personal staff member of each Committee shall be shall member of each committee shall be supprepriate security clearances and a need to know and to a designated personal staff member also having the appropriate security clearances and a need to know.

11.4 Testimony taken or papers and things received by the staff shall not be dis-tioned or made public by the staff unless au-thorized by a majority of the Committee.

thorized by a majority of the Committee.

1).5 Executive session transcripts and records shall be available to designated staff for inspection in person but may not be released or disclosed to any other person without the consent of a majority of the Com-

mittee

11.6 The professional and clerical staff of
the Committee shall be appointed and their
remuneration determined in stuch manner
as the Committee shall determine by a majority vote, a majority of the Committee

being present, within the budget approved for such purposes by the Committee.

The professional and derical staff of the Committee:

(A) shall be appointed without regard to race, creed, eac, or age, and existy on the basis of fitness to perform the duties of their respective positions;

(B) shall not be assigned any duties other than those pertaining to Committee business.

The Committee may terminate the services of any professional or disrical staff member by a majority vote, a majority of the Committee being present.

BULS 18--GURCOMMITTERS BUES 18—GUECOMMITTEES

13.1 Each subcommittee is enthorised to
exercise all powers conferred on subcommittees by the fiscolution establishing the fisient
formatives on Assessinations, including but
not insited to the authority to meet, hold
hearings, authories subpress, recorve evidence, and report to the full Committee on
all matters referred to it or under its jurisdiction. Bulboommittee Chairman shall set
dates for hearings and meetings of their respective subcommittees after consultation
with the Chairman and other subcommittee
Otherman with a view toward avoiding simul-Chairman with a view toward avoiding simultaneous scheduling of full Committee and subcommittee meetings or hearings when-

subcommittee meetings or hearings when-ever possible.

13.3 There shall be the following standing subcommittees of the Select Committee on Assassinations:

(a) Subcommittee on the Assassination of John F. Ennady: and

(b) Subcommittee on the Assassination of

tin Luther King, Jr.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. Struce, for March 16 and 17, on count of official business.

Mr. Kercrum (at the request of Mr. RECOED), for today and the balance of the week, on account of official business. Mr. BOLAND, for the balance of the day and March 17, on account of official

Mr. Jones of North Carolina (at the request of Mr. Waicht), for today, on account of illness.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to ddress the House, following the legis-

hattre program and any special orders beretofore entered, was granted to: '(The following Members (at the re-quest of Mr. Lazar) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous

Mr. Ksur, for 10 minutes, today,

Mr. CONNER, for 10 minutes, today. Mr. McKrewer, for 8 minutes, today. Mr. Young of Florida, for 10 minutes,

today.

Mr. Grassley, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. Don H. Clausen, for 15 minutes.

today.
Mr. Asharook, for I hour, on March 22,

Mr. Russ, for 1 hour, on March 22, 1977.

Mr. Syrus, for 10 minutes, today. Mr. McDape, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. Bannard), to revise and extend their remarks, and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. SHAP, for 5 minutes, today. Mr. Assummo, for 5 minutes, tod Mr. Harris, for 10 minutes, today, Mr. Uball, for 8 minutes, today. Mr. Pasturs, for 8 minutes, today. Mr. Pasturs, for 10 minutes, today. Mr. Dices, for 8 minutes, today. Mr. Van Dicestre, for 8 minutes, today. Mr. Arm, for 15 minutes, today.
Mr. Proos, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Bracaus, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Rausses, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Rausses, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Wuss, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted

Mr. Poss of Michigan, and to include extraneous material potwithstanding the fact that it exceeds two pages of the RECORD and is estimated by the Public Printer to cost \$1.001.

Mr. PEPPER, remarks to precede vote on Obey amendment.

Mr. PATTER, remarks to precede vote on Obey amendment. Mr. Cours, remarks to precede vote on

Mr. Cowrs, remarks to proceed vote out.
Obey amendment.
Mr. Wow Par, immediately following the remarks of Mr. Partur Burrow on his amendment effected in the Committee of the Whole today.
(The following Members (at the request

of Mr. Leacy) and to include extraneous material:)

Mr. Kenr in three instances. Mr. Journson of Colorado.

Mr. Denwouser, in three instances

Mr. Bringen.
Mr. McKinney.
Mr. McCLOSKY.
Mr. Hobb.
Mr. Russ in six instances.

Mr. Syems in two instances. Mr. Marrie in two instances. Mr. Rieslao.

Mr. SYXON.

Mr. American of Illinois in two fo-

Mr. Oliman.
Mr. McClory in three instances.
Mr. Assics.
(The following Members (at the request of Mr. Barnard) and to include extrancous matter:)

Mr. BOLARS.

Mr. Pany. Mr. Conzains in three instances. Mr. Angenow of California in three

instances.
Mr. Ross.
Mr. Jacoss in two instances.

Mr. Le Paste. Mr. Long of Maryland.

Mr. Pierre in two instances. Mr. Mazzoli in two instances.

Mr. MARKET. Mr. Wooking.
Mr. McDunale in four instances.
Mr. Canales H. Wilson of California
in two instances.

Mr. HAMILTON. Mr. Smean

Me Ruama.

Mr. Dawan in two instances.

Mr. Revolu-

Mr. Water.

State, a Deputy Secretary of State; three State, a Deput Herretary of State; three Under Secretaries of State; one for Political Affairs, a second for Economic Affairs, and a third for Security Assistance; a Deputy Under Secretary for Management; and 14 Assistant Secretaries of State.

Of the Assistant Secretaries of State.
Of the Assistant Secretaries of State,
all have regional or other administrative
responsibilities. The jurisdiction of the
Assistant Secretary for Inter-American
Assistant Secretary Assistant Secretary for Inter-American Affairs covers all of South America, a large part of North America south of the United States, and the Caribbean. It does not include Canadian relations, which are under the Assistant Secretary for European Affairs, even though Canada is not a part of Europe and, since the 1931 Statute of Wostminster, has been an independent nation.

In view of the evolving world situa-

In view of the evolving world attua-tion and the growing importance of Western Hemispheric nations in the defense of Western civilization, the time is opportune for a long over-due demonstration of leadership of the United stration on leasurable to the States in the Western Hemisphere from the Arctic to the Antarctic. This will include Canada, the Caribean Basin countries and those of the Central Amertean Isthmus.

an important step in the reorgani As an important step in the reorganization of the Federal Government now being undertaken by President Carter. I would urge the statutory increase by the Congress of the rank of the Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs to that of Deputy Secretary of State for Affairs to that of Deputy Secretary of State for the American Affairs to the American and Affairs for the American Affairs for State for the Americas, who would re-port directly to the Secretary of State. To implement this proposal, I have in-

troduced the following measure: H.R. 4073

A bill to provide authorizations for the Department of State, and for other purposes. Be if enected by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "American Provigin Affairs Western Hemispheric Act."

It is the policy of Congress that, to foster and develop a consistent and comprehensive foreign policy, the foreign affairs agencies about recognize the uniqueness of relations which bind the United States of America to other countries and servicories located geographically with it in the Western Hemisphers of the world. Known collectively as the American, these countries and territories extend from the Arctic to the Antarctic and are bound uniquely to one another.

Taking into account this situation, it is the mense of Congress that there should be located in the Department of State a Deputy Secretary for the American, responsible directly only to the Secretary of State.

Big 2. (a) For the reasons given in section 1 of this Act, the first section of the Act of May 26, 1949, as amended (12 U.S.C. 2623), is amended to read as follows: "That there shall be in the Department of State, in addition to the Secretary of State for Feonomic Affairs, an Under Secretary of State for Pooling and State for Beautify Amistance, a Deputy Under Secretary of State for Management, and sleven Assistant Secretaries of State (1).

State.
(b) The Deputy Secretary of State for the American shall answer directly to the Secre-tary of State, and to the Deputy Secretary of State, only when the latter is acting as Act-ing Secretary of State; in the absence of the

Secretary of State and the Depthy Secre-tary of State for the Americae shell set as Arting Secretary of State." (c) This Act shall come into force immedi-ately upon enactment.

Hearings on Emercency con-trols on international eco-nomic transactions

TOPALL TRANSPACE DOES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-man from New York (Mr. Bixdxam) is recognised for 5 minutes.

Mr. BINCHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise to announce the commencement on March 29 of a series of hearings on "Emergency Controls on International Economic Transactions." * be held by the Sub-committee on International Economic Transactions." ' be held by the Bull-committee on international Economic Policy and Trade, which I chair. These hearings are on two bills which I have introduced: H.R. 1560, a bill to reposal section 5(b) of the Trading With the Enemy Act of 1917, and H.R. 2352, the Economic War Powers Act. Section 5(b) of the Trading With the

Section 5(b) of the Irania was well in Enemy Act is a broad, open-ended emer-gency authority which goes back to 1917. Over the years, it has come to be used by the President for routine regulation of international economic transactions. Some of the activities currently carried international economic using the trace on under this authority are trade embargoes on trade with North Kores. Cambodia, Vietnam, and Cuba, blocking of Chinese and East European assets, export controls on U.S. subsidiaries abroad, and enforcement of the Export Administration Regulations notwithstanding the lapse of the Export Administration Act last September 39.

The National Emergencies Act, enacted last year, terminaged most emergency authorities, but exempted section 5(b) of the Trading With the Enemy Act from termination because of that section's importance to the day-to-day functioning of the Covernment. Under the terms of the National Emergencies Act, the Committee on International Relations is to study section 5(b) with a

Relations is to study section 5(b) with a view to converting as much of the au-thority contained therein as possible to standard, nonemergency legislation. standard, nonemergency legislation. These hearings will contribute to that study.

study.
Clearly section 5(b), which suthorizes
the President to do whatever he wants
for as long as he wants without reference
to Congress, is aloppy legislation, what
should take its place? In its broadest

should take its place? In its broadest sense, that is the question we will be saling in the subcommittee's hearings.

A partial answer lies in H.R. 2222, the Economic War Powers Act, which will also be the subject of these hearings. This bill would prescribe the conditions under which future trade embarges could be imposed, continued in effect, and terminated, and spells out a role for Congress in these very important decisions.

I am giving active consideration to other possible alternatives to section 5 (b), and I urge anyone who wishes to present proposals to contact the subcom-

mittee staff.

These hearings are directed at formulating a policy framework and more satisfactory procedures for any future

the of economic said

selection of economic sanguous at the ferminal selection field. They are not for the purpose of examining the wisdom of existing embargoes.

On March 29, the subcommittee will hear three distinguished legal scholars. They are Prof. Stanley Metagar of Coorgetown University Law School, Prof. Andreas F. Lowerield of New York Dri. Earold O. Maier of Vanderbillt University Law School. The hearing will take place at 2 pm. in room 2000 of the Rayburn House Office Building.

We are urging the administration to give high-level consideration to these is sues, and I hope soon to announce a further hearing with administration witnesses. We are also in contact with interested members of the public, who will be heard subsequently.

RULES OF PROCEDURE ADOPTED BY SELECT CO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the smile-man from Ohio (Mr. STOKES) is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. STOKES, Mr. Speaker, on March 7, Mr. STOKES. Mr. Speaker, on Marth 7, 1977, the Select Committee on Assassantions adopted Rules of Procedure in accordance with the mandate of the Bouse. These rules contain a stringent set of safeguards which are for the purpose of preserving the civil and constitutional rights of potential witnesses. These formal safeguards grewall both in field investigations and the formal sensions before the committee. The adopted rules which illustrate the extensive concern the committee has fer the constitutional and civil rights of all prospective witnesses. the committee has for the constitutional, and civil rights of all prospective witnesses include rules that witnesses may be accompanied by an attorney and that the committee will provide a witness with an attorney if he is financially or stherwise unable to secure counsel; and that the otherwise witnesses for witnesses, and that the attorney for a winess may submit written questions to the committee to be asked of his client.

written questions to the committee to be asked of his client.

Moreover, the rules provide strict investigative suidelines which provide that no witness shall be recorded withous his prior knowledge and consent; that there will be no electronic surveillance or wire-tapping of any persen; that no witness be subjected to polygraph or voice-streamangists examination without specific written authorisation of the witness and a majority of the committee or schoon-mittee; that the results of such tests, or the failure or agreement to take such tests, shall not be used as the basis of finding of fact or used in any report or at a public hearing; that in all field interrugations of prospective witnesses, committee counsel and investigators shall first identify themselves as representing the Select Committee on Assasinations, present official credentials, and advise each prospective witness of the nature of the investigation being conducted; and finally, that the use of any investigative technique must be specifically authorized by the full committee.

In addition, the committee has adopted when to insure that classified and genel-

In addition, the committee has adopted rules to insure that classified and sensitive materials will be properly safe-

guarded, and which problet from discussing committee to side of the committee unless authorized by the chairman er subcom-mittee chairman.

The rules as adopted will insure that The roles at adopted will insure that the Select Committee on Assaminations will be able to conduct a full, complete, and effective investigation into the assaminations of President Kennedy and Dr. King, and that it will be conducted in a manner which is credible and will being house to the Herrise.

in a manner which is dreding and whi bring honor to this House.

Mr. Speaker, I articipate that in the very near future the House will consider a resolution to recreate the Select Com-mittee on Assassinations. In this regard, the committee and I would welcome the the committee and a would weather are comments and suggestions of our col-leagues relative to those rules. The adopted rules will be printed in the RECORD pursuant to the rule today.

A REASONABLE RESPONSE TO THE SACCHARIN BAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-man from Texas (Mr. KRUEGER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KRUEGER, Mr. Speaker, the pro-posed ban an saccharin announced last week by the Pood and Drug Administra-

week by the Food and Drug Administra-tion points out the shortcomings of the so-called Delaney amendment to the Pederal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. As you know, this amendment requires the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to ban any food additive which, after "appropriate tests" is found to indu. cancer in man or animal

This amendment does not lodge any additional power in the PDA; that is, the FDA's power to ban food additives is not pendent upon the language of the De laney amendment. It serves merely as a "red flag," which removes from the Secretary any authority to take less drastic steps than an outright ban against ad-ditives found to induce cancer after these appropriate" tests.

Now. I notice in the Washington Post that the FDA is blaming its decision to ban saccharin upon the Congress, and in light of the existence of the Delancy amendment, there is no doubt but that a amendment, there is no doubt but that a great deal of the responsibility for this action does he here. I do think it my duty, however, to rise to a partial defense of the Congress by pointing out that REW and FDA determine the meaning of the term "appropriate leat"—subject to court review, to be certain—an that it is not review, to be certain-so that it is no entirely the Congress fault that the un--ac that it is not fortunate fate of a few Canadian rate has had an equally u. ortunate impact upon American consumers of artificial

With this one clarification in mind, we can still proceed to the inexampable con-clusion to which the Washington Post article leads us, however. The Delancy amendment is an unweldy tool with which to judge and act upon questions of a most delicate nature; in a subject or a most delicate mature; in a source area open to much dispute and some conjecture we rely upon an enforcement mechanism which stands flatfooted upon the ground, as if this were a question of black and white.

In my opinion, Congress should not ex-peditionally to amend the law to allow FDA and the Secretary of ERW greater discretion in selecting the appropriate remedy in the gray areas where they are forced by lack of hard data or precedent to make what amounts to little more than

to indee what supulity is like here where the property of the property amendment was adopted, our knowledge of carclangers was not so well developed as today; few of us suspected the extent to
which cancer-causing agents may have which exicure-causing agents may have pervaded our environment. Evidence now seems to indicate that potential carcino-gets are so widespread that it is nearly pointless to try to evade them all. Uptil we have more information about the rature of the disease and its causes.

fear that the general public has little elense but to become increasingly fatal-Hite about its continuel exposure to sub-lances which, in one forum or another have been labeled as "gancer-causing agents.

In light of all this confusion, the D laney amendment becomes a greater obstacle to the general public than a safe-guard, unless an important change is made. As testing methods become more and more precise, an increasing number of food additives formerly regarded as or food additives formerly regarded as safe—sometimes for decades—will be swept off the market by the Delaney amendment in much the same way the manager's cane used to whish bad acts from the vaudeville stage. Please note that more precise tests are not necesarily more valid tests, particularly if the underlying assumptions are incorrect, namely, hypothetically, the rat-human connection.

Obviously, the Congress needs to introduce a modicum of discretion and com-monsense into a rigid statute, if we are to keep any food products on the shelves until the time when we unlock the mys-teries of cancer and isolate its causes.

Today, I am introducing a bill to do just that. My proposal amends the Delaney amendment to allow the Secretary of HEW to balance the potential danger of a food additive's remaining on the market against the henefite which society market against the benefits which society might derive from its continued avail-ability. In the case at hand, the Secre-tary would belance the dangers posed by the continuing availability of saccharin, as demonstrated by the Canadian rat tests, with the demonstrated need which diabetics and chronically over people have for this commodity.

Once the Secretary had determined that the potential benefits of keeping saccharine on the market outweighed the drawbacks, three paths lay open to him: First, he could order further testing of the substance to verify or improve upon the results of the previous tests, and the product could remain on the market during this evaluation period; second, he could require all foods containing the additive to be inheled with a public warning that ingestion of the additive may be hazardous to the health of the consumer: simearuous to the health of the consumer; or third, in the most severe cases, he could allow the additive to remain on the market only with a physician's prescrip-tion.

I think that this is a belanced as

preach, and do not believe that it is any way compromises the ability of the FDA and REW to remove a carcinogenic food additive from the market. Realistically speaking, the importance of the Delancy amendment lies in its moral force cather amendment use in its officients as a tool The legislative history of the 1958 amend-ments to the Fuod, Drug and Cosmetic Act make this point clear:

Act make this point clear:

... the bill is aimed at preventing the addition to the food our purple out of Act substances the ingestion of which reasurable people would expect to produce not just outper but any disease or disability. . . the bill reads or means the same with or without the lociuston of the clause referred in the Delaney amendment). This is also the riew of the Word and Erns Administration. Food and Drug Administration

In response to all those Americans who In response to all tones americans who could be asked to forego accharin in the hear future and countless other substances in the long run, I think that the Congress has an obligation to change this law, so that there will be at least as much chance of equity as of inequity arising from its enforcement. The present situation is, I believe, anacceptable, and

will grow more so as time goes by For instance, a staff member of mine-patriotic in his breakfast beverage, drinks diet soda, not coffee, each morndrinks det sook, not conce, each montring. He observed hat week that even on the hottest Texas summer day, he had consumed, as best be recalled, only 23 cans. Even with subfanital force-feeding, he saw no way in which he could have consumed many more. Certainly be could consumed many more. Certainty we could not drink the 717 additional cans necessary to imhibe the quantity of sacharin carcinogenic to the bladder of a Canadian rat. And I believe that anyone who can drink 23 cans in a day probably has a bladder of more strength than any rat

The moral of the story, of course, is that we must be reasonable in our assess-ments. The text of my reasonable legislation follows:

bill to amend the Federal Food, Erug and Commetic Act to provide the Secretary of Health, Education and Wolfare with greater latitude in regulating food addition found to induce cancer in man or animal

Be it emacion by the Senate and House to Representatives of the United States of Amer-ted to Congress assembled. That section 409 (c) (3) of the Pederal Pood. Brug and Con-

(c) (3) of the Pederal Fued. Drug and Commetic Act (21 U.S.C. 3461c; (3): in amended—(1) by redweignating clauses (1) and (1): or subparagraph (A) as aubclauses (1) and (1): respectively, and by inserting after whall not apply with respect to? in such paragraph the following: "(1) any fixed addition intended to be used as an ingredient of food for human convempeon with respect to which additive the Secretary has determined that the benefits derived from the availability of the additive outwelgh the dames at the public health and safety, and (1):"; and (2) by adding after and below subparagraph (3) the Sollewing: "In the case of a find additive with respect

(2) by adding after and selow suppara-graph (8) the Soliwing:

"In the case of a fond additive with respect to which the Secretary has made the deter-mination described in eviparagraph (A)(1). the Secretary may include in a requisition promulpased under this section for such addi-tive such restrictions on the use of the addi-tive as the Secretary considers appropria-tive as the Secretary considers appropria-tures seatlability of the additive to the public white appropriace testing continues, to vertly the results of prior tests; or (8) a